

# Saddle-Point Approach to Large-Time Vol Smile

Frankie Yeung

August 20, 2022

By a saddle-point approximation to Lewis equation, we derive analytic form of large-time vol smile implied from model. In this note, we outline, justify and exemplify the approach in the case of Heston/VG/CGMY/BG. From here, we inspire some parametrizations of vol smile.

The approach was developed during my summer internship at Morgan Stanley.

Table of Content:

- [Lewis Equation](#)
- [Saddle-Point Equation](#)
- [In a Nutshell](#)
- [Gaussian Approximation](#)
- [Moment Expansion](#)
- [Existence of Variance Solution](#)
- [Large-Time Heston Smile](#)
- [Large-Time VG Smile](#)
- [Large-Time BG Smile](#)
- [Large-Time CGMY Smile](#)
- [Comments on Saddle-Point Trick](#)

## 1 Lewis Equation

Define log-spot  $X_T = \log(S_T/S_0)$  and log-strike  $k = \log(K/S_0)$ .

Lewis equation states that under characteristic function  $\phi_T(u) = Ee^{iuX_T}$ , call price

$$C(S, K) = S - \frac{\sqrt{SK}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^2 + \frac{1}{4}} e^{-iuk} \phi_T\left(u - \frac{i}{2}\right)$$

Under BS,  $\phi_T^{BS}(u) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}u(u+i)\sigma^2 T}$ . For each strike  $K$ , we quote  $C(K)$  in  $C_{BS}(K)$ , thus

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^2 + \frac{1}{4}} e^{-iuk} \phi_T\left(u - \frac{i}{2}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^2 + \frac{1}{4}} e^{-iuk} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u^2 + \frac{1}{4})\sigma(k)^2 T}$$

where  $\sigma(k)$  is implied vol (of our interest!).

## 2 Saddle-Point Equation

We assume characteristic function  $\phi_T(u - i/2) \sim e^{-\psi(u)T}$  for large  $T$ .

Physically this says, at large time, log-spot  $X_T$  evolves like a Levy process, so that time  $T$  in characteristic exponent factors out. By definition, this is satisfied by all Levy processes, and some path-dependent processes that forget about its initial state over time e.g. Heston/SVJ.

Define time-scaled log-strike  $x = k/T$ , abbreviated strike below. Substituting the large-time  $\phi_T$  into LHS we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^2 + \frac{1}{4}} e^{-(iux + \psi(u))T}$$

Now, Taylor-expand the exponent around saddle-point  $\tilde{u}$ :

$$ix\tilde{u} + ix(u - \tilde{u}) + \psi(\tilde{u}) + \psi'(\tilde{u})(u - \tilde{u}) + \frac{\psi''(\tilde{u})}{2}(u - \tilde{u})^2 + O(u - \tilde{u})^3$$

Require  $\psi'(\tilde{u}) = -ix$  (now keep in mind  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}(x)$ ) to kill linear term, then LHS simplifies to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^2 + \frac{1}{4}} e^{-(ix\tilde{u} + \psi(\tilde{u}))T - \frac{\psi''(\tilde{u})}{2}(u - \tilde{u})^2 - T \cdot O(u - \tilde{u})^3} \approx \frac{e^{-(ix\tilde{u} + \psi(\tilde{u}))T}}{\tilde{u}^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\psi''(\tilde{u})T}}$$

The approximation  $e^{-(ix\tilde{u} + \psi(\tilde{u}))T} \sim \text{Gaussian}$  is valid when (1)  $ix\tilde{u} + \psi(\tilde{u})$  is real (2)  $\psi''(\tilde{u}) > 0$  (3)  $T$  is large, because

- for  $u$  far away from  $\tilde{u}$  and  $T$  large,  $e^{-T \cdot O(u - \tilde{u})^3} \rightarrow 0$  - tails flatten to zero
- for  $u$  close to  $\tilde{u}$ , constant/quadratic term in  $e^{-(ix\tilde{u} + \psi(\tilde{u}))T - \frac{\psi''(\tilde{u})}{2}(u - \tilde{u})^2 - T \cdot O(u - \tilde{u})^3}$  dominate
- thus exponential approximates a Gaussian

For BS,  $\psi_{BS}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(u^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right) v$  where  $v = \sigma^2$  and noting  $\psi'_{BS}(u) = uv$  and  $\psi''_{BS}(u) = v$ , by solving  $\psi'_{BS}(\tilde{u}) = -ix$  we get

$$\tilde{u}_{BS} = -\frac{ix}{v}$$

Thus our saddle-point condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{-(ix\tilde{u} + \psi(\tilde{u}))T}}{\tilde{u}^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\psi''(\tilde{u})T}} &\sim \frac{e^{-(ix\tilde{u}_{BS} + \psi_{BS}(\tilde{u}_{BS}))T}}{\tilde{u}_{BS}^2 + \frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\psi''_{BS}(\tilde{u}_{BS})T}} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{v} + \frac{v}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \left(\frac{x}{v}\right)^2\right)\right)T}}{\frac{1}{4} - \left(\frac{x}{v}\right)^2} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{vT}} \\ &\approx 4 \exp\left(-\left(\frac{v}{8} + \frac{x^2}{2v}\right)T\right) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{vT}} \end{aligned}$$

Const. terms are of similar orders (dominated by  $e^{-(\dots)T}$ ) and we make exponent equal:

$$\omega(x) \equiv ix\tilde{u} + \psi(\tilde{u}) \sim \frac{v}{8} + \frac{x^2}{2v}$$

a quadratic equation, with solution

$$v(x) \sim 4 \left( \omega(x) \pm \sqrt{\omega(x)^2 - \frac{x^2}{4}} \right)$$

Denote  $|\bar{\omega}(x)| \equiv \sqrt{\omega(x)^2 - \frac{x^2}{4}}$ , vanishing at  $x_{\pm}$  which solve  $\omega(x) = \pm x/2$ , chosen to fulfill  $\bar{\omega}((x_-, x_+)) < 0$  and  $\bar{\omega}(\mathbb{R} \setminus (x_-, x_+)) > 0$ . Our variance smile

$$v(x) \sim 4(\omega(x) - \bar{\omega}(x))$$

We may express large-time asymptotic characteristic function in form  $e^{-\psi(u)T}$  to reach a very wide class of model-inspired parametrizations.

### 3 In a Nutshell

Denote time-scaled log-strike  $x = k/T$  and variance  $v(x)$ , implied from characteristic function  $\phi_T(u) = Ee^{iuX_T}$  where  $X_T = \log(S_T/S_0)$ . Our saddle-point procedure reads:

1. evaluate characteristic function  $\phi_T(u - i/2) \equiv e^{-\psi(u)T}$  to get  $\psi(u)$
2. compute saddle-point  $\tilde{u}$  which fulfills  $\psi'(\tilde{u}) = -ix$
3. evaluate  $\psi(\tilde{u})$  thus  $\omega(x) \equiv i\tilde{u} \cdot x + \psi(\tilde{u})$  and  $|\bar{\omega}(x)| \equiv \sqrt{\omega(x)^2 - \frac{x^2}{4}}$
4. full smile given by  $v(x) = 4(\omega(x) - \bar{\omega}(x))$

Readers may first head to section **Large-Time Heston Smile** (and the like) to understand practical use and how  $\omega, \bar{\omega}, v$  etc. actually look like before getting swamped by mathematical proofs below.

### 4 Gaussian Approximation

Our large-time Gaussian approximation in Lewis equation is valid when (1)  $ix\tilde{u} + \psi(\tilde{u})$  is real (2)  $\psi''(\tilde{u}) > 0$ . We now show that these are always true from cumulant property.

We first show that  $i\tilde{u}$  and  $\psi(\tilde{u})$  are always real.

In large time, recall our characteristic function

$$\phi_T \left( u - \frac{i}{2} \right) = Ee^{(iu + \frac{1}{2})X_T} = e^{-\psi(u)T}$$

thus  $\psi(u)$  is a cumulant:

$$\psi(u) = -\frac{1}{T} \log Ee^{(iu + \frac{1}{2})X_T}$$

Differentiating,

$$\psi'(u) = -\frac{1}{T} \frac{E i X_T e^{(iu + \frac{1}{2})X_T}}{E e^{(iu + \frac{1}{2})X_T}}$$

$\tilde{u}$  satisfies

$$\psi'(\tilde{u}) = -\frac{1}{T} \frac{E i X_T e^{(i\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{2})X_T}}{E e^{(i\tilde{u} + \frac{1}{2})X_T}} = -ix$$

so

$$E X_T e^{(\hat{u} + \frac{1}{2})X_T} = k E e^{(\hat{u} + \frac{1}{2})X_T}$$

where we define  $\hat{u} \equiv i\tilde{u}$ . This is an equation in  $\hat{u}$  - does a real  $\hat{u}$  exist and is it unique?

A more insightful form: define Esscher measure  $\mathbb{U}$  s.t.

$$\frac{d\mathbb{U}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{e^{(\hat{u} + \frac{1}{2})X_T}}{E e^{(\hat{u} + \frac{1}{2})X_T}}$$

where  $\mathbb{P}$  is our pricing measure (we have always been working in). Then

$$E^{\mathbb{U}} X_T = k$$

thus  $\hat{u}(k)$  defines a measure under which expectation of log-spot is exactly log-strike. For  $\mathbb{U}$  to be a properly defined measure,  $\hat{u}$  has to be real - does it exist and is it unique?

Intuitively,  $\hat{u}$  translates log-spot density - imagine Gaussian  $f_{X_T}(x) \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\sim} e^{-x^2/2}$ , then under  $\mathbb{U}$ ,  $f_{X_T}(x) \stackrel{\mathbb{U}}{\sim} e^{-(x-\hat{u})^2/2}$  and translation of density corresponds to translation of mean, so we can always suitably choose (solve for)  $\hat{u}$  s.t. mean exactly matches log-strike i.e unique real  $\hat{u}$  exists.

Now I make rigorous why  $\hat{u}$ , equivalently  $\bar{u} = \hat{u} + 1/2$ , always exists and is unique. Rewrite the expectation equation as

$$k = E^{\mathbb{U}} X_T = \frac{E X_T e^{\bar{u}X_T}}{E e^{\bar{u}X_T}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}} \log E e^{\bar{u}X_T}$$

This is the first derivative of cumulant (under pricing measure, not Esscher), which spans the support of  $X_T \in \mathbb{R}$  (thus existence of at least a real root), and monotonically (thus one unique root  $\hat{u}$ ), as its second denvative is variance - always positive.

With real  $\hat{u}$ ,  $\mathbb{U}$  is a property defined measure equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Now,

$$\psi(\tilde{u}) = -\frac{1}{T} \log E e^{(\hat{u} + \frac{1}{2})X_T} \in \mathbb{R}$$

For our saddle-point approximation to be valid, we need  $\psi''(\tilde{u}) > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi''(\tilde{u}) &= -\frac{1}{T} \left( -\frac{EX_T^2 e^{(i\tilde{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}}{Ee^{(i\tilde{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}} - \frac{EiX_T e^{(i\tilde{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}}{\left(Ee^{(i\tilde{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}\right)^2} EiX_T e^{(i\tilde{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \left( \frac{EX_T^2 e^{(i\tilde{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}}{Ee^{(i\tilde{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}} - \left( \frac{EX_T e^{(i\tilde{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}}{Ee^{(i\tilde{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}} \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \left( \frac{EX_T^2 e^{(\hat{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}}{Ee^{(\hat{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}} - \left( \frac{EX_T e^{(\hat{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}}{Ee^{(\hat{u}+\frac{1}{2})X_T}} \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \left( E^{\mathbb{U}} X_T^2 - \left( E^{\mathbb{U}} X_T \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{V^{\mathbb{U}} X_T}{T}
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\psi''(\tilde{u})$  is log-spot variance under  $\mathbb{U}$ . As expected, because differentiated cumulant gives central moments.

Thus as long as we can write down characteristic function, for large  $T$ , our saddle-point condition is always valid.

We can computationally check how large is large, say approx closed-form formula vs. FFT.

## 5 Moment Expansion

Working - Aug 20...

### 5.1 Moment Expansion of $\omega$

### 5.2 Moment Expansion of Smile $v$

## 6 Existence of Variance Solution

Our variance  $v(x)$  exists if  $|\bar{\omega}(x)| \equiv \sqrt{\omega(x)^2 - \frac{x^2}{4}}$  is well-defined.

My proposition is:  $\omega(x)$  tangentially touches  $|x/2|$  at points  $x_{\pm}$  (small and off by a sign) but is everywhere else bounded below.

Equivalently, this may be stated as:  $\omega(x) \mp x/2$  and its derivative vanish at some  $x_{\pm}$  and second derivative is positive everywhere. We write

$$\omega(x) \equiv x\hat{u} + \psi(\tilde{u}(x))$$

thus derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega'(x) &= \hat{u}(x) + x\hat{u}'(x) + \psi'(\tilde{u}(x)) = \hat{u}(x) \\
\omega''(x) &= \hat{u}'(x) = \frac{T}{E^{\mathbb{U}}(X_T - k)^2} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

so second derivative is everywhere positive, and

$$\partial_x (\omega(x) \mp x/2) = \hat{u}(x) \mp \frac{1}{2}$$

which vanishes at some  $x_{\pm}$ ,

$$\hat{u}(x_{\pm}) = \pm \frac{1}{2}$$

Does such  $x_{\pm}$  always exist? Yes. Recall that  $\hat{u}$  is roughly the log-spot density shift (via Esscher measure  $\mathbb{U}$ ) s.t. expectation of log-spot  $E^{\mathbb{U}} X_T$ , exactly matches log-strike  $k$ , equivalently  $x$ , thus  $\hat{u}$  is monotonic increasing in  $x$ , spanning  $\mathbb{R}$ . We can always find such an  $x_{\pm}$  s.t.  $\hat{u}(x_{\pm})$  exactly matches  $\pm 1/2$  - smallness/sign of  $\pm 1/2$  leads to smallness/sign of  $x_{\pm}$ .

By our moment expansion for  $\bar{u}$ , for small  $x$

$$\bar{u}(x) \approx \bar{u}_0 + \frac{T}{E^{\mathbb{U}} X_T^2} x \equiv \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}$$

which approximates

$$x_{\pm} \approx \frac{E^{\mathbb{U}} X_T^2}{T} \left( \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} - \bar{u}_0 \right) \approx \pm \frac{E^{\mathbb{U}} X_T^2}{2T} \sim \pm \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$$

as  $\bar{u}_0 \approx 1/2$ , from Ito correction, and  $\sigma$  is some characteristic vol in model.

To reason about this, think about BS diffusion - log-spot  $X_T = -\sigma^2 T/2 + \sigma Z_T$  and denote total var  $w = \sigma^2 T$  so density  $f_{X_T}(x) \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\sim} e^{-(x+w/2)^2/2w}$ . Under ATM Esscher measure defined by  $\bar{u}_0$ ,  $f_{X_T}(x) \stackrel{\mathbb{U}}{\sim} e^{-(x+w/2-w\bar{u}_0)^2/2w}$ . For  $E^{\mathbb{U}} X_T = 0$ , we demand  $\bar{u}_0 = 1/2$  - this is exact. At-the-money, other processes may be expressed as perturbation around BS diffusion so densities near  $x = 0$  behave roughly identical, so approximation  $\bar{u}_0 \approx 1/2$  is not unreasonable.

Typically, if model produces heavy left-tail, mode of log-spot density is biased to right, so we require a smaller Esscher shift to match match expectation of log-spot to zero - thus  $\bar{u}_0 < 1/2$ , a negatively skewed  $\omega(k)T$ .

Lastly,  $\omega(x) \mp x/2$  vanishes at  $x_{\pm}$  as

$$\omega(x_{\pm}) \mp \frac{x_{\pm}}{2} = x_{\pm} \hat{u}(x_{\pm}) - \frac{1}{T} \log E e^{(\hat{u}(x_{\pm}) + \frac{1}{2}) X_T} \mp \frac{x_{\pm}}{2} = \pm \frac{x_{\pm}}{2} \mp \frac{x_{\pm}}{2} - \frac{1}{T} \log E e^{(\pm \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) X_T} = 0$$

as  $E e^{X_T} = 1$  by martingale condition.

So,  $\omega(x) \geq |x/2|$  for all strike  $x$  and  $|\bar{\omega}(x)| \equiv \sqrt{\omega(x)^2 - x^2/4} \leq \omega(x)$ . Our variance smile

$$v(x) \sim 4(\omega(x) - \bar{\omega}(x))$$

is always well-defined (positive).

## **7 Large-Time Heston Smile**

Working - Aug 20...

## **8 Large-Time VG Smile**

Working - Aug 20...

## **9 Large-Time BG Smile**

## **10 Large-Time CGMY Smile**

## **11 Comments on Saddle-Point Trick**

Working - Aug 20...