## Supplementary material of the paper: Explainable Classification of Astronomical Uncertain Time Series

**Anonymous submission** 

Table 1: SAST<X> models results on the PLAsTiCC dataset average over 3 runs. The stared row is the run that achieved the lowest cross entropy loss.

	Classifier	Precision	Recall	F1 score	LogLoss	Time (h)
SAST	RF RidgeCV XGBoost XGBoost*	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.59 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.56 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.65 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.65 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.61 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.57 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.67 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.67 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.56 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.55 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.63 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.64 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1.29 \pm 0.05 \\ 2.22 \pm 0.01 \\ 1.16 \pm 0.01 \\ 1.15 \end{vmatrix}$	$ \begin{vmatrix} 16.41 \pm 0.52 \\ 16.41 \pm 0.52 \\ 16.41 \pm 0.52 \\ 16.23 \end{vmatrix} $
SASTd	RF RidgeCV XGBoost XGBoost*	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.58 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.56 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.66 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.66 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.60 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.58 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.68 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.68 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.54 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.55 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.64 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.64 \end{vmatrix} $	$\begin{vmatrix} 1.33 \pm 0.08 \\ 2.20 \pm 0.00 \\ 1.14 \pm 0.00 \\ 1.13 \end{vmatrix}$	$ \begin{vmatrix} 12.79 \pm 0.84 \\ 12.79 \pm 0.84 \\ 12.79 \pm 0.841 \\ 11.84 \end{vmatrix} $
SASTdc	RF RidgeCV XGBoost XGBoost*	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.58 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.52 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.66 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.65 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.61 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.54 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.68 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.68 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.55 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.52 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.64 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.64 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1.33 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.25 \pm 0.00 \\ 1.14 \pm 0.01 \\ 1.14 \end{vmatrix} $	

Table 2: uSAST<X> models results on the PLAsTiCC dataset average over 3 runs. The row with the star is the run that achieved the lowest cross entropy loss. Subsequence length: from 16 to 32 with a step of 1.

	Classifier	Precision	Recall	F1 score	LogLoss	Time (h)
	RF	$0.62 \pm 0.01$	$0.66 \pm 0.01$	$0.60 \pm 0.01$	$1.20 \pm 0.03$	$53.61 \pm 0.10$
	RidgeCV	$0.33 \pm 0.02$	$0.34 \pm 0.02$	$0.33 \pm 0.02$	$2.98 \pm 0.11$	$53.61 \pm 0.10$
uSAST	XGBoost	$0.69 \pm 0.00$	$0.70 \pm 0.00$	$0.66 \pm 0.00$	$1.04 \pm 0.01$	$53.61 \pm 0.10$
	XGBoost*	0.69	0.70	0.66	1.04	53.56
	RF	$0.61 \pm 0.03$	$0.64 \pm 0.00$	$0.58 \pm 0.00$	$1.20 \pm 0.04$	$41.58 \pm 0.47$
	RidgeCV	$0.35 \pm 0.01$	$0.37 \pm 0.01$	$0.35 \pm 0.01$	$2.90 \pm 0.06$	$41.58 \pm 0.47$
uSASTd	XGBoost	$0.70 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.00$	$0.67 \pm 0.00$	$1.06 \pm 0.02$	$41.58 \pm 0.47$
	XGBoost*	0.71	0.70	0.67	1.04	41.78
	RF	$0.60 \pm 0.00$	$0.64 \pm 0.01$	$0.58 \pm 0.00$	$1.21 \pm 0.00$	$40.45 \pm 1.00$
	RidgeCV	$0.37 \pm 0.04$	$0.38 \pm 0.03$	$0.37 \pm 0.03$	$2.78 \pm 0.07$	$40.45 \pm 1.00$
uSASTdc	XGBoost	$0.69 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.00$	$0.66 \pm 0.00$	$1.04 \pm 0.02$	$40.45 \pm 1.00$
	XGBoost*	0.68	0.70	0.66	1.03	41.16

Table 3: uSAST<X> models results on the PLAsTiCC dataset average over 3 runs. The row with the star is the run that achieved the lowest cross entropy loss. Subsequence length: from 20 to 60 with a step of 10.

	Classifier	Precision	Recall	F1 score	LogLoss	Time (h)
uSAST	RF Ridge XGBoost XGBoost*	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.64 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.39 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.72 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.71 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.68 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.40 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.72 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.72 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.62 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.39 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.69 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.69 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1.09 \pm 0.01 \\ 2.82 \pm 0.08 \\ 0.96 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.95 \end{vmatrix} $	
uSASTd	RF Ridge XGBoost XGBoost*	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.71 \\ 0.65 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.39 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.72 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.72 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.72 \\ 0.67 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.41 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.73 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.73 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.69 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.62 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.40 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.70 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.69 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1.12 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.77 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.97 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.95 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 43.49 \pm 0.27 \\ 43.49 \pm 0.27 \\ 43.49 \pm 0.27 \\ 43.49 \pm 0.27 \\ 43.18 \end{vmatrix} $
uSASTdc	RF Ridge XGBoost XGBoost*	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.67 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.39 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.71 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.70 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.67 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.40 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.72 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.72 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.62 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.39 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.69 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.68 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 1.11 \pm 0.00 \\ 2.79 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.96 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.95 \end{vmatrix} $	$ \begin{vmatrix} 43.52 \pm 0.72 \\ 43.52 \pm 0.72 \\ 43.52 \pm 0.72 \\ 44.26 \end{vmatrix} $

Table 4: Performance of state-of-the-art models average over 3 runs. MUSE uses a linear classifier. The row with the star is the run that achieved the lowest cross entropy loss

	Classifier	Precision	Recall	F1 score	LogLoss	Time (h)
MUSE	-	$0.71 \pm 0.01$	$0.73 \pm 0.01$	$0.71 \pm 0.01$	$1.78 \pm 0.03$	$3.36 \pm 0.04$
ROCKET	RF Ridge XGBoost XGBoost*	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.75 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.71 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.77 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.78 \end{vmatrix} $		$0.72 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.70 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.75 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.75$	$ \begin{vmatrix} 0.94 \pm 0.03 \\ 2.07 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.82 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.81 \end{vmatrix} $	




Figure 1: The top 20 most discriminative subsequences in the PLAsTiCC dataset