



Franklin Hu, Sunil Pedapudi  
SID: 20157715, SID: 20247144  
CS 194-10  
2011-09-19  
Assignment 2

## 1. Kernels


(a) Original input




Input mapped onto space consisting of  $x_1$  and  $x_1x_2$ :




The maximum margin separator is the line  $x_1x_2 = 0$ .



The separating line on the original input space is a plane that rests at  $x_1x_2 = 0$ .



Mapping this back into the original Euclidean space,



Note that we indicate the separation using a grey shade in quadrants 1 and 3.

(b) Given

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1 - a)^2 + (x_2 - b)^2 - r^2 &= 0 \\ x_1^2 - 2ax_1 + a^2 + x_2^2 - 2bx_2 + b^2 - r^2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

let us pose the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{w} &= [-2a, -2b, 1, 1] \\ \mathbf{x} &= [x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2] \\ \beta &= a^2 + b^2 - r^2 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + \beta &> 0, \text{ if } \mathbf{x} \text{ escapes the circle region} \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + \beta &< 0, \text{ if } \mathbf{x} \text{ occupies the circle region} \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + \beta &= 0, \text{ if } \mathbf{x} \text{ demarcates the circle region}\end{aligned}$$

We then let  $y_i = -1$  if  $\mathbf{x}$  occupies the region inside the circle;  $y_i = 1$  otherwise. Then, to satisfy the separability constraint, we note that

$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + \beta) > 0, \forall i$$

Thus, we show that in feature space  $(x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2)$ , the region defined by  $(x_1 - a)^2 + (x_2 - b)^2 - r^2 = 0$  is linearly separable.

(c) Given

$$\begin{aligned}K(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) &= (1 + \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v})^2 \\ &= 1 + 2\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v})^2 \\ &= 1 + 2u_1v_1 + 2u_2v_2 + (u_1^2v_1^2 + 2u_1v_1u_2 + v_2 + u_2^2v_2^2)\end{aligned}$$

Let us realize that this kernel suggests a feature space  $[1, \sqrt{2}u_1, \sqrt{2}u_2, u_1^2, u_2^2, \sqrt{2}u_1u_2]$ . For simplicity, we adapt this feature space more generally as  $[1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1x_2]$  and drop the constant multipliers as suggested. Then, given an ellipse is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}c(x_1 - a)^2 + d(x_2 - b)^2 &= 1 \\ cx_1^2 - 2acx_1 + ca^2 + dx_2^2 - 2dbx_2 + db^2 - 1 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

we wish to recycle the proof from 1b. To do this, we form the following vector

$$\mathbf{w} = [ca^2 + db^2 - 1, -2ac, -2db, c, d, 0]$$

Then, we define  $y_i = -1$  if a point lies within the ellipse,  $y_i = 1$  otherwise. We simply adopt the inequalities from 1b and claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + \beta &> 0, \text{ if } \mathbf{x} \text{ escapes the ellipse region} \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + \beta &< 0, \text{ if } \mathbf{x} \text{ occupies the ellipse region} \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + \beta &= 0, \text{ if } \mathbf{x} \text{ demarcates the ellipse region} \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the separability constraint  $y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + \beta) > 0, \forall i$

## 2. Logistic Regression

Given:

$$L(w) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \log\left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}\right) + \lambda \|w\|_2^2$$

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_j} &= - \sum_{i=1}^N (1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}) \cdot -1 \cdot (1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^{-2} (e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}) \cdot x_{ij} y_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} (\lambda \|w\|_2^2) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{-e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})} \cdot x_{ij} y_i + 2\lambda w_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})} \cdot x_{ij} y_i + 2\lambda w_j \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial w_j \partial w_k} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})} \cdot x_{ij} y_i + 2\lambda w_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_{ij} y_i \cdot (1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}) \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_k} (e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}) - e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_k} (1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_{ij} y_i \cdot (1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}) \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_k} (e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}) - e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)} \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_k} (e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_{ij} y_i \cdot (1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)} - e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}) \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_k} (e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_{ij} y_i \cdot \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_k} (e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_{ij} y_i \cdot e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)} x_{ik} y_i}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_{ij} x_{ik} y_i y_i \cdot e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y_i^2 = 1$ , we simply rewrite this as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N x_{ij} x_{ik} \cdot \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \quad (1)$$

(c) Then, we wish to show

$$\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{a} \equiv \sum_{j,k} a_j a_k H_{j,k} \geq 0$$

Note that summation (1) indicates the  $j, k^{th}$  element of the Hessian which allows us to rewrite the above inequality as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} a_j a_k H_{j,k} &= \sum_{j,k} a_j a_k \sum_{i=1}^N x_{ij} x_{ik} \cdot \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \\ &= \sum_{j,k} a_j a_k \sum_{i=1}^N x_{ij} x_{ik} \cdot \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \sum_{j,k} a_j a_k x_{ij} x_{ik} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \sum_j a_j x_{ij} \sum_k a_k x_{ik} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \cdot \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} \sum_k a_k x_{ik} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \cdot \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \cdot (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x})^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$


We show this summation is non-negative by showing each component of the summation is non-negative. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \cdot \epsilon &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)}}{(1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2} \cdot (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x})^2 \geq 0 \text{ Then, we realize that} \\ \alpha &= e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)} > 0, \text{ since } e^z \text{ is always positive} \\ \beta &= (1 + e^{y_i(w^T x_i + b)})^2 > 0 \\ \epsilon &= (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x})^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $L$  is convex.

### 3. Training data

(a) Yes the classes  $\{+, -\}$  are linearly separable. The  $-$  class is represented by circles in the graph below.




(b) The best hyperplane by inspection is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_2 &= -x_1 + 1.5 \\
 x_1 + x_2 - 1.5 &= 0 \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} - 1.5 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

So therefore  $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $b = -1.5$ . The support vectors are  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(2, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ .

- (c) If we remove a support vector, then the optimal margin will increase since there are fewer constraints.
- (d) The answer for (c) is not always true. Consider if we have a class  $+$  with points  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(0, 2)$  and a class  $-$  with points  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(1, 2)$ . If we remove either  $(0, 1)$  or  $(1, 1)$ , the best hyperplane does not change and thus the optimal margin remains the same.



4. 3 point dataset

(a) No

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x_1) &= [1, 0, 0]^T \\ \phi(x_2) &= [1, -\sqrt{2}, 1]^T \\ \phi(x_3) &= [1, \sqrt{2}, 1]^T\end{aligned}$$

Yes, this is linearly separable with the hyperplane  $x^2 = \frac{1}{2}$

(c) Let

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= 0 \\ x_2 &= -1 \\ x_3 &= 1 \\ y_1 &= 1 \\ y_2 &= -1 \\ y_3 &= -1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(w_1, w_2, w_3, b, \lambda, \mu, \varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda(y_1(w_1 + b) - 1) \\ &\quad + \mu(y_2(w_1 - \sqrt{2}w_2 + w_3 + b) - 1) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon(y_3(w_1 + \sqrt{2}w_2 + w_3 + b) - 1)\end{aligned}$$

Then, using the method of Lagrange multipliers,

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial w_1} = \frac{1}{2}w_1^2 + \lambda - \mu - \varepsilon = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial w_2} = \frac{1}{2}w_2^2 + \sqrt{2}\mu - \sqrt{2}\varepsilon = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial w_3} = \frac{1}{2}w_3^2 - \mu - \varepsilon = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial b} = \lambda - \mu - \varepsilon = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \lambda} = w_1 + b - 1 = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \mu} = -(w_1 - \sqrt{2}w_2 + w_3 + b) - 1 = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \varepsilon} = -(w_1 + \sqrt{2}w_2 + w_3 + b) - 1 = 0 \quad (7)$$

We inspect these equations to arrive at the following conclusions:

From (4), we know  $\lambda - \mu - \varepsilon = 0$  so in (1), we realize that  $\frac{1}{2}w_1^2 + \lambda - \mu - \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}w_1^2 = 0$ , therefore  $w_1 = 0$ . Then, in (5),  $w_1 + b - 1 = 0 + b - 1 = 0$ , therefore  $b = 1$ . Then, (6) and (7) render a system of simple equations.

$$\begin{aligned} -(0 - \sqrt{2}w_2 + w_3 + 1) - 1 &= 0 \\ -(0 + \sqrt{2}w_2 + w_3 + 1) - 1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Solving this system of equations renders  $w_3 = -1$  and  $w_2 = 0$

To show that the margin is  $\frac{1}{\|\hat{w}\|}$ , let us consider a function

$$\gamma_i = y_i \left( \frac{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i}{\|\hat{w}\|} + \frac{b}{\|\hat{w}\|} \right)$$

with the objective of finding

$$\max_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} |\gamma_i| = \text{margin}$$

Then, we can evaluate  $\gamma_i$  for all such  $i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \left( \frac{\mathbf{w}^T}{4} [0, 0, 0] + \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \\ \gamma_2 &= - \left( \frac{\mathbf{w}^T}{4} [0, 0, 1] + \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \\ \gamma_3 &= - \left( \frac{\mathbf{w}^T}{4} [0, 0, 1] + \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \\ \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

We realize that  $\frac{1}{\|w\|_2}$  is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{0+0+(-2)^2}} = \frac{1}{2}$  and thus, the margin is  $\frac{1}{\|\hat{w}\|}$ .

- (d) Generalizing the solution to 4c. renders that  $b = \rho$  from (5). Given  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , let us say that 4c. expresses  $b, \mathbf{w}$  for some  $\rho_1$ . Then, for some  $\rho_2$ , we find  $b = \rho_2, \mathbf{w} = [0, 0, -2\rho_2]$ . We realize that our function classifies according to the sign of  $\rho(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b)$  instead of simply  $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b$ . Knowing that  $\rho \geq 1$ , we realize that  $\text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b) = \text{sign}(\rho(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b))$  so the classification remains the same for all such  $\rho$ .

## 5. Seismic waves

- (a) phase, iphase frequencies



- phase

	phase	absolute frequency	relative frequency
	Lg	1594	0.017811
	P	61779	0.690322
	PKP	5974	0.066754
–	Pg	403	0.004503
	Pn	10762	0.120255
	Rg	11	0.000123
	S	4685	0.052350
	Sn	4285	0.047881

- iphase

	iphase	absolute frequency	relative frequency
	Lg	2171	0.024259
	N	10683	0.119372
	P	50815	0.567810
	Pg	5291	0.059122
–	Pn	12610	0.140905
	Px	365	0.004079
	Rg	444	0.004961
	Sn	318	0.003553
	Sx	4179	0.046696
	tx	2617	0.029243

(b) Confusion matrix (empty cells are zero)

	phase												
iphase		Lg	PKP	P	S	Rg	Sn	Pn	Pg		Total		
	Lg	293	2	114	860	5	859	34	4		2171		
	Sx	297	61	971	1257	3	1191	393	6		4179		
	tx	17	383	2039	26		18	111	23		2617		
	Px	30	13	101	46		68	61	46		365		
	N	431	564	6097	1278	1	1133	1149	30		10683		
	P	105	4586	42600	336		153	2993	42		50815		
	Rg	83		8	182	2	169				444		
	Pg	218	120	2716	318		303	1509	107		5291		
	Pn	95	244	7123	243	256		4504	145		12610		
	Sn	25	1	10	139		135	8			318		
Total										89493			
	phase												
iphase		Lg	PKP	P	S	Rg	Sn	Pn	Pg		Accuracy	Weight	Total
	Lg	0.135	0.001	0.053	0.396	0.002	0.396	0.016	0.002		0.135	0.024	0.003275
	Sx	0.071	0.015	0.232	0.301	0.001	0.285	0.094	0.001		0.586	0.047	0.027364
	tx	0.006	0.146	0.779	0.010		0.007	0.042	0.009			0.029	
	Px	0.082	0.036	0.277	0.126		0.186	0.167	0.126		0.605	0.004	0.002468
	N	0.040	0.053	0.571	0.120		0.106	0.108	0.003			0.119	
	P	0.002	0.090	0.838	0.007		0.003	0.059	0.001		0.838	0.568	0.475625
	Rg	0.187		0.018	0.410	0.005	0.381				0.005	0.005	0.000022
	Pg	0.041	0.023	0.513	0.060		0.057	0.285	0.020		0.020	0.057	0.001194
	Pn	0.008	0.019	0.565	0.019	0.020		0.357	0.011		0.357	0.141	0.050303
	Sn	0.079	0.003	0.031	0.437		0.425	0.025			0.425	0.004	0.001510
Total												0.561761	

(c) Top stations

- 7: 8751 detections

- ii. 24: 5794 detections
- iii. 3: 2677 detections
- iv. 80: 2528 detections
- v. 19: 2478 detections
- vi. 38: 2429 detections
- vii. 63: 2411 detections
- viii. 12: 2343 detections
- ix. 74: 2265 detections
- x. 65: 2227 detections

(d) Data munging

station	iphase accuracy (%)	classifier accuracy (%)
7	97.75	88.08
24	87.23	92.15
3	83.86	92.02
80	95.64	88.10
• 19	67.56	88.87
38	94.74	90.69
63	91.09	88.18
12	82.33	88.77
74	81.44	89.56
65	81.56	92.51

(e) Optimal c

station	c	accuracy
7	10	99.03
24	1	96.59
3	1	99.29
80	100	100
• 19	100	97.67
38	1	99.4
63	0.1	82.68
12	100	96.62
74	1	98.51
65	1	93.16

		actual phase								
(f)	predicted		Lg	PKP	P	S	Rg	Pg	Pn	Sn
		Lg	0.005	0.009	0.689	0.071		0.001	0.145	0.080
		PKP	0.007	0.019	0.653	0.059		0.002	0.177	0.083
		P	0.006	0.028	0.723	0.069		0.002	0.109	0.062
		S	0.009	0.033	0.845	0.029		0.003	0.052	0.028
		Rg	0.011	0.064	0.733	0.058		0.002	0.092	0.040
		Pg	0.004	0.026	0.741	0.048		0.001	0.109	0.071
		Pn	0.012	0.032	0.806	0.043		0.004	0.073	0.031
		Sn	0.007	0.029	0.760	0.064		0.003	0.087	0.050