Franklin Hu, Sunil Pedapudi CS 194-10 Machine Learning Fall 2011 Assignment 5

1. Conjugate priors

(a) Let

Likelihood:
$$\mathbb{P}(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \lambda \exp(-\lambda x_i)$$

Prior: $\operatorname{gamma}(\lambda | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta \lambda}$

Then,

Posterior:

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_N) = \prod_{i}^{N} \lambda \exp\left(-\lambda x_i\right) \cdot \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta \lambda}$$

$$= \lambda \exp\left(\sum_{i}^{N} -\lambda x_i\right) \lambda^{\alpha - 1} e^{-\beta \lambda} \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

$$= \lambda^{\alpha + N - 1} \exp\left(\sum_{i}^{N} -\lambda x_i - \beta \lambda\right) \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

$$= \lambda^{\alpha + N - 1} \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i}^{N} x_i + \beta\right) \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sim \operatorname{gamma}(\alpha + N, \beta + \sum_{i}^{N} x_i)$$

Since the posterior also has a gamma distribution, we find the updates parameters are of the form $\alpha + N, \beta + \sum_{i}^{N} x_{i}$. To find the prediction distribution,

$$\mathbb{P}(x_N + 1 | x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int \lambda \exp(-\lambda x_{N+1}) \cdot \lambda^{\alpha+N-1} \exp\left(-\lambda(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i)\right) d\lambda$$
$$= \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int \lambda \cdot \lambda^{\alpha+N-1} \exp\left(-\lambda(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} x_i)\right) d\lambda$$
$$= \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int \lambda \cdot P(\lambda | \alpha + N, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} x_i) d\lambda$$

We note that this describes the expectation for λ given a gamma function \sim gamma($\lambda | \alpha + N, \beta + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} x_i$). Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(x_N + 1 | x_1, \dots, x_N) = \frac{\alpha + N}{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} x_i}$$

(b) Given the geometric distribution

$$P(X_i = k | \theta) = (1 - \theta)^{k-1} \cdot \theta$$

and the beta distribution

$$\beta(\theta|a,b) = \alpha \theta^{a-1} (1-\theta)^{b-1}$$

we prove that the beta distribution is the conjugate prior for a likelihood with a geometric distribution.

$$P(\theta|X) = P(\theta) \cdot P(X|\theta)$$

$$= \alpha \cdot \theta^{a-1} \cdot (1-\theta)^{b-1} \cdot (1-\theta)^{k-1} \cdot \theta$$

$$= \alpha \cdot \theta^a \cdot (1-\theta)^{b+k-2}$$

$$= \beta(\theta|a+1,b+k-1)$$

The posterior has the form of a beta distribution so therefore the beta distribution is the conjugate prior for the geometric distribution.

The update procedure for a beta posterior simply involves updating the a and b parameters

$$a_{N+1} \leftarrow a_N + 1$$
$$b_{N+1} \leftarrow b_N + k - 1$$

(c) Given

Likelihood:
$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$$
Mixture prior: $\mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_m)$

We wish to find the posterior via

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta|\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m \mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_m) \prod_{i}^{N} \mathbb{P}(x_i|\theta)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{M} w_m \mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_m^+)$$

This is to say that we can find a γ_m^+ that renders $\mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_m^+)$ equal to $\mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_m)\prod_i^N \mathbb{P}(x_i|\theta)$. The updates to γ may be done iteratively as

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_m) \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{P}(x_i|\theta) = \mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_m) \mathbb{P}(x_1|\theta) \dots \mathbb{P}(x_N|\theta)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_m') \mathbb{P}(x_1|\theta) \dots \mathbb{P}(x_{N-1}|\theta)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_m'') \mathbb{P}(x_1|\theta) \dots \mathbb{P}(x_{N-1}|\theta)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\theta|\gamma_m^+)$$

(d) Given

Mixture likelihood:
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \mathbb{P}(x_i | \theta_i)) \text{Prior: } \mathbb{P}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N | \gamma)$$

We find the posterior via

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_N | \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \mathbb{P}(x_i | \theta_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\theta_i | \gamma)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \mathbb{P}(x_i | \theta_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\theta_i | \gamma)$$

2. Bayesian Naive Bayes

(a) Maximum likelihood learning chooses the hypothesis with the greatest likelihood where as Bayesian learning computes the weights over all hypotheses and uses a linear combination of their outputs. To use Bayesian learning

(b)

- 3. Logistic regression for credit scoring
 - (a) The data structure we chose for logistic regression is simply a class that keeps a set of weights for each of the features, has an update method for updating the weights, and draws predictions using the logit function

Probability =
$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-w^T x}}$$

(b) The likelihood is

$$\begin{split} L(w) &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{-yw^Tx}} \\ \log \text{ likelihood} &= \log \frac{1}{1 + e^{-yw^Tx}} \\ &= -\log(1 + e^{-yw^Tx}) \\ \text{negative log likelihood} &= \log(1 + e^{-yw^Tx}) \end{split}$$

Now we compute the gradient of the negative log likelihood

$$\nabla \log(1 + e^{-yw^T x}) = \nabla \log\left(\frac{e^{yw^T x} + 1}{e^{yw^T x}}\right)$$

$$= \nabla\left(\log(e^{yw^T x} + 1) - \log(e^{yw^T x})\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{e^{yw^T x} + 1} \cdot e^{yw^T x} \cdot -yx_i\right) - \left(\frac{1}{e^{yw^T x}} \cdot e^{yw^T x} \cdot -yx_i\right)$$

$$= yx_i - yx_i \cdot \frac{e^{yw^T x}}{e^{yw^T x} + 1}$$

$$= yx_i - yx_i \cdot \left(\frac{e^{yw^T x} + 1}{e^{yw^T x}}\right)^{-1}$$

$$= yx_i - yx_i \cdot (1 + e^{-yw^T x})^{-1}$$

$$= yx_i \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-yw^T x}}\right)$$

Therefore our update rule is simply

$$w_{i+1} = w_i + \alpha \cdot \nabla L$$

= $w_i + \alpha \cdot yx_i \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-yw^T x}}\right)$

(c)

(d) The model gives a probability that the example is 1. Thus if the prediction is greater than 0.5, then we predict 1; if the prediction is less than 0.5 we predict 0; and if the prediction is exactly 0.5 we flip a fair coin.