

1. Linear neural networks

- (a) Suppose we have a three layer neural network with one input layer  $x$ , one hidden layer  $h$ , and one output layer  $y$ . Each layer can be expressed as a vector of the values of the nodes in that layer. For example,

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Assume that each neural node has its own set of weights  $\mathbf{w}_i$  where  $i$  is the node index. We can express the value of a particular output in terms of the hidden layer

$$y_k = g(\mathbf{h})$$

Since we are only considering linear activation functions, we can write this equation in terms of a constant multiplied by the weighted sum of inputs

$$y_k = c_{y_k} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{y_k} \cdot \mathbf{h}$$

where  $c_{y_k}$  is the constant multiplier of  $y_k$ ,  $w_{y_k}$  is the set of weights for  $y_k$ , and  $h$  is the vector of hidden nodes.

Similarly, we can express the value of each node in the hidden layer in terms of the inputs.

$$h_j = c_{h_j} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{h_j} \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

Now, we can see that the output layer nodes can simply be written in terms of the inputs without the hidden layer. For a particular output node:

$$\begin{aligned} y_k &= c_{y_k} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{y_k} \cdot \mathbf{h} \\ &= c_{y_k} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{y_k} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= c_{y_k} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{y_k} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{h_1} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{h_1} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ c_{h_2} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{h_2} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ c_{h_n} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{h_n} \cdot \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= c_{y_k} \cdot (\mathbf{w}_{y_k} \cdot \mathbf{c}_h \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{w}_h) \cdot \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_h$  is a vector of the constant weight for each hidden node,  $I$  is the identity matrix, and  $w_h$  is a matrix of the weight vectors of the hidden nodes. Thus we can define a new weight vector  $\mathbf{u}_{y_k}$  for the output node  $y_k$

$$\mathbf{u}_{y_k} = \mathbf{w}_{y_k} \cdot \mathbf{c}_h \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{w}_h$$

We can thus simply compute the value of  $y_k$  in terms of  $x$ .

- (b) For an arbitrary number of hidden nodes, the same computation can be done. Suppose we have  $h$  hidden layers with  $\mathbf{h}_1$  having  $\mathbf{x}$  as inputs and  $\mathbf{y}$  having  $\mathbf{h}_n$  as inputs. In this case, consider the sub-network of  $\mathbf{h}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{h}_1$ , and  $\mathbf{x}$ . Using the technique in part (a), we can write the expression for  $\mathbf{h}_2$  in terms only of  $\mathbf{x}$ . The resulting expression

$$\mathbf{h}_{2i} = c_{h_2} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h_2} \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

still has a linear activation function and therefore this technique generalizes to the remaining hidden nodes. We repeat this process for all the hidden nodes and can thus write the expression for any output node  $y_k$

$$y_k = c_{y_k} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{y_k} \cdot \left( \prod_{i=1}^h \mathbf{c}_{h_i} \cdot \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{h_i} \right) \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

- (c) For the case when  $h \ll n$ , a neural net with the hidden layer will do  $O(hn)$  computations to find the linear combination of the weighted sum of inputs whereas without the hidden layer, as shown in (a), the output is only dependent on  $x$ . This computations is  $O(n)$ , so we save those  $h - 1$  other computations over the inputs.

## 2. ML estimation of exponential model

Knowing

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{b} e^{-\frac{x}{b}}$$

- (a) We write the likelihood function given  $x_i$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(b) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{b} e^{-\frac{x_i}{b}} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{b} \right)^N \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\frac{x_i}{b}} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{b} \right)^N e^{\sum_{i=1}^N -\frac{x_i}{b}} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{b} \right)^N \exp\left(-\frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } \bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i,$$

$$\mathcal{L}(b) = \left( \frac{1}{b} \right)^N \exp\left(-\frac{1}{b} N\bar{x}\right)$$

- (b) We first find

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\mathcal{L}) &= \log\left(\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^N \exp\left(-\frac{1}{b} N\bar{x}\right)\right) \\ &= \log\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^N + \log\left(e^{-\frac{1}{b} N\bar{x}}\right) \\ &= N(\log(1) - \log(b)) - \frac{1}{b} N\bar{x} \\ &= -N\log(b) - \frac{1}{b} N\bar{x} \end{aligned}$$

Then, let  $\theta = \frac{1}{b}$ , the parameter variable

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \log(\mathcal{L}(\theta))}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial N \log(\theta)}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \theta N \bar{x}}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \frac{N}{\theta} - \frac{\partial \theta N \bar{x}}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \frac{N}{\theta} - N \bar{x} \\ &= Nb - N \bar{x}\end{aligned}$$

(c) We aim to maximize  $\mathcal{L}$  so,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} = Nb - N \bar{x} = 0$$

We can solve this to find

$$b = \bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N x_i$$

3. ML estimation of noisy-OR model

4. Naive Bayes models for spam filtering

(a)

(b) We modified the skeleton code in NBmodel.py. We were not sure what the point was to have each NaiveBayesModel have a pointer to another model and just made this base class the model used. Most of the training and classification code was common between the Boolean and NTF subclasses with the exception of the classification code to calculate the log probabilities.

(c)

(d) In general, we calculate the log probability ratio:

$$\frac{P(Y = 1|X)}{P(Y = 0|X)} \rightarrow \log \frac{P(Y = 1|X)}{P(Y = 0|X)}$$

If the original ratio is greater than 1, then we classify the example as spam. If it is less than 1, we classify it as ham. If it is equal to one, we flip a fair coin.

When we take the log, we simply adjust the value we compare it to since  $\log 1 = 0$ .

For Boolean features, our expression for the likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned}P(Y = 1|X) &= P(Y = 1) \cdot P(X|Y = 1) \\ &= P(Y = 1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|Y = 1) \\ &= \alpha \theta_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{1i}^{x_i} (1 - \theta_{1i})^{1-x_i}\end{aligned}$$

Since we assume that the attributes are independent, we can turn  $P(X|Y = 1)$  into a product of the individual probabilities.

When we take the log ratio, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{P(Y=1|X)}{P(Y=0|X)} &= \frac{\alpha \theta_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{1i}^{x_i} (1 - \theta_{1i})^{1-x_i}}{\alpha \theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{0i}^{x_i} (1 - \theta_{0i})^{1-x_i}} \\
&= \frac{\theta_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{1i}^{x_i} (1 - \theta_{1i})^{1-x_i}}{\theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{0i}^{x_i} (1 - \theta_{0i})^{1-x_i}} \\
\log \frac{P(Y=1|X)}{P(Y=0|X)} &= \log \left( \theta_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{1i}^{x_i} (1 - \theta_{1i})^{1-x_i} \right) - \log \left( \theta_0 \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{0i}^{x_i} (1 - \theta_{0i})^{1-x_i} \right) \\
&= \log \theta_1 - \log \theta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \log \theta_{1i} + (1 - x_i) \cdot \log(1 - \theta_{1i}) - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \log \theta_{0i} - (1 - x_i) \cdot \log(1 - \theta_{0i})
\end{aligned}$$

For NTF, we can make the same independence assumption and derive a log probability ratio

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{P(Y=1|X)}{P(Y=0|X)} &= \frac{P(Y=1) \cdot P(X|Y=1)}{P(Y=0) \cdot P(X|Y=0)} \\
&= \frac{P(Y=1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|Y=1)}{P(Y=0) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|Y=0)} \\
&= \frac{\alpha \theta_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b_i} e^{-\frac{x_i}{b_i}}}{\alpha \theta_0 \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{b_j} e^{-\frac{x_j}{b_j}}} \\
&= \frac{\theta_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b_i} e^{-\frac{x_i}{b_i}}}{\theta_0 \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{b_j} e^{-\frac{x_j}{b_j}}} \\
\log \frac{P(Y=1|X)}{P(Y=0|X)} &= \log \frac{\theta_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b_i} e^{-\frac{x_i}{b_i}}}{\theta_0 \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{b_j} e^{-\frac{x_j}{b_j}}} \\
&= \log \left( \theta_1 \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{b_i} e^{-\frac{x_i}{b_i}} \right) - \log \left( \theta_0 \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{b_j} e^{-\frac{x_j}{b_j}} \right) \\
&= \log \theta_1 - \log \theta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( \frac{1}{b_i} e^{-\frac{x_i}{b_i}} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^n \log \left( \frac{1}{b_j} e^{-\frac{x_j}{b_j}} \right) \\
&= \log \theta_1 - \log \theta_0 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n -\log b_i - \frac{x_i}{b_i} \right) - \left( \sum_{j=1}^n -\log b_j - \frac{x_j}{b_j} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $b_i$  and  $b_j$  are the appropriate constants calculated with the closed form solution in question 2 for each feature.