# Machine Learning for Economic Analysis Problem Set 7

### Jonas Lieber\*

Due: 11:59pm Wed, March 13, 2024

#### Problem 1. IV: Measurement error & Simultaneity

1. Consider the measurement error model discussed in class:

$$Y = X\beta + U$$
,

where we observe  $(Y, \tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$ , where

$$\tilde{X} = X + V^1, \check{X} = X + V^2,$$

and for  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ 

- (a)  $\mathbb{E}[V^j] = 0$ ,
- (b)  $\mathbb{E}[XV^j] = 0$ ,
- (c)  $\mathbb{E}[UV^j] = 0$ ,
- $(d) \ \mathbb{E}[V^1V^2] = 0.$

Verify exogeneity and relevance of  $\check{X}$  as instrument for  $\tilde{X}$ .

2. Recall the following models for demand and supply considered in class

$$Q^{s}(P) = \alpha^{s} + P\beta^{s} + Z\gamma + U^{s},$$
  

$$Q^{d}(P) = \alpha^{d} + P\beta^{d} + U^{d}.$$

- (a) Which sign do you expect for  $\beta^s$  and for  $\beta^d$ ? Which sign do you expect for  $\beta^d \beta^s$ ?
- (b) Derive the equilibrium price.
- (c) Show that the equilibrium price is endogenous in the model for demand.
- (d) Verify exogeneity and relevance of Z as instrument for P in the demand model if if  $\gamma \neq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[ZU^d] = \mathbb{E}[ZU^s] = E[U^sU^d] = 0$  and Var(Z) > 0.
- (e) Is Z also a valid instrument in the supply model?

## Problem 2. Post-selection Inference & Double ML

1. Write a function to generate data for simulation.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Economics, Yale University. jonas.lieber@yale.edu

- (a) The function should take n, the number of observations,  $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  as inputs.
- (b) The function should then create n i.i.d. data where
  - i.  $x_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ , the regressor we don't care about
  - ii.  $v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.1)$  is a noise parameter (the "exogenous part of  $x_1$ "), independent of  $x_2$
  - iii.  $x_1 = x_2 + x_2^2 \gamma_1 + x_2^5 \gamma_2 + v$ , the regressor we do care about
  - iv.  $u \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ , the "structural error",
  - $v. y = x_1\beta_1 + x_2\beta_2 + x_2^2\beta_3 + \sin(x_2)\beta_4 + u$
- (c) the function should return  $(y, x_1, x_2)$ .
- 2. First consider the case  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$ . Write a function to calculate
  - (a) the estimated coefficient for  $\beta_1$  of the "unrestricted" regression of y on  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  along with the standard error for this estimate
  - (b) the estimated coefficient for  $\beta_1$  of the "restricted" regression of y on  $x_1$  along with the standard error for this estimate
  - (c) the estimated coefficient for  $\beta_1$  of the pretest regression discussed in class and by Leeb & Pötscher ("Model Selection and Inference: Facts and Fiction" 2005, Econometric Theory) along with an indicator for which model was selected and the standard error for the selected model
- 3. Consider n = 10, 100, 1000 and 10000 (so four values of n). For each n, suppose that  $\beta_1 = 2$  and  $\beta_2 = \frac{3}{\sqrt{n}}$  for each n. Then for each  $s = 1, \ldots, S = 1000$ ,
  - (a) create a dataset using the function described in 1.
  - (b) compute the estimators using the function described in 2. and store the results.
- 4. To visualize the results,
  - (a) Create a table with mean and variance of each of the three ways to estimate the coefficients. Briefly interpret this result. Which phenomenon discussed in class do you observe?
  - (b) Report the fraction of instances (out of S) that the true model was selected. How does it vary with n? Interpret the result.
  - (c) For each of the three methods, report the fraction of instances (out of S) that the true coefficient is contained in the confidence interval  $[\hat{\beta}_1 1.96\hat{\sigma}_1, \hat{\beta}_1 + 1.96\hat{\sigma}_1]$ . Also report the average length of the confidence intervals. Interpret the results. Hint: Which coverage level would you expect?
- 5. Bonus (2 points): Plot the coverage probabilities for the pretest-model (with "oracle inference", as above) and the unrestricted regression for n = 100 as a function of  $\beta$  on a grid of beta (at least 20 values) that you have selected judiciously in a single plot.

#### Problem 3. graduate students only LASSO Theory

For given  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ ,  $\beta^* \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $U \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $Y = X\beta^* + U$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , consider  $\hat{\beta}$ , defined as minimizer of  $f(\beta) = \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1$ .

1. Show that for any  $\beta$ 

$$||y - X\beta||_2^2 = ||y - X\beta^*||_2^2 + ||X(\beta^* - \beta)||_2^2 + 2U^t X(\beta^* - \beta)$$

Hint: Start on the left, add and subtract  $X\beta^*$  and use the definition of U.

2. Conclude that

$$\|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)\|_2^2 \le 2U^t X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) + \lambda \left(\|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}\|_1\right)$$

Hint: Use that  $f(\hat{\beta}) \leq f(\beta^*)$ .

3. Where did we use this result in class?