# **INDUCTION**

#### FRANK TSAI

### Contents

| 1. | Induction |  |
|----|-----------|--|
| 2. | Examples  |  |

## 1. Induction

Roughly speaking, natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  are the "minimal" data type equipped with a successor function  $s: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ . We can define  $\mathbb{N}$  inductively:

- (i) 0 is a natural number;
- (ii) if n is a natural number, then s(n) is also a natural number.

```
data \mathbb{N}: Set where zero : \mathbb{N} suc : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}
```

We may want to prove that every natural number has some property P. For example, we may want to prove that for any natural number n,  $2 \mid n(n+1)$ . If we proceed directly, we may write down "let n be a natural number, we need to prove  $2 \mid n(n+1)$ ." Sadly, we are stuck because we don't know what n is.

The good news is we know that every natural number is either 0 or the successor of some other natural number, i.e., s(m). If we can somehow show the followings:

$$P(0)$$
  $\forall k. (P(k) \Rightarrow P(s(k)))$ 

then we can reason as follows: let n be any natural number, we want to show P(n). We can do a case analysis on n. If n is 0, then we need to show P(0), which we have shown already. If n is s(m) instead, then we need to show P(s(m)). Since we know  $\forall k \in P(k) \Rightarrow P(s(k))$ , it suffices to show P(m). To show P(m), we repeat the previous argument, i.e., we do a case analysis on m and so on.

This is similar to writing a recursive function with a base case in programming. In fact, we can implement this in programming languages such as Agda.

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{induction}: \left\{P: \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Set}\right\} \to \\ P \ 0 \to \\ (\forall \ k \to P \ k \to P \ (\mathsf{suc} \ k)) \to \\ \forall \ n \to P \ n \\ \\ \mathsf{induction} \ b \ f \ \mathsf{zero} = b \\ \mathsf{induction} \ b \ f \ (\mathsf{suc} \ x) = f \ x \ (\mathsf{induction} \ b \ f \ x) \end{array}
```

Date: October 28, 2023.

In summary, the principle of induction says that to prove that  $\forall n. P(n)$ , it suffices to prove two things:

- (i) Base case: P(0), and
- (ii) Induction step:  $\forall k. (P(k) \Rightarrow P(s(k))).$

To prove the induction step, we introduce a natural number k and assume P(k) (the induction hypothesis), and derive P(s(k)).

### 2. Examples

**Proposition 2.1.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $2 \mid n(n+1)$ .

*Proof.* P(n) is  $2 \mid n(n+1)$ . By induction on n, it suffices to prove

- (i) Base case: P(0), i.e.,  $2 \mid 0(0+1)$ .
- (ii) Induction step:  $\forall k. (P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1))$ , i.e.,

$$\forall k. (2 \mid k(k+1) \Rightarrow 2 \mid (k+1)((k+1)+1))$$

(Base case): Clearly, 2 divides 0.

(Induction step): Let k be a natural number. Assume  $2 \mid k(k+1)$ . We need to show that  $2 \mid (k+1)((k+1)+1)$ , or equivalently,  $2 \mid (k(k+1)+2k+2)$ . By the induction hypothesis, 2 divides k(k+1), and clearly, 2 also divides 2k+2.

**Proposition 2.2.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $2^0 + 2^1 + \cdots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$ , *i.e.*,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

*Proof.* P(n) is  $2^0 + 2^1 + \cdots + 2^n = 2^{n+1} - 1$ . By induction on n, it suffices to prove

- (i) Base case: P(0), i.e.,  $2^0 = 2^{0+1} 1$ .
- (ii) Induction step:  $\forall k. (P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1))$ , i.e.,

$$\forall k. \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i} = 2^{n+1} - 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^{i} = 2^{(n+1)+1} - 1\right)$$

(Base case): It follows immediately by computation.

(Induction case): Let k be a natural number. Assume that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

We need to prove

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i = 2^{(n+1)+1} - 1$$

Using the induction hypothesis, the left hand side can be rewritten as follows:

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i}\right) + 2^{n+1} = 2^{n+1} - 1 + 2^{n+1} = 2^{n+2} - 1$$

So far, the choice of P is straightforward. Let's see an example where the choice of P is not so straightforward, but let's see what happens if we choose the naïve P.

INDUCTION 3

**Proposition 2.3.** Consider a function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  defined recursively as follows:

$$f(0) = 1$$

$$f(1) = 3$$

$$f(n+2) = 2f(n+1) - f(n)$$

This function has a closed form:

$$\forall n. f(n) = 2n + 1$$

Failed Attempt. P(n) is f(n) = 2n + 1. By induction on n, we need to prove the base case and the induction step.

(Base case): P(0) is  $f(0) = 2 \cdot 0 + 1$ . By definition, f(0) = 1 and by computation  $2 \cdot 0 + 1 = 1$ , so the base case goes through fine.

(Induction step): Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that f(k) = 2k + 1, we need to prove f(k+1) = 2(k+1) + 1. If k is 0, then the equality follows by computation. If k > 0, then by definition f(k+1) = 2f(k) - f(k-1). By the induction hypothesis, f(k) = 2k + 1, so

$$f(k+1) = 2(2k+1) - f(k-1)$$

We are stuck because the induction hypothesis does not tell us anything about f(k-1).

We need a stronger induction hypothesis that tells us something about f(k-1). This requires a different choice of P. Let's consider the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** For all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if n < m then f(n) = 2n + 1.

*Proof.* P(m) is  $\forall n. (n < m \Rightarrow f(n) = 2n + 1)$ . By induction on m, it suffices to show the base case and the induction step.

(Base case): P(0) is  $\forall n. (n < 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = 2n + 1)$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume n < 0. This is a contradiction because no natural number is strictly less than 0.

(Induction step): Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The induction hypothesis P(k) is

$$\forall n. (n < k \Rightarrow f(n) = 2n + 1)$$

and we need to prove P(k+1), which is

$$\forall n. (n < k+1 \Rightarrow f(n) = 2n+1)$$

Note that the induction hypothesis now tells us something about f(n) for any n less than k. To prove P(k+1), let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume n < k+1.

If k is 0 or 1, then n is 0 or 1. These two cases follow directly from how f is defined.

For k > 1, there are two cases: If n < k, then the result follows immediately from the induction hypothesis.

If n = k, then by definition f(k) = 2f(k-1) - f(k-2). Since k-2 < k and k-1 < k, the induction hypothesis says that f(k-2) = 2(k-2) + 1 = 2k - 3 and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that k-2 and k-1 are natural numbers because k>1 in this case. We eliminated k=0 and k=1 in the previous step for this step to work.

that 
$$f(k-1) = 2(k-1) + 1 = 2k - 1$$
. Thus,  

$$f(k) = 2f(k-1) - f(k-2)$$

$$= 2(2k-1) - (2k-3)$$

$$= 4k - 2 - 2k + 3$$

$$= 2k + 1$$

Proposition 2.3 is an immediate corollary of Lemma 2.4.

*Proof of Proposition 2.3.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We need to prove f(n) = 2n + 1. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 by setting m := n + 1.

You may have heard *strong induction* in class. Unfortunately, the name "strong induction" is somewhat misleading because anything provable with strong induction can be proved with mathematical induction presented here and vice-versa, i.e., strong induction is **not** stronger than mathematical induction. In fact, the pattern used in Lemma 2.4 is what strong induction does.