

Lab 3 for uC/OS-II: Ceiling Priority Protocol

Prof. Li-Pin Chang

ESSLab@NCTU

Objective

- To implement Ceiling Priority Protocol for ucOS's mutex locks

ucOS Mutex Locks


- Mutex lock is assigned to a priority upon creation
 - Its priority is higher than the priorities of all the tasks sharing the mutex lock
 - E.g., the lock's priority will be 2 if T_3 and T_4 share the lock
 - When a task **blocks** another task via a mutex lock, the task inherits the priority of the lock

Disadvantages of PIP

- The “PIP” avoids uncontrolled priority inversion, but it has two disadvantages
 - A high priority task can be blocked multiple times
 - Deadlocks are possible


Scenario 1: Multiple blocking in ucOS2

PIP



Task H is in turn blocked by task L1 and task L2


Scenario 2: Deadlock in uCOS-2 PIP



Ceiling Priority Protocol


- Highest Locker's Priority Protocol
- The priority of the mutex lock is higher than the priorities of all the tasks sharing the lock
- Differently, when a task **acquires** a mutex lock, the task's priority boosts to the priority of the lock

S1 CPP: Removing Multiple Blockings



The result of applying CPP

S2 CPP: Avoiding Deadlocks




Deadlocks are avoided by using CPP

Implementation

- Reuse your code of Lab 0 (not Lab 1)
- Modify the two functions
 - OSMutexPend
 - **Boost** the locker's priority to the mutex priority
 - OSMutexPost
 - **Restore** the original priority of the locker
- **Do not** use OSTaskChangePrio to boost tasks' priorities
 - It calls OS_Sched() and results in unexpected behaviors

Implementation

- All tasks should add proper `OSTimeDly()` at their beginning to emulate their arrival times
- Emulate durations of CPU execution and resource use with your code from Lab 0
 - 2 ticks → lock R1 → 6 ticks → lock R2 → 2 ticks → unlock R2 → 2 ticks → unlock R1



Output

- Similar to those in Labs 0 and 1, but add lock/unlock events
- Output the results of using CPP for Scenarios 1 and 2

Output Example

Priority initialization:

R1: 1

R2: 2

Task1: 3

Task2: 4

Task3: 5


Task arrival time:

Task1: 8

Task2: 4

Task3: 0

Task execution time and resource used:



20	lock	R1	(Prio=5 changes to=1)
90	unlock	R1	(Prio=1 changes to=5)
90	complete		5 3
110	lock	R1	(Prio=3 changes to=1)
130	lock	R2	(Prio=1 changes to=1)
150	unlock	R2	(Prio=1 changes to=1)
150	unlock	R1	(Prio=1 changes to=3)
150	complete		3 4
170	lock	R2	(Prio=4 changes to=2)
220	unlock	R2	(Prio=2 changes to=4)
220	complete		4 19

