

## Algebraic data types

**5.1** Consider the following custom data type for lists:

```
data List a = Empty | Cons a (List a)
```

Define two recursive functions `toList :: [a] -> List a` and `fromList :: List a -> [a]` to convert to and from the above data type and ordinary Prelude lists.

These functions are inverse of one another. In particular, we should have `fromList (toList xs) == xs` for all Prelude lists `xs`. This is enough to show that the above type is isomorphic to the ordinary lists.

**5.2** Define a `Card` data type for representing playing cards of a standard deck: four suits (clubs ♣, spades ♠, hearts ♥, diamonds ♦) and 13 faces (2, 3, ..., 10, J, Q, K, A). You should define auxiliary types for suits and faces.

Define a list `allCards :: [Card]` with all cards of the playing deck; don't enumerate them manually—write a compact representation using a list comprehension.

**5.3** There is a special algebraic data type for results of comparisons:

```
data Ordering = LT | EQ | GT -- defined in the Prelude
```

The `compare` function compares two values and returns one of these values: `LT` means *less-than*, `EQ` means *equal*<sup>1</sup> and `GT` means *greater-than*.<sup>2</sup>

For example: `compare 3 1 == GT` (because 3 is greater than 1).

- (a) Write a function `cmp1 :: Card -> Card -> Ordering` to compare playing cards of the previous exercise so that *all suits are ordered together* i.e. first clubs, then spades, then hearts and finally diamonds. Within a suit, cards should be ordered by face.
- (b) Write another comparison function `cmp2 :: Card -> Card -> Ordering` so that *all faces are ordered together*, i.e. all 2s, then all 3s, etc. then Js, Qs, Ks, As. Within a face, cards should be ordered by suit.

You can test your comparison function by using the `sortBy` function from `Data.List` with the complete deck: `sortBy cmp1 allCards` and `sortBy cmp2 allCards`.

---

<sup>1</sup>Don't confuse `EQ` (a constructor for `Ordering`) with the type class `Eq`.

<sup>2</sup>Note that `compare` allows distinguishing the three outcomes with a single comparison. Using (say) `<=` can only distinguish two outcomes, requiring a second comparison to disambiguate the third outcome.

## Search trees and syntax trees

**5.4** Consider the implementation of sets using binary trees presented in Lecture 10.

- (a) Define a recursive function `size :: Set a -> Int` to compute the size of a set, i.e. its number of elements.
- (b) Defined a recursive function `height :: Set a -> Int` to compute the height of the binary tree representing the set.
- (c) Investigate the height of the following two sets of integers:

```
set1 = foldr insert empty [1..1000]
set2 = fromList [1..1000]
```

What can conclude about the search efficiency in each of these?

**5.5** Consider the spelling checker program of Exercise 4.7 in the Worksheet 4. Change the data structure used for the dictionary from a list of words to a set of words using the binary tree implementation of Lecture 10.

You can leave `Set` as separate module and import its definitions in the spelling checker program. You'll need to use the `fromList` function to build the set from the list of words and use the set `member` function instead of list `elem`.

Once you get the change to compile, try it with a large text file (e.g. from Project Gutenberg). You should notice a substantial improvement in its running time because of the more efficient dictionary search.

**5.6** Consider the type `Prop` for logic propositions defined the Lecture 10. Add a new `Or` constructor for disjunctions and extend the definition of `eval` for this case.

**5.7** Consider the type `Prop` for logic propositions defined the Lecture 10. Define a recursive function `vars :: Prop -> [Name]` that collects all names of variables occurring in the proposition (where `Name` is a type synonym for `Char`).

Example: `vars (And (Var 'p') (Not (Var 'p')))` == `['p','p']`. Note that we do not remove duplicates from the result (we could use `nub` to do so).

**5.8** Define a recursive function `booleans :: Int -> [[Bool]]` that lists all possible sequences of  $n$  booleans for some non-negative  $n$ . Note that the length of `booleans n` is always  $2^n$ .

Example: `booleans 2` = `[[False,False], [False,True], [True,False], [True,True]]`.

**5.9** Recall that an environment `Env` is a list of pairs `(Name,Bool)`. Define a function `environments :: [Name] -> [Env]` that generates all possible variable name assignments of some given variables to boolean values.

For example: `environments ['a','b']` should give a list with 4 environments with all possible assignments to variables  $a$  and  $b$ , i.e.

```
environments ['a','b'] == map (zip ['a','b']) (booleans 2)
```

where `booleans` is the solution to the previous exercise; this should give you an idea of how to generalize the example.

**5.10** The *truth table* of a proposition is a list of type `[(Env, Bool)]` where each entry represents a line in the truth table, namely, the values of variables and the value of the proposition.

For example, the truth table for the proposition `And (Var 'x') (Var 'y')` has the following four lines:

```
[ ([('x',False),('y',False)], False)
  , ([('x',False),('y',True)], False)
  , ([('x',True),('y',False)], False)
  , ([('x',True),('y',True)], True)
]
```

Define a function `table :: Prop -> [(Env, Bool)]` that computes the truth table for a proposition. You should need to combine functions from Exercises 5.7 to 5.9 and the evaluation function presented in Lecture 10.

**5.11** Modify the solution to the previous exercise to define a function `satisfies :: Prop -> [Env]` that computes all assignments of variables that make a proposition valid. Example:

```
> satisfies (And (Var 'x') (Not (Var 'y')))
[[('x',True),('y',False)]]
```

If the proposition is unsatisfiable, you should get an empty list of results:

```
> satisfies (And (Var 'x') (Not (Var 'x')))
[]
```