

Applied Regression (I)

Francisco Villamil

Applied Quantitative Methods II

IC3JM, Spring 2026

1/1

Roadmap

2/1

Review: Key Concepts from AQMSS-I

3/1

The regression model

The most common tool in social science:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon$$

- Y : outcome we want to explain
- X : explanatory variable(s)
- β : coefficients (what we estimate)
- ε : error term (what we can't explain)

4/1

What regression tells us

- Regression estimates **conditional expectations**
- “What is the average Y for units with a given value of X ?”
- The slope β_1 tells us:
 - How much Y changes, on average
 - When comparing units that differ by 1 in X

5/1

Descriptive vs. Causal interpretation

- **Descriptive:** How do units with different X values compare?
 - “People with more education earn more, on average”
- **Causal:** What happens if we change X for a given unit?
 - “If we give someone more education, they will earn more”
- Same coefficient, very different claims!

6/1

The challenge of causal inference

- Causal effects are about **counterfactuals**
- “What would have happened if things were different?”
- The problem: we can’t observe counterfactuals
- We need strategies to infer them
- This will be a recurring theme throughout the course

7/1

Today’s goals

- Understand regression as modeling conditional expectations
- Review the logic of OLS
- Discuss when regression can tell us about causation
- Learn how to think about control variables

8/1

Roadmap

9/1

Regression as Conditional Expectations

10/1

What question does regression answer?

- “What is the average value of Y for different values of X ?”
- This is the **conditional expectation function** (CEF)
- Written as: $E[Y|X]$
- Regression approximates this function

11/1

Example: Income and support for redistribution

- Research question: How does income relate to support for redistribution?
- CEF: “What is the average support for redistribution among people earning \$50k? Among those earning \$100k?”
- We can estimate this with regression

12/1

Linear regression as approximation

- The true CEF might be complicated
- Linear regression fits the **best linear approximation**
- Even if the true relationship is non-linear
- The linear fit is still the best predictor among linear functions
- Why linear? Simple, interpretable, often good enough

13/1

The OLS formula

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\text{Var}(X)}$$

- This gives us the slope that minimizes squared errors
- Intuition: how much does Y move when X moves?
- Scaled by how much X varies

14/1

Interpreting the slope coefficient

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \varepsilon$$

- β_1 represents:
 - The difference in average Y
 - Between groups that differ by 1 unit in X
- This is a **comparison**, not necessarily a causal effect

15/1

Roadmap

16/1

From Description to Causation

17/1

When can we interpret regression causally?

- Descriptive interpretation: always valid
 - “Higher income is associated with less support for redistribution”
- Causal interpretation: requires additional assumptions
 - “Increasing someone’s income would decrease their support”
- The difference is crucial!

18/1

The potential outcomes framework

- Every unit has two potential outcomes:
 - $Y(1)$: outcome if treated
 - $Y(0)$: outcome if not treated
- Causal effect for unit i : $\tau_i = Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)$
- The fundamental problem: we only observe one of these

19/1

Why experiments work

- In an experiment, treatment is randomly assigned
- This means treated and control groups are comparable
- We can use the control group's outcomes as counterfactual
- The simple difference in means estimates the causal effect

20/1

The challenge with observational data

- Most social science data is observational
- Treatment is not randomly assigned
- Problem: treated and control groups may differ
- Not just in treatment, but in other ways too
- These differences can bias our estimates

21/1

Confounding

A **confounder** is a variable that:

- Affects both the treatment and the outcome
- Creates a spurious association between them
- Example: Education, income, and political preferences
- Education affects both income and political views
- Income-politics relationship may be partly spurious

22/1

The logic of controlling

- If we can identify the confounders...
- ...we can “control” for them in regression
- The idea: compare units with same confounder values
- This eliminates the spurious part of the association
- But: this requires knowing what the confounders are

23/1

Roadmap

24/1

Control Variables in Practice

25/1

Multiple regression

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon$$

- β_1 now represents:
 - The difference in average Y
 - Between groups that differ by 1 in X_1
 - **Holding X_2 constant**
- This is the “controlled” effect of X_1

26/1

How controlling works

- OLS with multiple variables “partials out” the controls
- Technically: we look at variation in X_1 that is unrelated to X_2
- This isolates the unique contribution of X_1

27/1

Omitted variable bias

- If we omit a confounder, our estimate will be biased
- The bias formula:

$$\text{Bias} = \beta_{\text{confounder}} \times \delta_{X, \text{confounder}}$$

- Depends on:
 - How strongly the confounder affects Y
 - How strongly the confounder relates to X

28/1

What makes a good control?

Good controls are variables that:

- Affect both the treatment and the outcome
- Are determined **before** the treatment
- Are not affected by the treatment

Pre-treatment confounders are the key!

29/1

Bad controls: Post-treatment variables

- Never control for variables caused by the treatment
- Example: Studying effect of job training on wages
 - Don't control for job type (affected by training)
 - Do control for education (determined before training)
- Controlling for post-treatment variables can *introduce* bias

30/1

Bad controls: Colliders

- A **collider** is caused by both X and Y
- Controlling for it creates a spurious association
- Example: NBA players
 - Height and skill both affect being in NBA
 - Among NBA players, height and skill are negatively correlated
 - But not in the general population!

31/1

The limitations of controlling

- We can only control for what we observe and measure
- Unobserved confounders will still bias our estimates
- There's no purely statistical solution to this
- Need theory + research design, not just more controls

32/1

Summary: Key takeaways

- Regression estimates conditional expectations
- Causal interpretation requires additional assumptions
- Control variables help only if chosen correctly
- Controlling for the wrong variables can make things worse
- Always think about what you're comparing

33/1

For next week

- Read Angrist & Pischke (2008), chapters 1-3
- Read Urdinez & Cruz (2020), chapter 5
- Work on Problem Set 1
- Next session: More on regression in practice
 - Interactions
 - Non-linear relationships
 - Standard errors and inference

34/1

Questions?