Detecting life-threatening patterns in Point-of-care ECG using efficient memory and processor power

Francisco Bischoff

Jul 2020

# Introduction

Currently, Point-of-Care (POC) ECG monitoring works either as plot devices or alarms for abnormal cardiac rhythms using predefined normal trigger ranges. Modern devices also incorporate algorithms to analyze arrhythmias improving their specificity. On the other hand, full 12-derivation ECG machines are complex, are not suited to use as simple monitors and are used with strict techniques for formal diagnostics of hearth electric conduction pathologies. The automatic diagnostics are derived from a complete analysis of the 12-dimension data after it is fully and well collected. Both systems do not handle disconnected leads and patient’s motions, being strictly necessary to have a good and stable signal to allow proper diagnosis. These interferences with the data collection frequently originate false alarms increasing both patient and staff’s stress; depending on how it is measured, the rate of false alarms (overall) in ICU is estimated at 65 to 95%[1](#ref-donchin2002).

Alarm fatigue is a well-known problem that consists of a sensory overload of nurses and clinicians, resulting in desensitization to alarms and missed alarms (the “crying wolf” situation). Patient deaths have been attributed to alarm fatigue[2](#ref-sendelbach2013). In 1982 it was recognized the increase in alarms with “no end in sight”; studies have demonstrated that most alarm signals have no clinical relevance and lead to clinical personnel’s delayed response. Ultimately patient deaths were reported related to inappropriate responses to alarms[2](#ref-sendelbach2013).

In April of 2013, The Joint Commission[3](#ref-the_jc) issued the Sentinel Event Alert[4](#ref-JointCommission2013), establishing alarm system safety as a top hospital priority in the National Patient Safety Goal. Nowadays (2021), this subject still on their list, in fourth place of importance[5](#ref-the_jc2021).

In February of 2015, the CinC/Physionet Challenge 2015 was about “Reducing False Arrhythmia Alarms in the ICU[6](#ref-Clifford2015). The introduction article stated that it had been reported that up to 86% resulting of the alarms are false, and this can lead to decreased staff attention and an increase in patients’ delirium[7](#ref-Lawless1994)–[9](#ref-Parthasarathy2004).

Due to this matter’s importance, this research aims to identify abnormal hearth electric patterns using streaming data, specifically those who are life-threatening, reducing the false alarms, being a reliable signal for Intensive Care Units to respond quickly to those situations.

# 1 Objectives and the research question

This research aims to identify, on streaming data, abnormal hearth electric patterns, specifically those which are life-threatening, to be a reliable signal for Intensive Care Units to respond quickly to those situations. It also may be able to continuously analyze new data and correct itself shutting off false alarms.

As it is known, this goal is not a new problem, so the main questions to solve are: (1) Can we reduce the number of false alarms in the ICU setting? (2) Can we accomplish this objective using a minimalist approach (low CPU, low memory) while maintaining robustness? (3) Can this approach be used in other health domains other than ICU or ECG?

# 2 Related Works

The CinC/Physionet Challenge 2015 produced several papers aiming to reduce false alarms on their dataset. On Table it is listed the five life-threatening alarms present in their dataset.

TABLE 2.1: Definition of the 5 alarm types used in CinC/Physionet Challenge 2015 challenge.

Alarm

Definition

Asystole

No QRS for at least 4 seconds

Extreme Bradycardia

Heart rate lower than 40 bpm for 5 consecutive beats

Extreme Tachycardia

Heart rate higher than 140 bpm for 17 consecutive beats

Ventricular Tachycardia

5 or more ventricular beats with heart rate higher than 100 bpm

Ventricular Flutter/Fibrillation

Fibrillatory, flutter, or oscillatory waveform for at least 4 seconds

They used as score the following formula, which penalizes five times the false negatives (since we do not want to miss any real event):

The five-best scores in this challenge are presented on Table [10](#ref-plesinger2015)–[14](#ref-hoogantink2015).

TABLE 2.2: Challenge Results on Streaming

Score

Authors

81.39

Filip Plesinger, Petr Klimes, Josef Halamek, Pavel Jurak

79.44

Vignesh Kalidas

79.02

Paula Couto, Ruben Ramalho, Rui Rodrigues

76.11

Sibylle Fallet, Sasan Yazdani, Jean-Marc Vesin

75.55

Christoph Hoog Antink, Steffen Leonhardt

Their algorithm did a pretty good job on the Physionet test-set. However, independently of their approach to this problem, none of the authors reported benchmarks, memory usage, robustness test, or context invariance that could assure its implementation on real monitors to reduce alarm fatigue indeed.

There are other arrhythmias that this challenge did not assess, like atrial standstill (hyperkalemia), third-degree atrioventricular block, and others that may be life-threatening in some settings. Pulseless electrical activity is a frequent condition in cardiac arrest but cannot be identified without blood pressure information. This information is usually present in ICU settings but not in other locations.

# 3 The planned approach and methods for solving the problem

## 3.1 State of the art

A literature review of the last ten years is being conducted to assess state of the art for ECG automatic processing collecting the following points if available : (1) The memory and space used to perform the primary goal of the algorithm (sound an alarm, for ex.). (2) The type of algorithms used to identify ECG anomalies. (3) The type of algorithms used to identify specific diagnoses (like a flutter, hyperkalemia, and others). (4) Their performance (accuracy, ROC, etc.)

A broad search will be conducted on Pubmed, Scopus, Google Scholar, device manuals, and other specific sources.

Keywords:

* ECG AND monitoring AND ICU
* ECG AND[time series]
* ECG AND automatic AND interpretation

Articles published after “The PhysioNet/Computing in Cardiology Challenge 2015: Reducing False Arrhythmia Alarms in the ICU” will also be analyzed.

## 3.2 Research plan and methods

This research is being conducted using the Research Compendium principles[15](#ref-compendium2019):

1. Stick with the convention of your peers;
2. Keep data, methods, and output separated;
3. Specify your computational environment as clearly as you can.

Data management is following the FAIR principle (findable, accessible, interoperable, reusable)[16](#ref-wilkinson2016).

Currently, the dataset used is stored on a public repository[17](#ref-franz_dataset), the source code is publicly open and stored on Github[18](#ref-franz_github), while the reports and reproducibility information on each step is found on a public website[19](#ref-franz_website).

### 3.2.1 Type of study

This thesis will be a diagnostic study as the algorithm must classify the change in pattern as positive or negative for life-threatening.

### 3.2.2 The data

Initially we will use the CinC/Physionet Challenge 2015 dataset that is publicly available on Physionet. This dataset is a good start for exploring the main goal of reduce false alarms. This dataset was manually selected for this challenge and the events were labeled by experts, so it is not RAW data. All signals have been resampled (using anti-alias filters) to 12 bit, 250 Hz and have had FIR band-pass [0.05 to 40Hz] and mains notch filters applied to remove noise. Pacemaker and other artifacts may be present on the ECG[6](#ref-Clifford2015). Furthermore, this dataset contains at least two ECG derivations and one or more variables like arterial blood pressure, photoplethysmograph readings, and respiration movements.

These variables may or may not be helpful for increasing the sensitivity or specificity of the algorithm. It is planned to use the minimum set of variables as it is known in multi-dimensional analysis that using just two (or some small subset) of all the dimensions can be much more accurate than either using all dimensions or a single dimension[20](#ref-gharghabi2018).

It is desirable that real data extracted from Portuguese ICU could be used in further stage to assess the validity of the model in real settings and robustness (using RAW data instead of filtered data). The variables available on Physionet’s dataset are commonly available on Portuguese ICU’s.

### 3.2.3 Workflow

All steps of the process will be managed using the R package targets[21](#ref-landau2021) from data extraction to the final report, as shown in Fig. .

The report will then be available on the main webpage[19](#ref-franz_website), allowing inspection of previous versions managed by the R package workflowr[22](#ref-workflowr2021), as we can see in Fig. .

### 3.2.4 Statistical analysis

The Statistical analysis will be performed using R language v4.0.5 or greater and it will be computed the ROC curve for the algorithm.

The experiment will be conducted using the Matrix Profile concept[23](#ref-yeh2016), the state-of-the-art for time series analysis. It will be conducted several experiments to identify the best algorithm for this task. One of such algorithms is the online semantic segmentation for multi-dimensional time series[20](#ref-gharghabi2018).

In addition, we will combine the fading factors[24](#ref-Gama2013),[25](#ref-Rodrigues2010) strategy to minimize the memory and space consumption lowering the processor power needed, allowing this algorithm to be used in almost any device.

### 3.2.5 Research Team

* Thesis Author: Francisco Bischoff
* Supervisor: Professor Pedro Pereira Rodrigues
* Co-supervisor: Professor Eamonn Keogh (UCR, Riverside)

## 3.3 Expected results and outcomes

We expect the following results: (1) Identify the obstacles of identifying life-threatening ECG changes within memory, space, and CPU constraints. (2) Be able to reduce ECG monitor’s false alarms using the proposed methodology. (3) Assess the feasibility of implementing the algorithm in the real world and other settings than ICU monitors.

And outcomes: (1) To achieve a better score of false alarm reduction than the best on Physionet’s 2015 challenge. (2) To push forward the state-of-the-art technology on false alarms reduction, maybe even being domain agnostic. (3) To draw more attention to fading factors as a reliable, fast, and cheap approximation of the true value. (4) To draw more attention to the matrix profile concept as an efficient, agnostic, and almost parameter-free way to analyze time series. (5) To draw more attention of the Patient Monitoring industry on solving the false alarm problem.

# 4 Whatever

**Research question and aims**

This research aims to identify, on streaming data, abnormal hearth electric patterns, specifically those who are life-threatening, in order to be a reliable signal for Intensive Care Units to respond quickly to those situations. It also may be able to continuously analyze new data and correct itself shutting off false alarms. Primarily an experiment will be conducted using 2 main algorithms that use Matrix Profile in detecting context changes: SDTD and FLOSS. One uses whole data training and testing, and the other uses a streaming approach that is our main interest. The goal will be detecting the transition from normal to flutter/FA to normal condition with special attention to not rely on rhythm changes. Being this successful, a more generalistic approach will be attempted: to detect changes from normal to abnormal to normal conditions, with special attention to handle with disconnected leads or patient movements. Finally, this research can prove to be a good addition to the Matrix Profile method, using fading factors in order to reduce memory and space consumption, lowering the processor power needed, allowing this algorithm to be used in almost any device.

**About the ongoing project**

The document submitted for approval is [here](https://github.com/franzbischoff/false.alarm/blob/master/protocol/Protocol.pdf).

The full code is open-sourced and available [here](https://github.com/franzbischoff/false.alarm/)

To follow the thesis timeline you can access the full Gantt chart at Zenhub. Click [here](https://app.zenhub.com/workspaces/phd-thesis-5eb2ce34f5f30b3aed0a35af/roadmap) (you need a github account, but that’s it).

PDF, EPUB and WORD versions will be available at the end of this work.
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