#### Sandro Cumani

sandro.cumani@polito.it

Politecnico di Torino

The projects consist in binary classification tasks

There are 3 tasks (you have to choose one):

- Banknote authentication (two flavours of the dataset, both must be analyzed)
- Pulsar detection
- Wine quality detection

For each dataset you have a Train.txt file that contains training data and a Test.txt file that contains test data

Each row of the data files corresponds to a sample

Features are separated by commas

The last column is the class label (0 or 1)

File INFO.txt contains the description of the features and additional information

Additional information may be present in the project folder

The protocol is the same for all datasets, and MUST be followed:

- Train data can be used to estimate model parameters and for cross-validation
- Validation sets can be extracted from the training data only (possibly using K-fold cross-validation if needed)
- The test set can be used exclusively for evaluation. All samples must be evaluated, and the score of a sample should not depend on the values of other test samples.

#### Banknote authentication

The first project requires verifying whether a banknote is authentic or not

The dataset is taken from the UCI repository<sup>1</sup>

Features are continuous moments of a Wavelet Transformed image

There are 4 features: variance, skewness, kurtosis, entropy

Classes are quite balanced, and contain few hundred samples

The classes can be effectively separated, expect low error rates (in the order of few percent points or lower)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Dua, D. and Graff, C. (2019). UCI Machine Learning Repository [http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml]. Irvine, CA: University of California, School of Information and Computer Science

#### Banknote authentication

Since the dataset is fairly easy (low error rates, balanced classes, few features) you have two versions of the data

The first version (files Train.txt, Test.txt) correspond to the original features

The second version (files TrainH.txt, TestH.txt) correspond to the original features degrade by noise

For this project, you have to (separately) analyze both sub-tasks

For the degraded version of the task, expect higher error rates (in the order of few percent points)

#### Pulsar detection

The second project requires identifying pulsars among pulsar candidates

The dataset is also taken from the UCI repository

There are 8 features, that represent statistics extracted from radio signals collected by radio telescopes.

Classes are highly imbalanced.

Expect low error rates (in the order of few percent points or lower)

# Wine quality detection

The third project requires discriminating between good and bad quality wines

The dataset is also taken from the UCI repository

The original dataset consists of 10 classes (quality 1 to 10)

For the project, the dataset has been binarized, collecting all wines with low quality (lower than 6) into class 0, and good quality (greater than 6) into class 1

Wines with quality 6 have been discarded to simplify the task

# Wine quality detection

The dataset contains both red and white wines (originally separated, they have been merged)

There are 11 features, that represent physical properties of the wine

Classes are partially balanced

Classes are harder to separate than in the previous two projects, expect higher error rates (in the order of ten percent or more)

### Report

You should select a task

Analyze the problem, the kind of features, their ranges, their distributions, ...

Devise suited approaches for solving the classification task (refer to the models we discussed during lectures and laboratories)

Employ the training data as you deem appropriate (model training, hyper-parameter tuning, ...)

Evaluate different approaches on the test set following the proposed protocol

All the steps should be detailed in the report

### Report

#### Perform an analysis of the obtained result

- How good are the different models?
- Which model performed better?
- Was it expected? Why?
- Which choices made during training were good, which were sub-optimal? (e.g. did you select good values for hyperparameters? Could different values had led to better performance?, ...)
- What are the trade-offs of different error types?
- ...

Conclude the report summarizing your findings

### Report

#### Summarizing, the report should contain

- A description of the problem and of the dataset, together with an analysis of the dataset features
- An analysis of different methods that can solve the problem
- A comparison of the effectiveness of the different methods
- A critical analysis of the results

Even if some techniques do not work well, you can still add them, with a justification for the poor performance.

You also have to provide the code that you used to implement the different algorithms (Python)

# Course organization

Since this course presents the basis of Machine Learning, avoid using ML libraries or ML toolboxes for the project (using toolboxes will result in lower marks — one of the goal of the course is that you learn how to implement the approaches)

The laboratories are already organized as to allow you to implement many of the techniques that we will discuss

You can, of course, re-use the code developed during the labs (including snippets provided by us)

If you are in doubt whether you can use some library or not, ASK

# Course organization

If you want to participate to an exam session, you have to submit the report by the official exam date

Oral examinations will start 7 - 10 days after, the timetable will be provided through the teaching portal

The report must be submitted through the teaching portal, section "Work Submission" (Elaborati)

The format should be a .zip file, containing

- The report in pdf format
- The source code

The file name should be <student id>\_<exam-date>.zip

# Course organization

Projects may be done in groups of up to 2 people

For group projects, both authors must upload their own .zip file

The report must contain the names of both authors

You can keep the same report for different oral sessions

If you fail one oral session, you can also upload a revised report if you wish to improve the report mark (you can keep the same task or choose a different task if you prefer)