

TOKYO TECH TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## Predicate dispatch for Aspect-Oriented Programming

Shigeru Chiba  
Tokyo Institute of Technology

VMIL 2008

TOKYO TECH TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## Understanding AOP

- What are primitive operations of AOP?
  - pointcut and advice?
- Primitives of OOP
  - dynamic method dispatch
  - instances
  - inheritance

2

TOKYO TECH TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## OOP v.s. AOP

• Dynamic method dispatch	OOP
– function calls are <b>virtual</b> .	
• Virtual Join Points	AOP
– [Bockish et al, SPLAT '06]	
– [Haupt & Schippers, ECOOP '07]	
– Join points are <b>virtual</b>	
• function calls, field accesses, ... and more	

3

TOKYO TECH TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## A way of overriding

- OOP
  - single dispatch, multi-dispatch, and **predicate** dispatch.
- AOP
  - more complex dispatch than predicate dispatch.
  - how?

4

TOKYO TECH TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## This work

- What predicates do we need for AOP?
  - if we emulate AOP by predicate dispatch + **open classes**.
  - This would be beneficial for understanding AOP and the differences from OOP.

5

TOKYO TECH TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## Predicate dispatch

- M. Ernst et al. [1998], Millstein [2004], ...
- Jpred
  - type, equality, linear arithmetic

```
class TypeCheck {
  Type check(Env e, Node n) when n@IfStmt { ... }
  Type check(Env e, Node n) when n@WhileStmt { ... }
  Type check(Env e, Node n) when n == null { ... }
}
```


6

TOKYO TECH TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## Logging aspect

- needs context-dependent dispatch.

```
aspect Logging {
  around(): call(void HashMap.put(..) && within(WebApp)) {
    System.out.println("Web app updates a hash map");
    proceed();
  }
}
```



```
class Logging refines HashMap {
  void put(Object key, Object value)
    when the caller is WebApp {
    System.out.println("..");
    super.put(key, value);
  }
}
```

7

TOKYO TECH TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## Crosscutting concerns

- need to determine a method by **global** contexts.
  - within and withincode
    - e.g. call(\* Rect.move(..) && within(Window)
  - cflow
    - who is the caller?

Jpred determines a method by only **local** contexts.

8

**TOKYO TECH** TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## more predicates for AspectJ

- Predicates
  - `<var> instanceof <type>` this, args, target, within
  - `<var> statically-instanceof <type>` call
  - `<var> running <method>` withincode, cflowbelow
- Variables caller object
  - this, parameters, client, client\* any object in the current call stack.

(the if pointcut is not supported)

9

**TOKYO TECH** TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## Drawbacks

- Jpred does not provide these predicates because JPred statically and modularly checks:
  - Exhaustiveness
    - no “message not understood” error happens during runtime.
  - No ambiguity
    - no “multiple methods are applicable” error happens during runtime.

10

**TOKYO TECH** TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## Exhaustiveness

- No “message not understood” error
  - Open classes requires global analysis.
    - all aspects must be given at compile time.
  - `client/client* (cflow)` disable static check.
    - Or very conservative analysis (require the “base/default” method) AspectJ's approach

11

**TOKYO TECH** TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## No Ambiguity

- No “multiple methods are applicable” error
  - Determine the most specific method.
  - JPred determines it by logical implication among predicates.
  - AspectJ determines it by the precedence relations among aspects.

12

## A predicate representing precedence

- `deployed(<aspect>)`
  - `deployed(A)` is true if the aspect A is deployed.
  - `deployed(A)` implies `deployed(B)` if A has a higher precedence than B.

For normal methods,

- `deployed(null)` is always true
- `deployed(A)` always implies `deployed(null)`

13

## Modularly checkable?

- Yes for JPred.
- No for AOP?
  - Only `deploy(<aspect>)` is used for determining
  - All aspects must be given at compile time.
  - `client/client*` (cflow) requires very conservative analysis.

14

## Generic advices

- Pattern match
  - \* (wildcard), + (subclasses), .. (any parameters)
  - e.g. `javassist.CtClass+`, `Shape.set*(..)`
- Solution
  - Multi-mixin [Apel 2005]
    - We can deal with an aspect as a template. A template instance is supplied to each class.
  - How to emulate `proceed()`, `args()`, `...??`

15

## Code example

- Class with pattern matching
 

```
aspect Logging extends java.util.Map+ {
    require void toString();
    void put*(Object key, Object value)
        when within(WebApp) {
        System.out.println(toString());
        super.proceed(key, value);
    }
}
```
- Predicates
  - `target(java.util.Map+)`
  - `methodName(put*)`

16

TOKYO TECH

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## Aspect instances

- In AspectJ,
  - an aspect is instantiated. An advice is executed on that instance.
- Solution
  - Overriding methods can be forwarders to an aspect instance.

17

TOKYO TECH

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

## Summary

- AOP is as OOP with:
  - an extended method dispatching mechanism
    - global-contexts v.s. modular type check
- Future work
  - compare AOP with other language constructs
    - FOP, Classbox, eJava, JPred, ..
  - design a better AOP language
    - we can borrow ideas from other languages

18