| 1 | Yes, it's possible. | |
|----------|--|--|
| | SPEC! AFCreset) to verify the requirement. | |
| | ⇒ specification ! Aftrese | |
| | CTL counterexample: | |
| | -> State: 1.1 < | |
| | reset = talse | in loss and the land an inventor |
| | → State: 1.2 € | 30 (21) |
| | reset = talse | and the same of th |
| | -7 State: 1.3 < | |
| | reset = talse | This deposit with my to |
| | → State: 1.4 ← | 1 6 box 2 2 2 2 4 |
| | reset = true | 1 (1 = 4 (3) (b) (5) |
| 2. | 42 S: f(2) = g(2) | |
| | | |
| | $f(2) = S - g_1(2)$ | Market and the land |
| | WP halp (8) | (this function was mentioned in lecture) |
| [2. (b)] | ₩2 ⊆ S: +(8) = g.(8) | t monotonically non-decreasing |
| | $= \frac{1}{2} (8)$ | |
| | +(z) = S-g,(s-z) | |
| | | notione function: t:P(s) -> P(s) |
| | is a monotone function if $\forall x$ | |
| | | a least and grovest fixed point |
| | 9.º(13) = 4p, 9.º(5) = 91 | |
| | therefore, g, is monotonically | |
| 12.6) | 1c) Prove 4p(+) = 94p(g2 | _ |
| | by Tarski and Knaster theorem, | 1=+n(11), 9+p(g2)=92n(5) |
| 496 |) H 1 (17) | 3/p(g,) = g2n(s) |
| | +(19) = S-g2(s) | = 92(92~(9,(5))~) |
| | +(+(11)) = S-g_(S-(S-g_(S))) | then, we have |
| | = S-g2(g2(5)) | 3+p(g2) = S-g2(g2. (g2(S))) |
| | +(+(+(+3)))=5-4.(S-(S-(S-9.(9.(5)))) | So, they are equivalent. |
| | = S-g ₂ (g ₂ (g ₂ (s))) | |
| | | |
| | +"(53) = S-g2(g2(G2(S))) | |
| | | |

| 3, (a) | Prove [AG9] is the greatest fixed point ky. | |
|-------------|---|--|
| | claim 1: ITAG 4] is the tixed point of kg | |
| | [AGY] = [G] (P)(2) | |
| | [A44] = Ky([A44]) | |
| | [AGY] = {SI VREPOTA(S) VI 70 VI E [Y]] | |
| | = PS UR & Path(S) RG] & [Y] N U; > RG] & [Y] } | |
| 1-1/1/19/19 | = { < VTL & Rath (s) TIE] & [9] () { < VTL & Rath (s) \ \ Vi > TIE] & [9] } | |
| | = [Y] n {s VTEPath(s) T[i] E[A64]}} | |
| | = [4] 1 R ([AG4]) = ky ([AG4]) => +p | |
| | claim 2: [AG4] is the greatest fixed point of ky among all tixed points | |
| | Assume 8=Kq(8) sud 8 \$ [AG4] | |
| | => 150 750 50 EZ and So & [AGY] | |
| | 750. (So E [4] and So E RU(8)), and (So & [4] and So & RU(JAG4]) | |
| | We can see these ands there's no "or" in this formula | |
| | also, we have so E [9] and so \$ [9] | |
| | it's contradictory. > [AGY] is the greatest tixed point | |
| (b) | I API I the local proof count (oranged in the lecture) | |
| | then we have AFB = [b] U RU([AFb]) | |
| | by Tariski and knaster theorem, + "(37) is the least to of t | |
| | Ix ({ ?) = [[b]] = { S2, S3, S4} | |
| | Ib(Ib(1))=[b]UR+(Ib(1)) | |
| A ISTREMAN | = {52,53,54} URJ(52,53,54T) | |
| | = 352,53,543 0 {51,52,54} | |
| | = {51,52,53,54} | |
| | | |

| 1 | Ib(Ib(Ib((()))) no need to do | |
|--------------|---|--|
| | = 15 [b] U Ry (351,51,53,543) Ib (Ib (Ib (Ib(T)))) | |
| | = {52,53,54} U {50,5,52,52,54} due to same result. | |
| | = {50,51,52,54} | |
| - | this is the test least tixed point of In | |
| | => [AFW= {50, 51, 52, 53, 54}] | |
| j. AGCAF(b) | =>[AG(AF(b))] = {50,51,52,53,54} (by the computation of AG41 see below) | |
| | We proved [A64] is the greatest fixed point ₹ k4 in 3(a) | |
| | by Knaster-Tarteski thoroem, +n(s) is the greatest tixed point | |
| | Kb(5) = [[b] 1 Ry(5) | |
| | = [b] = {52, 53, 54 } | |
| | Kb(Kb(S)) = [b] 1 R+(1/52,54,54) | |
| | = 3/52,53,54 3 1 3/51,52,543 | |
| | = {52,54} | |
| | Kb(kb(kb(5))) = [b] 1 Ro (552,543) | |
| | = 152,53,54 \ \ {51,54} | |
| | = {547 the same | |
| | Kb(Kb(Kb(Kb(S))) is not necessary because of the result: [St] | |
| | the greatest fixed point AGD = [54] | |
| | [AF(AG(b))] = [AF (1549)] | |
| | We can use some way that we did in computation of Afri | |
| in AF(AG(b)) | then we have AF(AG(b)) = { 549 | |
| (1100) | | |
| | | |
| | | |