Non-assessed Exercise – Solutions Bounded Model Checking

1. Control flow simplification (converting for loops to while loops; removing side-effects):

Loop unwinding (assuming an unrolling depth of 1):

```
\begin{array}{l} x := 0; \\ i := 1; \\ \textbf{if } (i \leq 10) \; \{ \\ j := j+1; \\ \textbf{if } (i < 4) \; \{ \\ x := x+i*i; \\ \} \; \textbf{else} \; \{ \\ x := x+i*i*j; \\ j := j+1; \\ \} \\ i := i+1; \\ \} \\ \textbf{assert } x < 100; \end{array}
```

Conversion to single static assignment form:

```
x1 := 0;
i1 := 1;
if (i1 \le 10) {
    j1 := j0 + 1;
    if (i1 < 4) {
        x2 := x1 + i1 * i1;
    } else {
        x3 := x1 + i1 * i1 * j1;
        j2 := j1 + 1;
    x4 := (i1 < 4) ? x2 : x3;
    j3 := (i1 < 4) ? j1 : j2;
    i2 := i1 + 1;
}
x5 := (i1 \le 10) ? x4 : x1;
j4 := (i1 \le 10) ? j3 : j0;
i3 := (i1 \le 10) ? i2 : i1;
assert x5 < 100;
```

Conversion to conjunctive normal form (CNF).

```
(x_1 = 0) \land (i_1 = 1) \land (j_1 = j_0 + 1) \land (x_2 = x_1 + i_1 * i_1) \land (x_3 = x_1 + i_1 * i_1 * j_1) \land \dots\dots (j_2 = j_1 + 1) \land (x_4 = (i_1 < 4) ? x_2 : x_3) \land (j_3 = (i_1 < 4) ? j_1 : j_2) \land (i_2 = i_1 + 1) \land \dots\dots (x_5 = (i_1 \le 10) ? x_4 : x_1) \land (j_4 = (i_1 \le 10) ? j_3 : j_0) \land (i_3 = (i_1 \le 10) ? i_2 : i_1) \land \neg (x_5 < 100)
```

For one unrolling (k = 1), as done above, the CNF formula is unsatisfiable, so no violation of the assertion is found. However, we cannot say that the program is correct without considering larger values of k.

In terms of the original program, for the first three iterations of the **for** loop, the 'then' branch of the **if** statement is taken and so x will not exceed 100. This is why no assertion violation is found for k = 1.

For larger numbers of unrolling, more precisely for k > 3, we can pick an arbitrary value of j which will make the assertion fail. This could be found using bounded model checking using, e.g. k = 4 unrollings.