

## PRODUCT MEASURES AND EXTENSION THEOREMS

BY JON A. WELLNER\*

*University of Washington*

**1. Kolmogorov's extension theorem.** Here we restate Definition 5.3.1 and Theorem 5.3.1 from PfS, page 95:

**Definition 5.3.1** (Consistency) Finite-dimensional distributions  $\{(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_n, P_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are *consistent* if for every  $n \geq 1$ , every  $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ , and every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n-1}((X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) \in B_1 \times \dots \times B_{i-1} \times B_{i+1} \times \dots \times B_n) \\ = P_n((X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) \in B_1 \times \dots \times B_{i-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times B_{i+1} \times \dots \times B_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.3.1** (Kolmogorov's extension theorem) An extension of any consistent family of probability measures  $\{(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_n, P_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  to a probability  $P(\cdot)$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^\infty, \mathcal{B}_\infty)$  necessarily exists, and it is unique.

**Theorem 5.3.2**  $(\mathbb{R}^\infty, \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ -extension theorem; Breiman) Let  $P$  on  $\mathcal{C}_I$  satisfy:

- (a)  $P \geq 0$  and  $P(\mathbb{R}^\infty) = 1$ .
- (b) If  $D = \sum_{j=1}^m D_j$  for  $n$ -dimensional rectangles  $D$  and  $D_j$ , then  $P(D) = \sum_{j=1}^m P(D_j)$ .
- (c) If  $D$  denotes any fixed  $n$ -dimensional rectangle, then there exists a sequence of compact  $n$ -dimensional rectangles  $D_j$  for which  $D_j \nearrow D$  and  $P(D_j) \nearrow P(D)$ . [That is,  $P$  is well-defined and additive on  $n$ -dimensional rectangles and satisfies something like continuity from below.] Then there exists a unique extension of  $P$  to  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ .

These results rely upon the topological properties of  $\mathbb{R}$  crucially. They do imply the following important corollary.

**Corollary.** (Product measures on  $(\mathbb{R}^\infty, \mathcal{B}_\infty)$ ). Suppose  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots$  are probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $P_n$  is defined on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_n)$  for each  $n \geq 1$  by

$$P_n((X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B_1 \times \dots \times B_n) = Q_1(B_1) \cdots Q_n(B_n)$$

---

\*

*AMS 2000 subject classifications:* Primary 62E20; secondary 62G20, 62D99, 62N01

*Keywords and phrases:* extension theorem, product measure, topological assumptions, history, 7 December 2012

for all Borel sets  $B_j \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then the  $P_n$ 's form a consistent collection of finite-dimensional distributions and hence there is a product measure  $P = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}, \mathcal{B}_{\infty})$  corresponding to the  $Q_j$ 's.

**Question.** What if the  $Q_j$ 's in the corollary are associated with arbitrary measurable spaces  $(\Omega_j, \mathcal{A}_j)$  for  $j \geq 1$  rather than  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ ? Does the product measure  $P = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j$  exist as a measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  where  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times \cdots$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the product sigma-field? The next section gives an affirmative answer to this question without imposing any topological assumptions on the spaces  $\Omega_j$ .

As noted by Dudley (2002), page 256 and problem 2, section 12.1, page 448, existence of consistent marginal distributions alone does not imply existence of a probability measure on the product measurable space in general. Apparently the first counterexample was given by Andersen and Jessen (1948a).

**2. Existence of infinite product probability measures without topology.** Here we get product probability measures without topological hypotheses. The results below are taken from Dudley (2002), section 8.2, pages 255-259, but apparently are originally due to Lomnicki and Ulam (1934), von Neumann's lectures from (1935) published in von Neumann (1950), Jessen (1939) (in Danish), Kakutani (1943a), Andersen and Jessen (1946), Andersen and Jessen (1948a), Andersen and Jessen (1948b). See Dudley (2002) page 275 for more on the history of these results.

Let  $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{A}_n, P_n)$  be probability spaces for each  $n$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the Cartesian product  $\Omega = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_j$ ; i.e

$$\Omega = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) : \omega_j \in \Omega_j \text{ for all } j \geq 1\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega$  such that  $\pi_n(\{\omega_m\}_{m \geq 1}) = \omega_n$  (the projection map from  $\Omega$  to  $\Omega_n$ ) is measurable for every  $n$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{smallest } \sigma\text{-field containing all subsets } \pi_n^{-1}(A) \\ \text{for all } n \text{ and all } A \in \mathcal{A}_n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \prod_n A_n \subset \otimes : A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n \text{ for all } n \\ \text{and } A_n = \Omega_n \text{ except for at most finitely many values of } n \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{\text{all finite-dimensional rectangles}\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 1.** The collection  $\mathcal{R}$  all finite-dimensional rectangles in the infinite product  $\Omega$  is a  $\bar{\pi}$ -system and a semiring:  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{R}$ , and if  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ , the  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{R}$ , and  $A \setminus B = \cup_{j=1}^m C_j$  where  $C_j \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $n$  is finite. The field  $\mathcal{C}_F$  generated by  $\mathcal{R}$  is the collection of finite disjoint unions of elements of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{R}$  since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}_n$  for each  $n$ . Also,  $\Omega \in \mathcal{R}$  since  $\Omega_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  for each  $n$ . If  $C, D \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $C \cap D$  is a finite-dimensional rectangle (i.e.  $C \cap D \in \mathcal{R}$ ). Thus  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\bar{\pi}$  system. For a product of two spaces, the collection of rectangles is a semi-ring: specifically, the difference of two rectangles is a union of two disjoint rectangles:

$$(A \times B) \setminus (E \times F) = (A \setminus E) \times B \cup ((A \cap E) \times (B \setminus F)).$$

It follows by induction that in any finite Cartesian product, any difference  $C \setminus D$  of two rectangles is a finite disjoint union of rectangles. Thus  $\mathcal{R}$  is a semi-ring. We have  $\Omega \in \mathcal{R}$ , so the ring generated by  $\mathcal{R}$  is a field.  $\square$

Now for  $A = \prod_n A_n \in \mathcal{R}$ , let  $P(A) = \prod_n P_n(A_n)$ . The product converges since all but finitely many factors are 1.

**Theorem 1.** (Existence theorem for infinite product probability spaces)  $P$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  extends uniquely to a countably additive probability measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_F$  denote the field of subsets of  $\Omega$  generated by  $\mathcal{R}$ . For each  $A \in \mathcal{C}_F$ , write  $A = \sum_{j=1}^m A_j$  with  $A_j \in \mathcal{R}$ , and define  $P(A) = \sum_{j=1}^m P(A_j)$ .

- We first show that  $P$  is well-defined and additive on  $\mathcal{C}_F$ .
- We then show that  $P$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{C}_F$ . Then the Caratheodory extension theorem applies to give the conclusion.

So, first:  $P$  is well-defined and additive on  $\mathcal{C}_F$ . for  $A = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j$  with  $A_j \in \mathcal{R}$ , each  $A_j$  is a product of sets  $B_{jn} \in \mathcal{A}_n$  with  $B_{jn} = \Omega_n$  for all  $n \geq n(j)$  for some  $n(j) < \infty$ . Let  $m = \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} n(j)$ . Then, since all the  $B_{jn} = \Omega_n$  for  $n > m$ , properties of  $P$  on such sets are equivalent to properties of the finite product measure on  $\Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_m$ . To show that  $P$  is well-defined, if  $A = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j = \sum_{j=1}^{N'} A'_j$ , taking  $m = m_A \vee m_{A'}$  still yields a finite product. So  $P$  is well-defined and finitely additive on  $\mathcal{A}$  by the finite product-measure theorem.

Now we need to show that  $P$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{C}_F$ . To do this, it suffices by Theorem 3.1.1 Dudley (2002) (or Proposition 1.1.4 Pfs), to show that if  $A_j \in \mathcal{C}_F$  satisfy  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \cdots$  and  $\cap_{j=1}^\infty A_j = \emptyset$  (or  $\lim_j A_j = \emptyset$ ), then  $P(A_j) \searrow 0$ . Thus it suffices to show that if  $A_j \searrow$  and  $\liminf_j P(A_j) \geq \epsilon$ , then  $\cap_{j=1}^\infty A_j \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $P^{(0)} \equiv P$  on  $\mathcal{C}_F$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\Omega^{(n)} \equiv \prod_{m>n} \Omega_m$ . Then let  $\mathcal{C}_F^{(n)}$  and  $P^{(n)}$  be defined on  $\Omega^{(n)}$  just as  $\mathcal{C}_F$  and  $P$  were defined on  $\Omega$ . For each set  $E \subset \Omega$  and  $x_i \in \Omega_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let

$$E^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{\{x_m\}_{m>n} \in \Omega^{(n)} : \underline{x} = \{x_i\}_{i \geq 1} \in E\}.$$

For a set  $A \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , let

$$A_x = \{y \in \mathcal{Y} : (x, y) \in A\} = \text{the } x\text{-section of } A.$$

Note that if  $A \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$ , a sigma-field for  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ , then  $A_x \in \mathcal{T}$ . For and  $E \in \mathcal{C}_F$  there is an  $N$  large enough so that  $E = F \times \prod_{n>N} \Omega_n$  for some  $F \subset \prod_{n \leq N} \Omega_n$ . [Since  $E$  is a finite union of rectangles with this property, take the maximum of the values of  $N$  for the rectangles.] Then  $F = \sum_{k=1}^m F_k$  where  $F_k = \prod_{i=1}^N F_{k,i}$  some  $F_{k,i} \in \mathcal{A}_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Now for any  $n < N$  and  $x_i \in \Omega_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$E^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = G \times \Omega^{(N)}$$

where  $G$  is the union of those sets  $\prod_{n<i \leq N} F_{k,i}$  such that  $x_i \in F_{k,i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence  $E^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{C}_F^{(n)}$  so  $P^{(n)}(E^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  is defined. By the Tonelli-Fubini theorem in  $\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n \times \prod_{n<i \leq N} \Omega_i$  we have

$$(1) \quad P(E) = \int P^{(n)}(E^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} dP_j(x_j).$$

Now for the  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $P(A_j) \geq \epsilon > 0$  for all  $j$ , let

$$F_j \equiv \{x_1 \in \Omega_1 : P^{(1)}(A_j^{(1)}(x_1)) > \epsilon/2\}.$$

for each  $j$ , apply (1) to  $E = A_j$ . For  $n = 1$  this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \leq P(A_j) &= \int P^{(1)}(A_j^{(1)}(x_1)) dP_1(x_1) \\ &= \left( \int_{F_j} + \int_{F_j^c} \right) P^{(1)}(A_j^{(1)}(x_1)) dP_1(x_1) \\ &\leq P_1(F_j) + \epsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P_1(F_j) \geq \epsilon/2$  for all  $j$  where  $A_j \searrow$  implies  $A_j^{(1)} \searrow$  and  $F_j \searrow$ . Since  $P_1$  is countably additive,

$$P_1(\cap_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j) \geq \epsilon/2 \quad \text{by the monotone convergence theorem,}$$

so  $\cap_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j \neq \emptyset$ . Take any  $y_1 \in \cap_j F_n$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(y, x) &\equiv P^{(2)}(A_j^{(2)}(y, x)), \\ G_j &\equiv \{x_2 \in \Omega_2 : f_j(y_1, x_2) > \epsilon/4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $G_j \searrow$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\cap_j G_j \neq \emptyset$  in  $\Omega_2$ , and we can choose  $y_2 \in \cap_j G_j$ .

Continuing inductively, the same argument yields  $y_n \in \Omega_n$  for all  $n$  such that  $P^{(n)}(A_j^{(n)}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) \geq \epsilon/2^n$  for all  $j$  and  $n$ . Let  $y \equiv \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1} \in \Omega$ . To prove that  $y \in A_j$  for each  $j$ , choose  $n$  large enough (depending on  $j$ ) so that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ,  $A_j^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \emptyset$  or  $\Omega^{(n)}$ . This can be done since  $A_j \in \mathcal{C}_F$ . Then  $A_j^{(n)}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \Omega^{(n)}$ , and hence  $y \in A_j$ . Thus  $\cap_{j \geq 1} A_j \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

As noted by Dudley (2002) page 259, this argument goes through for arbitrary (not necessarily countable) products of probability spaces. The resulting theorem has often been called the *Andersen-Jessen theorem*. See, e.g. Loève (1977), page 92. But other recent textbooks, in agreement with Dudley (2002), assign this theorem to Lomnicki and Ulam (1934); see e.g. Kallenberg (1997), page 93.

Going beyond product measures, there are further extension theorems which avoid topological hypotheses due to Ionescu Tulcea (1949); these theorems are treated and given further discussion by Kallenberg (1997) and Pollard (2002).

## References.

- ANDERSEN, E. S. and JESSEN, B. (1946). Some limit theorems on integrals in an abstract set. *Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.* **22** 29.
- ANDERSEN, E. S. and JESSEN, B. (1948a). On the introduction of measures in infinite product sets. *Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.* **25** 8.
- ANDERSEN, E. S. and JESSEN, B. (1948b). Some limit theorems on set-functions. *Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.* **25** 8.
- DUDLEY, R. M. (2002). *Real Analysis and Probability*, vol. 74 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. Revised reprint of the 1989 original.
- URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511755347>
- DURRETT, R. (2010). *Probability: Theory and Examples*. 4th ed. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- IONESCU TULCEA, C. T. (1949). Mesures dan les espaces produits. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei. Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (8)* **7** 208–211 (1950).
- JESSEN, B. (1939). Abstract theory of measure and integration. 4. *Mat. Tidsskr. B* **1939** 7–21.
- KAKUTANI, S. (1943a). Notes on infinite product measure spaces. I. *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo* **19** 148–151.
- KAKUTANI, S. (1943b). Notes on infinite product measure spaces. II. *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo* **19** 184–188.
- KALLENBERG, O. (1997). *Foundations of Modern Probability*. Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer-Verlag, New York.
- KALLENBERG, O. (2002). *Foundations of Modern Probability*. 2nd ed. Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer-Verlag, New York.
- LOÈVE, M. (1977). *Probability Theory. I*. 4th ed. Springer-Verlag, New York. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 45.
- LOMNICKI, Z. and ULAM, S. (1934). Sur la théorie de la mesure dan les espaces combinatoires et son application au calcul des probabilités: I. variables indépendantes. *Fund. Math.* **23** 237–278.
- POLLARD, D. (2002). *A User's Guide to Measure Theoretic Probability*, vol. 8 of *Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- VON NEUMANN, J. (1950). *Functional Operators. I. Measures and Integrals*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 21, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
 SEATTLE, WA 98195-4322,  
 E-MAIL: jaw@stat.washington.edu