

This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.

Name of Certifying Engineer(s): Saheli Bhattacharjee

Email of Certifying Engineer(s): sahelikrai.ai

Name(s) of System Under Test: NUCLEO-L4R5ZI, NUCLEO-H7A3ZI-Q, NRF5340-DK

Division (check one):

- ☐ Open
☒ Closed

Category (check one):

- ☒ Available
☐ Preview
☐ Research, Development, and Internal (RDI)

Benchmark(s) (check all that apply):

- ☒ Visual Wake Words
☒ Keyword Spotting
☒ Anomaly Detection
☒ Image Classification

Please fill in the following table adding lines as necessary:

System Under Test Name	Benchmark	Accuracy/AUC
NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (X-CUBE-AI-7.3.0)	AD	AUC: 0.86
NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (X-CUBE-AI-7.3.0)	IC	Top-1: 85.0%
NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (X-CUBE-AI-7.3.0)	KWS	Top-1: 90.2%
NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (X-CUBE-AI-7.3.0)	VWW	Top-1: 85.2%
NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (X-CUBE-AI-8.0.0)	AD	AUC: 0.86
NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (X-CUBE-AI-8.0.0)	IC	Top-1: 85.0%
NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (X-CUBE-AI-8.0.0)	KWS	Top-1: 90.2%

This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.

NUCLEO-L4R5ZI (X-CUBE-AI-8.0.0)	VWW	Top-1: 85.2%
<u>NUCLEO_L4R5ZI</u> (microtvm_cmsis_nn)	AD	AUC: 0.86
NUCLEO_L4R5ZI (microtvm_cmsis_nn)	IC	Top-1: 86.5
NUCLEO_L4R5ZI (microtvm_cmsis_nn)	KWS	Top-1: 90.1%
NUCLEO_L4R5ZI (microtvm_cmsis_nn)	VWW	Top-1: 85.4%
NUCLEO_L4R5ZI (microtvm_native)	AD	AUC: 0.86
NUCLEO_L4R5ZI (microtvm_native)	IC	Top-1: 87.0%
NUCLEO_L4R5ZI (microtvm_native)	KWS	Top-1: 90.2%
NUCLEO_L4R5ZI (microtvm_native)	VWW	Top-1: 81.4%
NUCLEO_H7A3ZI-Q (X-CUBE-AI-7.3.0)	AD	AUC: 0.86
NUCLEO_H7A3ZI-Q (X-CUBE-AI-7.3.0)	IC	Top-1: 85.0%
NUCLEO_H7A3ZI-Q (X-CUBE-AI-7.3.0)	KWS	Top-1: 90.2%
NUCLEO_H7A3ZI-Q (X-CUBE-AI-7.3.0)	VWW	Top-1: 85.2%
NUCLEO_H7A3ZI-Q (X-CUBE-AI-8.0.0)	AD	AUC: 0.86

This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.

NUCLEO_H7A3ZI-Q (X-CUBE-AI-8.0.0)	IC	Top-1: 85.0%
NUCLEO_H7A3ZI-Q (X-CUBE-AI-8.0.0)	KWS	Top-1: 90.2%
NUCLEO_H7A3ZI-Q (X-CUBE-AI-8.0.0)	VWW	Top-1: 85.2%
NRF5340-DK (microtvm_cmsis_nn)	AD	AUC: 0.86
NRF5340-DK (microtvm_cmsis_nn)	IC	Top-1: 86.5%
NRF5340-DK (microtvm_cmsis_nn)	KWS	Top-1: 90.1%
NRF5340-DK (microtvm_cmsis_nn)	VWW	Top-1: 85.4%

For each SUT, is the benchmark Accuracy/AUC target met? (Not a requirement for the Open division) (check all that apply):

- ☒ Yes (Visual Wake Words ... 80% Accuracy)
- ☒ Yes (Keyword Spotting ... 90% Accuracy)
- ☒ Yes (Anomaly Detection ... 0.85 AUC)
- ☒ Yes (Image Classification ... 85% Accuracy)
- ☐ No, for some combination of benchmark, scenario and SUT

For each SUT and benchmark, did the submission run on the whole validation set in accuracy mode? (check one):

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

For each SUT and benchmark, does the submission use the EEMBC Runner? (check one)

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

For each SUT and benchmark, is the same code run in accuracy and performance modes? (check one)

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.

Are the weights calibrated using data outside of the official calibration set? (check one)

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

What numerics does the submission use? (check all that apply)

- ☐ INT4
- ☒ INT8
- ☐ INT16
- ☐ UINT8
- ☐ UINT16
- ☐ FP11
- ☐ FP16
- ☐ BF16
- ☒ FP32

Other, please specify:

What backend does the submission use? (check all that apply)

- ☐ Vendor backend, please name:
- ☐ TF-Lite Micro
- ☒ Micro TVM
- ☐ Other, please specify: We have used microTVM native and CMSIS_NN package, X-CUBE-AI-7.3.0, and X-CUBE-AI-8.0.0.

Which of the following caching techniques does the submission use? (check all that apply, ideally none):

- ☐ Caching Inputs between iterations
- ☐ Caching responses between iterations
- ☐ Caching intermediate computations between iterations

Which of the following techniques does the submission use? (check all that apply, ideally none if submitting to the closed division.)

- ☐ Quantization aware training
- ☐ Wholesale weight replacement
- ☐ Weight supplements
- ☐ Discarding non-zero weight elements
- ☐ Pruning
- ☐ Modifying weights during the timed portion of an inference run
- ☐ Hard coding the total number of queries
- ☐ None of the above

Is the submission congruent with all relevant MLPerf rules?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

This checklist must be submitted as a PDF as part of your submission.

If the answer to the above question is no, please explain:

For each SUT, have you filled out the JSON system description file?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

For each SUT, does the submission accurately reflect the real-world performance of the SUT?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Does your submission include the following: (check all that apply)

- ☒ System description file
- ☒ Code that implements the benchmarks
- ☐ Code/scripts that train the model(s) (Open Division)
- ☒ Metadata that describes each system-implementation combination tested
- ☒ Scripts that set up and execute each system implementation tested
- ☒ Result logs for each system implementation tested
- ☒ This Checklist