## Propositions of solutions for Analysis II by Terence Tao

Frédéric Santos

March 29, 2022

## Contents

12 Metric spaces 2

**Remarks.** The numbering of the Exercises follows the fourth edition of  $Analysis\ II$ . In order to make the references to  $Analysis\ I$  easier, we consider that we begin with Chapter 12 here, as in earlier editions of the textbook. Thus, in particular, a reference to "Exercise 4.3.3" (for instance) will always mean "Exercise 4.3.3 from  $Analysis\ I$ ".

## 12. Metric spaces

Exercise 12.1.1. — Prove Lemma 12.1.1

Consider the sequence  $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$  defined by  $a_n := d(x_n, x) = |x_n - x|$  for all  $n \ge m$ . We have to prove that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ .

- Let be  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$ , then there exists an  $N \ge m$  such that  $|a_n| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $n \ge N$ . Thus, there exists an  $N \ge m$  such that  $|x_n x| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $n \ge N$ , which means that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ .
- Let be  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Conversely, if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ , then there exists an  $N \ge m$  such that  $|x_n x| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $n \ge N$ . But since  $|a_n| := |x_n x|$ , it means that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$ , as expected.

EXERCISE 12.1.2. — Show that the real line with the metric d(x,y) := |x-y| is indeed a metric space.

Using Proposition 4.3.3, this claim is obvious. All claims (a)–(d) of Definition 12.1.2 are satisfied because:

- (a) comes from Proposition 4.3.3(e)
- (b) also comes from Proposition 4.3.3(e)
- (c) comes from Proposition 4.3.3(f)
- (d) comes from Proposition 4.3.3(g).

EXERCISE 12.1.3. — Let X be a set, and let  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function. With respect to Definition 12.1.2, give an example of a pair (X, d) which...

- (a) obeys the axioms (bcd) but not (a). Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , and d defined by d(x, x) = 1 and d(x, y) = 5 for all  $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) obeys the axioms (acd) but not (b). Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , and d defined by d(x, y) = 0 for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (c) obeys the axioms (abd) but not (c). Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , and d defined by  $d(x, y) = \max(x - y, 0)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (d) obeys the axioms (abc) but not (d). Consider the finite set  $X := \{1, 2, 3\}$  and the application d defined by d(1, 2) = d(2, 1) = d(2, 3) = d(3, 2) := 1, and d(1, 3) = d(3, 1) := 5, and d(x, x) = 0 for all  $x \in X$ .

EXERCISE 12.1.4. — Show that the pair  $(Y, d|_{Y\times Y})$  defined in Example 12.1.5 is indeed a metric space.

By definition, since  $Y \subseteq X$ , we have  $x, y \in X$  whenever  $x, y \in Y$ . And furthermore, since  $d|_{Y\times Y}(x,y):=d(x,y)$ , then the application  $d|_{Y\times Y}$  obeys all four statements (a)–(d) of Definition 12.1.2. Thus,  $(Y,d|_{Y\times Y})$  is indeed a metric space.

EXERCISE 12.1.5. — Let  $n \ge 1$ , and let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  and  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n$  be real numbers. Verify the identity  $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2$ , and conclude the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Then use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove the triangle inequality.

Let's prove these three statements.

(i) To prove the first identity, let's use induction on n.

The base case n = 1 is obvious: on the left-hand side, we just get  $(a_1b_1)^2$ , and on the right-hand side, we get  $a_1^2b_1^2$ , hence the statement.

Now let's suppose inductively that this identity is true for a given positive integer  $n \ge 1$ , and let's prove that it is still true for n + 1. We have to prove that

$$\underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b_i\right)^2}_{:=A} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2}_{:=B} = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j^2\right)}_{:=C}$$
(12.1)

where we gave a name to each part of the identity for an easier computation below. Indeed,

• for A, we have

$$A := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b_i\right)^2$$

$$= \left(a_{n+1} b_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2$$

$$= \left(a_{n+1} b_{n+1}\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2 + 2\left(a_{n+1} b_{n+1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$$

• for B, we have

$$B := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_{n+1} b_j - a_j b_{n+1})^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_i)^2}_{:=1/2 \times S} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_{n+1} b_{n+1} - b_{n+1} a_{n+1})^2}_{=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_k b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_k)^2$$

• and thus, for A + B, we now use the induction hypothesis (IH) to get:

$$\begin{split} A+B &:= (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i\right)^2 + 2(a_{n+1}b_{n+1})\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n (a_ib_j - a_jb_i)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_kb_{n+1} - a_{n+1}b_k)^2 \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n (a_ib_j - a_jb_i)^2}_{\text{apply (IH) here}} \\ &+ (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 + 2(a_{n+1}b_{n+1})\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_kb_{n+1} - a_{n+1}b_k)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2\right) \\ &+ (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 + 2(a_{n+1}b_{n+1})\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_kb_{n+1} - a_{n+1}b_k)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2\right) + (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 \\ &+ 2\sum_{i=1}^n a_ia_{n+1}b_ib_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^2b_{n+1}^2 - 2a_ib_{n+1}a_{n+1}b_i + a_{n+1}^2b_i^2) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^2b_{n+1}^2 + a_{n+1}^2b_i^2) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j^2\right) \\ &= C \end{split}$$

so that the identity is indeed true for all natural number n.

(ii) We can use this identity to prove the Cauchy-Schwarz identity,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i \right| \leqslant \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 \right)^{1/2}. \tag{12.2}$$

Indeed, since  $B \ge 0$  in the identity (12.1), we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i\right)^2 \leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j^2\right)$$

and thus, taking the square root on both sides, we get (12.2), as expected.

(iii) Finally, we can use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove the triangle inequality.

We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i^2 + b_i^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2\right)^{1/2}\right)^2$$
(by eq. (12.2))

and, since everything is positive, we get the triangle inequality by taking square roots on both sides.

Exercise 12.1.6. — Show that  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^2})$  in Example 12.1.6 is indeed a metric space.

We have to show the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $d_{l^2}(x,x) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i x_i)^2} = 0$ , as expected.
- (b) Positivity: for all  $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists at least one  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $x_i \neq y_i$ , so that  $(x_i y_i)^2 > 0$ , and  $d_{l^2}(x, y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i)^2} > 0$ , as expected.
- (c) Symmetry: for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$d_{l^2}(y,x) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2} = d_{l^2}(x,y)$$

as expected.

(d) Triangle inequality: for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$d_{l^{2}}(x,z) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - z_{i})^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i} + b_{i})^{2}\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{with } a_{i} := x_{i} - y_{i} \text{ and } b_{i} := y_{i} - z_{i}$$

$$\leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{(Exercise 12.1.5(iii))}$$

$$\leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - z_{i})^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leqslant d_{l^{2}}(x, y) + d_{l^{2}}(y, z)$$

as expected.

Thus,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^2})$  is indeed a metric space.

Exercise 12.1.7. — Show that  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^1})$  in Example 12.1.7 is indeed a metric space.

Once again, let's show the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $d_{l^1}(x,x) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i x_i| = 0$ , as expected.
- (b) Positivity: for all  $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists at least one  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $x_i \neq y_i$ , so that  $|x_i y_i| > 0$ , and  $d_{l^1}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i y_i| > 0$ , as expected.
- (c) Symmetry: for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$d_{l^1}(y,x) = \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| = d_{l^1}(x,y)$$

as expected.

(d) Triangle inequality: we already know from Proposition 4.3.3(g) (generalized to real numbers) that we have the triangle inequality  $|a-c| \leq |a-b| + |b-c|$  for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$d_{l^1}(x,z) := \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - z_i| \le \sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i|) =: d_{l^1}(x,y) + d_{l^1}(y,z)$$

as expected.

Thus,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^1})$  is indeed a metric space.

Exercise 12.1.8. — Prove the two inequalities in equation (12.1).

We have to prove that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$d_{l^2}(x,y) \le d_{l^1}(x,y) \le \sqrt{n} \, d_{l^2}(x,y)$$
 (12.3)

• The first inequality, since everything is non-negative, is equivalent to  $d_{l^2}(x,y)^2 \le d_{l^1}(x,y)^2$ , and we will prove it in this form.

Indeed, using a trivial product expansion, we have

$$d_{l_1}(x,y)^2 := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|\right)^2$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|\right) \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^2 + \sum_{1 \le i, j \le n; i \ne j} |x_i - y_i| \times |x_j - y_j|$$

$$\geqslant \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^2 =: d_{l^2}(x,y)^2$$

as expected.

• For the second inequality, we use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, which says that

$$d_{l^{1}}(x,y) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i} - y_{i}|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i} - y_{i}| \times 1 \right|$$

$$\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i} - y_{i}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq d_{l^{2}}(x,y) \times \sqrt{n}$$

as expected.

EXERCISE 12.1.9. — Show that the pair  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^{\infty}})$  in Example 12.1.9 is a metric space.

Once again, let's show the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we clearly have  $d_{l^{\infty}}(x,x) = \sup\{|x_i x_i| : 1 \le i \le n\} = 0$ , as expected.
- (b) Positivity: for all  $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists at least one  $1 \leq j \leq n$  such that  $x_j \neq y_j$ . Thus  $|x_j y_j| > 0$ , and  $d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y) = \sup\{|x_i y_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \geqslant |x_j y_j| > 0$ , as expected.
- (c) Symmetry: for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) = \sup\{|x_i - y_i| : 1 \le i \le n\} = \sup\{|y_i - x_i| : 1 \le i \le n\} = d_{l^{\infty}}(y,x)$$

as expected.

(d) Triangle inequality. Let be  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We have  $|x_i - z_i| \leq |x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i|$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , by Proposition 4.3.3(g). But, by definition of the supremum, we have  $|x_i - y_i| \leq d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y)$  and  $|y_i - z_i| \leq d_{l^{\infty}}(y, z)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus, we have  $|x_i - z_i| \leq d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y) + d_{l^{\infty}}(y, z)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ; i.e.,  $d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y) + d_{l^{\infty}}(y, z)$  is an upper bound of the set  $\{|x_i - z_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . By definition of the supremum, it implies that

$$d_{l^{\infty}}(x,z) := \sup\{|x_i - z_i| : 1 \le i \le n\} \le d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) + d_{l^{\infty}}(y,z)$$

as expected.

Thus,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^1})$  is indeed a metric space.

Exercise 12.1.10. — Prove the two inequalities in equation (12.2).

We have to prove that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}d_{l^2}(x,y) \leqslant d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) \leqslant d_{l^2}(x,y).$$

First, a preliminary remark. By definition, we have  $d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) := \sup\{|x_i - y_i| : 1 \le i \le n\}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since this distance is defined as the supremum of a finite set, we know (see Chapter 8 of Analysis I) that there exists a  $1 \le m \le n$  such that  $d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) = |x_m - y_m|$  (the supremum belongs to the set). The index "m" will have this meaning below.

• Let's prove the first inequality.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}d_{l^{2}}(x,y) := \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-y_{i})^{2}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{m}-y_{m})^{2}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{n}(x_{m}-y_{m})^{2}}$$

$$= |x_{m}-y_{m}| =: d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y)$$

as expected.

• Now we prove the second one. We have

$$d_{l^{2}}(x,y) := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}$$

$$= \sqrt{(x_{m} - y_{m})^{2} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n; i \neq m} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}$$

$$\geqslant \sqrt{(x_{m} - y_{m})^{2}} = |x_{m} - y_{m}| =: d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y)$$

as expected.

EXERCISE 12.1.11. — Show that the discrete metric  $(X, d_{disc})$  in Example 12.1.11 is indeed a metric space.

Once again, let's show the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) For all  $x \in X$ , we have  $d_{\text{disc}}(x,x) := 0$  by definition, so that there is nothing to prove here.
- (b) Positivity: for all  $x \neq y \in X$ , we have  $d_{\text{disc}}(x,y) := 1 > 0$  by definition, so that there's still nothing to prove.
- (c) Symmetry: for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $d_{\text{disc}}(x, y) = d_{\text{disc}}(y, x) = 1$ , so that  $d_{\text{disc}}$  obeys the symmetry property.
- (d) Triangle inequality. Let be  $x, y, z \in X$ , and let's consider  $d_{\text{disc}}(x, z)$ .
  - If x = z, then  $d_{\text{disc}}(x, z) = 0$ . And since  $d_{\text{disc}}$  is a non-negative application, we clearly have  $0 =: d_{\text{disc}}(x, z) \leq d_{\text{disc}}(x, y) + d_{\text{disc}}(y, z)$  for all  $y \in X$ .
  - If  $x \neq z$ , then we cannot have both x = y and y = z (it would be a clear contradiction with  $x \neq z$ ). Thus, at least one of the propositions " $x \neq y$ ", " $y \neq z$ " is true. Another way to say that is  $d_{\rm disc}(x,y) + d_{\rm disc}(y,z) \geq 1$ . But since  $d_{\rm disc}(x,z) := 1$ , we have actually  $d_{\rm disc}(x,y) + d_{\rm disc}(y,z) \geq d_{\rm disc}(x,z)$ , as expected.