Propositions of solutions for Analysis II by Terence Tao

Frédéric Santos

April 13, 2022

Contents

12 Metric spaces 2

Remarks. The numbering of the Exercises follows the fourth edition of $Analysis\ II$. In order to make the references to $Analysis\ I$ easier, we consider that we begin with Chapter 12 here, as in earlier editions of the textbook. Thus, in particular, a reference to "Exercise 4.3.3" (for instance) will always mean "Exercise 4.3.3 from $Analysis\ I$ ".

12. Metric spaces

Exercise 12.1.1. — Prove Lemma 12.1.1

Consider the sequence $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ defined by $a_n := d(x_n, x) = |x_n - x|$ for all $n \ge m$. We have to prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

- Let be $\varepsilon > 0$. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$, then there exists an $N \ge m$ such that $|a_n| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \ge N$. Thus, there exists an $N \ge m$ such that $|x_n x| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \ge N$, which means that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$.
- Let be $\varepsilon > 0$. Conversely, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$, then there exists an $N \ge m$ such that $|x_n x| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \ge N$. But since $|a_n| := |x_n x|$, it means that $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$, as expected.

EXERCISE 12.1.2. — Show that the real line with the metric d(x,y) := |x-y| is indeed a metric space.

Using Proposition 4.3.3, this claim is obvious. All claims (a)–(d) of Definition 12.1.2 are satisfied because:

- (a) comes from Proposition 4.3.3(e)
- (b) also comes from Proposition 4.3.3(e)
- (c) comes from Proposition 4.3.3(f)
- (d) comes from Proposition 4.3.3(g).

EXERCISE 12.1.3. — Let X be a set, and let $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ be a function. With respect to Definition 12.1.2, give an example of a pair (X, d) which...

- (a) obeys the axioms (bcd) but not (a). Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$, and d defined by d(x, x) = 1 and d(x, y) = 5 for all $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (b) obeys the axioms (acd) but not (b). Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$, and d defined by d(x, y) = 0 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (c) obeys the axioms (abd) but not (c). Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$, and d defined by $d(x, y) = \max(x - y, 0)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (d) obeys the axioms (abc) but not (d). Consider the finite set $X := \{1, 2, 3\}$ and the application d defined by d(1, 2) = d(2, 1) = d(2, 3) = d(3, 2) := 1, and d(1, 3) = d(3, 1) := 5, and d(x, x) = 0 for all $x \in X$.

EXERCISE 12.1.4. — Show that the pair $(Y, d|_{Y\times Y})$ defined in Example 12.1.5 is indeed a metric space.

By definition, since $Y \subseteq X$, we have $x, y \in X$ whenever $x, y \in Y$. And furthermore, since $d|_{Y\times Y}(x,y) := d(x,y)$, then the application $d|_{Y\times Y}$ obeys all four statements (a)–(d) of Definition 12.1.2. Thus, $(Y, d|_{Y\times Y})$ is indeed a metric space.

EXERCISE 12.1.5. — Let $n \ge 1$, and let a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n be real numbers. Verify the identity $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2$, and conclude the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Then use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove the triangle inequality.

Let's prove these three statements.

(i) To prove the first identity, let's use induction on n.

The base case n = 1 is obvious: on the left-hand side, we just get $(a_1b_1)^2$, and on the right-hand side, we get $a_1^2b_1^2$, hence the statement.

Now let's suppose inductively that this identity is true for a given positive integer $n \ge 1$, and let's prove that it is still true for n + 1. We have to prove that

$$\underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b_i\right)^2}_{:=A} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2}_{:=B} = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j^2\right)}_{:=C}$$
(12.1)

where we gave a name to each part of the identity for an easier computation below. Indeed,

• for A, we have

$$A := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b_i\right)^2$$

$$= \left(a_{n+1} b_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2$$

$$= \left(a_{n+1} b_{n+1}\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2 + 2\left(a_{n+1} b_{n+1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$$

• for B, we have

$$B := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_{n+1} b_j - a_j b_{n+1})^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_i)^2}_{:=1/2 \times S} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_{n+1} b_{n+1} - b_{n+1} a_{n+1})^2}_{=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_k b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_k)^2$$

• and thus, for A + B, we now use the induction hypothesis (IH) to get:

$$\begin{split} A+B &:= (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i\right)^2 + 2(a_{n+1}b_{n+1})\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n (a_ib_j - a_jb_i)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_kb_{n+1} - a_{n+1}b_k)^2 \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j=1}^n (a_ib_j - a_jb_i)^2}_{\text{apply (IH) here}} \\ &+ (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 + 2(a_{n+1}b_{n+1})\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_kb_{n+1} - a_{n+1}b_k)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2\right) \\ &+ (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 + 2(a_{n+1}b_{n+1})\sum_{i=1}^n a_ib_i + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_kb_{n+1} - a_{n+1}b_k)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2\right) + (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 \\ &+ 2\sum_{i=1}^n a_ia_{n+1}b_ib_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^2b_{n+1}^2 - 2a_ib_{n+1}a_{n+1}b_i + a_{n+1}^2b_i^2) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^2b_{n+1}^2 + a_{n+1}^2b_i^2) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j^2\right) \\ &= C \end{split}$$

so that the identity is indeed true for all natural number n.

(ii) We can use this identity to prove the Cauchy-Schwarz identity,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i \right| \leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 \right)^{1/2}. \tag{12.2}$$

Indeed, since $B \ge 0$ in the identity (12.1), we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i\right)^2 \leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j^2\right)$$

and thus, taking the square root on both sides, we get (12.2), as expected.

(iii) Finally, we can use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove the triangle inequality.

We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i^2 + b_i^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2\right)^{1/2}\right)^2$$
(by eq. (12.2))

and, since everything is positive, we get the triangle inequality by taking square roots on both sides.

Exercise 12.1.6. — Show that (\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^2}) in Example 12.1.6 is indeed a metric space.

We have to show the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $d_{l^2}(x,x) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i x_i)^2} = 0$, as expected.
- (b) Positivity: for all $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists at least one $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $x_i \neq y_i$, so that $(x_i y_i)^2 > 0$, and $d_{l^2}(x, y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i)^2} > 0$, as expected.
- (c) Symmetry: for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$d_{l^2}(y,x) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2} = d_{l^2}(x,y)$$

as expected.

(d) Triangle inequality: for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$d_{l^{2}}(x,z) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - z_{i})^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i} + b_{i})^{2}\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{with } a_{i} := x_{i} - y_{i} \text{ and } b_{i} := y_{i} - z_{i}$$

$$\leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{(Exercise 12.1.5(iii))}$$

$$\leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - z_{i})^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leqslant d_{l^{2}}(x, y) + d_{l^{2}}(y, z)$$

as expected.

Thus, (\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^2}) is indeed a metric space.

EXERCISE 12.1.7. — Show that (\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^1}) in Example 12.1.7 is indeed a metric space.

Once again, let's show the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $d_{l^1}(x,x) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i x_i| = 0$, as expected.
- (b) Positivity: for all $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists at least one $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $x_i \neq y_i$, so that $|x_i y_i| > 0$, and $d_{l^1}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i y_i| > 0$, as expected.
- (c) Symmetry: for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$d_{l^1}(y,x) = \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| = d_{l^1}(x,y)$$

as expected.

(d) Triangle inequality: we already know from Proposition 4.3.3(g) (generalized to real numbers) that we have the triangle inequality $|a-c| \leq |a-b| + |b-c|$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$d_{l^1}(x,z) := \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - z_i| \le \sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i|) =: d_{l^1}(x,y) + d_{l^1}(y,z)$$

as expected.

Thus, (\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^1}) is indeed a metric space.

Exercise 12.1.8. — Prove the two inequalities in equation (12.1).

We have to prove that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$d_{l^2}(x,y) \le d_{l^1}(x,y) \le \sqrt{n} \, d_{l^2}(x,y)$$
 (12.3)

• The first inequality, since everything is non-negative, is equivalent to $d_{l^2}(x,y)^2 \le d_{l^1}(x,y)^2$, and we will prove it in this form.

Indeed, using a trivial product expansion, we have

$$d_{l_1}(x,y)^2 := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|\right)^2$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|\right) \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^2 + \sum_{1 \le i, j \le n; i \ne j} |x_i - y_i| \times |x_j - y_j|$$

$$\geqslant \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^2 =: d_{l^2}(x,y)^2$$

as expected.

• For the second inequality, we use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, which says that

$$d_{l^{1}}(x,y) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i} - y_{i}|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i} - y_{i}| \times 1 \right|$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i} - y_{i}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq d_{l^{2}}(x,y) \times \sqrt{n}$$

as expected.

Exercise 12.1.9. — Show that the pair $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^{\infty}})$ in Example 12.1.9 is a metric space.

Once again, let's show the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we clearly have $d_{l^{\infty}}(x,x) = \sup\{|x_i x_i| : 1 \le i \le n\} = 0$, as expected.
- (b) Positivity: for all $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists at least one $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $x_j \neq y_j$. Thus $|x_j y_j| > 0$, and $d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y) = \sup\{|x_i y_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \geqslant |x_j y_j| > 0$, as expected.
- (c) Symmetry: for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) = \sup\{|x_i - y_i| : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\} = \sup\{|y_i - x_i| : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\} = d_{l^{\infty}}(y,x)$$

as expected.

(d) Triangle inequality. Let be $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We have $|x_i - z_i| \leq |x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i|$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, by Proposition 4.3.3(g). But, by definition of the supremum, we have $|x_i - y_i| \leq d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y)$ and $|y_i - z_i| \leq d_{l^{\infty}}(y, z)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, we have $|x_i - z_i| \leq d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y) + d_{l^{\infty}}(y, z)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$; i.e., $d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y) + d_{l^{\infty}}(y, z)$ is an upper bound of the set $\{|x_i - z_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. By definition of the supremum, it implies that

$$d_{l^{\infty}}(x,z) := \sup\{|x_i - z_i| : 1 \le i \le n\} \le d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) + d_{l^{\infty}}(y,z)$$

as expected.

Thus, (\mathbb{R}^n, d_{l^1}) is indeed a metric space.

Exercise 12.1.10. — Prove the two inequalities in equation (12.2).

We have to prove that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}d_{l^2}(x,y) \leqslant d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) \leqslant d_{l^2}(x,y).$$

First, a preliminary remark. By definition, we have $d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) := \sup\{|x_i - y_i| : 1 \le i \le n\}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since this distance is defined as the supremum of a finite set, we know (see Chapter 8 of *Analysis I*) that there exists a $1 \le m \le n$ such that $d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y) = |x_m - y_m|$ (the supremum belongs to the set). The index "m" will have this meaning below.

• Let's prove the first inequality.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}d_{l^{2}}(x,y) := \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{i}-y_{i})^{2}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_{m}-y_{m})^{2}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{n}(x_{m}-y_{m})^{2}}$$

$$= |x_{m}-y_{m}| =: d_{l^{\infty}}(x,y)$$

as expected.

• Now we prove the second one. We have

$$d_{l^{2}}(x,y) := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}$$

$$= \sqrt{(x_{m} - y_{m})^{2} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n; i \neq m} (x_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}$$

$$\geqslant \sqrt{(x_{m} - y_{m})^{2}} = |x_{m} - y_{m}| =: d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y)$$

as expected.

EXERCISE 12.1.11. — Show that the discrete metric (X, d_{disc}) in Example 12.1.11 is indeed a metric space.

Once again, let's show the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) For all $x \in X$, we have $d_{\text{disc}}(x,x) := 0$ by definition, so that there is nothing to prove here.
- (b) Positivity: for all $x \neq y \in X$, we have $d_{\text{disc}}(x,y) := 1 > 0$ by definition, so that there's still nothing to prove.
- (c) Symmetry: for all $x, y \in X$, we have $d_{\text{disc}}(x, y) = d_{\text{disc}}(y, x) = 1$, so that d_{disc} obeys the symmetry property.
- (d) Triangle inequality. Let be $x, y, z \in X$, and let's consider $d_{\text{disc}}(x, z)$.
 - If x = z, then $d_{\text{disc}}(x, z) = 0$. And since d_{disc} is a non-negative application, we clearly have $0 =: d_{\text{disc}}(x, z) \leq d_{\text{disc}}(x, y) + d_{\text{disc}}(y, z)$ for all $y \in X$.
 - If $x \neq z$, then we cannot have both x = y and y = z (it would be a clear contradiction with $x \neq z$). Thus, at least one of the propositions " $x \neq y$ ", " $y \neq z$ " is true. Another way to say that is $d_{\text{disc}}(x,y) + d_{\text{disc}}(y,z) \geq 1$. But since $d_{\text{disc}}(x,z) := 1$, we have actually $d_{\text{disc}}(x,y) + d_{\text{disc}}(y,z) \geq d_{\text{disc}}(x,z)$, as expected.

Exercise 12.1.12. — Prove Proposition 12.1.18.

First, recall that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have, from Examples 12.1.7 and 12.1.9,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} d_{l^2}(x, y) \leqslant d_{l^{\infty}}(x, y) \leqslant d_{l^2}(x, y) \leqslant d_{l^1}(x, y) \leqslant \sqrt{n} d_{l^2}(x, y).$$

Note that n is a real constant here.

• Let's prove that $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$. If $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{l^2}(x^{(k)},x) = 0$, then by the limit laws, the sequence $t_k := \sqrt{n} d_{l^2}(x^{(k)},x)$ also converges to 0 as $k\to\infty$, since \sqrt{n} is a constant real number. Thus, we have

$$d_{l^2}(x^{(k)}, x) \leq d_{l^1}(x^{(k)}, x) \leq \sqrt{n} d_{l^2}(x^{(k)}, x)$$

and, by the squeeze test, this implies that $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{l^1}(x^{(k)}, x)$ as expected.

• Let's prove that $(b) \implies (c)$. If $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{l^1}(x^{(k)},x) = 0$, then we have

$$0 \le d_{l^{\infty}}(x^{(k)}, x) \le d_{l^{1}}(x^{(k)}, x)$$

and, by the squeeze test, this implies that $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{l^{\infty}}(x^{(k)}, x)$ as expected.

- Let's prove that $(c) \Longrightarrow (d)$. Suppose that $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{l^{\infty}}(x^{(k)},x) = 0$. Then, for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, we have $0 \leqslant |x_j^k x_j| \leqslant d_{l^{\infty}}(x^{(k)},x)$. Still by the squeeze test, this implies that $\lim_{k\to\infty} |x_j^k x_j| = 0$, i.e. that $(x_j^k)_{k=m}^{\infty}$ converges to x_j as $k\to\infty$ (by Lemma 12.1.1), as expected.
- Finally, let's prove that $(d) \implies (a)$. Using the definition of convergence is more appropriate here. Let be $\varepsilon > 0$ a positive real number, and let be $1 \le j \le n$. By definition, there exists a natural number $N \ge m$ such that $|x_j^{(k)} x_j| \le \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}$ whenever $k \ge N$. Thus, if $k \ge N$, we have

$$d_{l^2}(x^{(k)}, x) := \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^{(k)} - x_j)^2} \leqslant \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon^2}{n}} \leqslant \varepsilon$$

so that $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{l^2}(x^{(k)}, x) = 0$, i.e., $(x^k)_{k=m}^{\infty}$ converges to x as $k\to\infty$ in the l^2 metric (by Lemma 12.1.1), as expected.

Exercise 12.1.13. — Prove Proposition 12.1.19.

Let be $(x^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$ a sequence of elements of a set X.

- First suppose that $(x^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$ is eventually constant. Thus, by definition, there exists an $N \ge m$ and an element $x \in X$ such that $(x^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty} = x$ for all $n \ge N$. This implies that we have $d_{\text{disc}}(x^{(n)}, x) = 0$ for all $n \ge N$. In particular, for all $n \ge 0$, we have $d_{\text{disc}}(x^{(n)}, x) \le \varepsilon$ whenever $n \ge N$, so that $(x^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$ indeed converges to $n \ge N$ with respect to $n \ge N$.
- Conversely, suppose that $(x^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$ converges to x with respect to d_{disc} . Let be $\varepsilon = 1/2$. By definition, there exists an $N \ge m$ such that $d_{\text{disc}}(x^{(n)}, x) \le 1/2$ whenever $n \ge N$. Since $d_{\text{disc}}(x^{(n)}, x)$ cannot be 1, it is necessarily equal to 0, so that $x^{(n)} = x$ whenever $n \ge N$. Thus, the sequence $x^{(n)}$ is indeed eventually constant.

Exercise 12.1.14. — Prove Proposition 12.1.20.

Suppose that we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x^{(n)}, x) = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x^{(n)}, x') = 0$. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that we have $x \neq x'$. Thus, the real number $\varepsilon := \frac{d(x,x')}{3}$ is positive.

Since $x^{(n)}$ converges to x, there exists a $N_1 \ge m$ such that $d(x^{(n)}, x) \le \varepsilon$ whenever $n \ge N_1$. Similarly, since $x^{(n)}$ converges to x', there exists a $N_2 \ge m$ such that $d(x^{(n)}, x') \le \varepsilon$ whenever $n \ge N_2$.

By the triangle inequality, we thus have, for all $n \ge \max(N_1, N_2)$,

$$d(x, x') \leqslant d(x, x^{(n)}) + d(x^{(n)}, x') \leqslant \varepsilon + \varepsilon = \frac{2}{3}d(x, x')$$

which is a contradiction (since d(x, x') > 0 by hypothesis).

Thus, the limit is unique, and we must have x = x'.

EXERCISE 12.1.15. — Let be $X := \{(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty \}$. We define on this space the metrics $d_{l^1}((a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n|$, and $d_{l^{\infty}}((a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n|$.

We have to prove the following statements.

1. d_{l^1} is a metric on X.

We have to prove the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) Let be $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in X$. We have $d_{l^1}((a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n a_n| = 0$, as expected.
- (b) Let be $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ two distinct elements of X. Since they are distinct, there exists at least one $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such as $|a_m b_m| > 0$. Thus, $d_{l^1}((a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n b_n| \ge |a_m b_m| > 0$, as expected.
- (c) Symmetry: we clearly have

$$d_{l^1}((b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_n - a_n| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n| = d_{l^1}((a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}).$$

(d) Finally, let's prove the triangle inequality. Let be $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in X$. Since we have the triangle inequality for the usual distance d on \mathbb{R} (i.e., we have $|a_n - c_n| \leq |a_n - b_n| + |b_n - c_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$), we have immediately

$$d_{l^{1}}((a_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty}, (c_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty}) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n} - c_{n}|$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|a_{n} - b_{n}| + |b_{n} - c_{n}|) \text{ (consequence of Prop. 7.1.11(h))}$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n} - b_{n}| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |b_{n} - c_{n}| \text{ (by Proposition 7.2.14(a))}$$

$$\leqslant d_{l^{1}}((a_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty}, (b_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty}) + d_{l^{1}}((b_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty}, (c_{n})_{n=0}^{\infty}).$$

Thus, d_{l^1} is indeed a metric on X.

2. $d_{l^{\infty}}$ is a metric on X.

Once again, we have to prove the four axioms of Definition 12.1.2.

- (a) Let be $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in X$. We have $d_{l^{\infty}}((a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n a_n| = 0$, as expected.
- (b) Let be $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ two distinct elements of X. Since they are distinct, there exists at least one $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such as $|a_m b_m| > 0$. Thus, $d_{l^{\infty}}((a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n b_n| \ge |a_m b_m| > 0$, as expected.
- (c) Symmetry: we clearly have

$$d_{l^{\infty}}((b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n - a_n| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n - b_n| = d_{l^{\infty}}((a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}).$$

(d) Finally, let's prove the triangle inequality. Let be $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (b_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in X$. Since we have the triangle inequality for the usual distance d on \mathbb{R} (i.e., we have $|a_n-c_n| \leq |a_n-b_n|+|b_n-c_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$), we have immediately $|a_m-c_m| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n-b_n|+\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n-c_n|$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by definition of the supremum. In other words, $(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n-b_n|+\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n-c_n|)$ is an upper bound for the set $\{|a_m-c_m|: m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Thus we have, still by definition of the supremum, $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n-c_n| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n-b_n| + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |b_n-c_n|$, as expected.

Thus, $d_{l^{\infty}}$ is indeed a metric on X.

3. There exist sequences $x^{(1)}$, $x^{(2)}$, ..., of elements of X (i.e., sequences of sequences) which are convergent with respect to $d_{l^{\infty}}$, but are not convergent with respect to $d_{l^{1}}$.

Here we are dealing with sequences of sequences: we have a sequence $(x^{(k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ where each $x^{(k)}$ is itself a sequence of real numbers. Thus, let's define $(x^{(k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$x_n^{(k)} := \begin{cases} 1/(k+1) & \text{if } 0 \leqslant n \leqslant k \\ 0 & \text{if } n > k. \end{cases}$$

Just to make things clearer, we have for instance

$$x^{(1)} := \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, \dots$$

$$x^{(2)} := \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, \dots$$

$$x^{(3)} := \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0, \dots$$

Also, let be the null sequence $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by $a_n := 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus:

• $(x^{(k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ w.r.t. the metric $d_{l^{\infty}}$. Indeed, if we consider a given positive integer k (fixed), we have

$$|x^{(k)} - a_n| = |x^{(k)}| = \begin{cases} 1/(k+1) & \text{if } 0 \le n \le k \\ 0 & \text{if } n > k. \end{cases}$$

so that $d_{l^{\infty}}\left((x_n^{(k)})_{n=0}^{\infty}, (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\right) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x^{(k)} - a_n| = \frac{1}{k+1}.$

Thus, $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{l^{\infty}}\left((x_n^{(k)})_{n=0}^{\infty}, (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\right) = 0$, or in other words, $(x^{(k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ w.r.t. the metric $d_{l^{\infty}}$ in X.

• But $(x^{(k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ does not converges to $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ w.r.t. the metric d_{l^1} . Indeed, we have, for each given (fixed) k,

$$d_{l^1}\left((x_n^{(k)})_{n=0}^{\infty},(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{1}{k+1} = 1$$

Thus, we clearly do not have $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{l^1}\left((x_n^{(k)})_{n=0}^{\infty},(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}\right)=0$, i.e., $(x^{(k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ does not converge to $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ w.r.t. the metric d_{l^1} .

4. Conversely, any sequence which converges with respect to d_{l^1} also converges with respect to $d_{l^{\infty}}$.

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $(x^{(k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ does not converge to $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ w.r.t. the metric $d_{l^{\infty}}$, but does converge to $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ w.r.t. the metric d_{l^1} .

In this case, there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for all $k \ge 1$, we have $(\sup_{n \ge 0} |x_n^{(k)} - a_n|) > \varepsilon$. In particulier, for all $k \ge 1$ and all $n \ge 0$, we have $|x_n^{(k)} - a_n| > \varepsilon$. Thus, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_n^{(k)} - a_n|$ is not even a convergent series, and we cannot have $\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_n^{(k)} - a_n|\right) = 0$.

Note that this exercise actually shows that in this space X, the metrics are not equivalent; instead, the convergence in the taxi cab metric is stronger than the convergence in the sup norm metric. Thus, Proposition 12.1.18 is not true for any metric space.

EXERCISE 12.1.16. — Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be two sequences in a metric space (X,d). Suppose that $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a point $x \in X$, and $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a point $y \in X$. Show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n,y_n) = d(x,y)$.

On the one hand, the triangle inequality applied two times to d gives us

$$d(x_n, y_n) \le d(x_n, x) + d(x, y) + d(y, y_n)$$

but this is only half of what we need to prove the result.

Similarly, we have

$$d(x,y) \leq d(x,x_n) + d(x_n,y_n) + d(y_n,y)$$

so that we can combine the previous two inequalities to get

$$-d(x_n, x) - d(y_n, y) \le d(x_n, y_n) - d(x, y) \le d(x_n, x) + d(y_n, y)$$

i.e.,

$$|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x, y)| \le d(x_n, x) + d(y_n, y).$$

Let be $\varepsilon > 0$. By hypothesis, there exists a $N_1 \ge 1$ such that $d(x_n, x) \le \varepsilon/2$ whenever $n \ge N_1$. Similarly, there exists a $N_2 \ge 1$ such that $d(y_n, y) \le \varepsilon/2$ whenever $n \ge N_2$. Thus, if we set $N := \max(N_1, N_2)$, then for all $n \ge N$ we have

$$|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x, y)| \le d(x_n, x) + d(y_n, y) \le 2\varepsilon/2 \log \varepsilon$$

which shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, y_n) = d(x, y)$, as expected.

Exercise 12.2.1. — Verify the claims in Example 12.2.8

Let be (X, d_{disc}) a metric space, and E a subset of X.

- Let be $x \in E$. Then x is an interior point of E. Indeed, we have $B(x, 1/2) = \{x\} \subseteq E$.
- Let be $y \notin E$. Then y is an exterior point of E. Indeed, we have $B(y, 1/2) \cap E = \{y\} \cap E = \emptyset$.
- Finally, there are no boundary points of E in (X, d_{disc}) . Indeed, let be r > 0 and any $x \in X$. We will always have $B(x, r) = \{x\}$ by definition of the discrete metric d_{disc} . Thus, we have either $x \in E$ and then $x \in \text{int}(E)$, or $x \notin E$ and then $x \in \text{ext}(E)$. Thus, E has no boundary points.

Exercise 12.2.2. — Prove Proposition 12.2.10.

We have to prove the following implications:

- Let's show that $(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$. We will use the contrapositive, assuming that x_0 is neither an interior point of E, nor a boundary point of E. By definition, it means that x_0 is an exterior point of E, i.e. that there exists r > 0 such that $B(x_0, r) \cap E = \emptyset$. This is precisely the negation of x_0 being an adherent point of E. Thus, we have showed that if x_0 is an adherent of of E, it is either an interior point of a boundary point.
- Let's show that $(b) \implies (c)$. Let be a positive integer n > 0, and suppose that x_0 is either an interior point of E, or a boundary point of E. In either case, the set $A_n := B(x_0, 1/n) \cap E$ is non empty, i.e., there exists $a_n \in X$ such that $d(a_n, x_0) < 1/n$. By the (countable) axiom of choice, we can define a sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $a_n \in A_n$ for all $n \ge 1$.

Let be $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists N > 0 such that $1/N < \varepsilon$ (Exercise 5.4.4). Thus, for all $n \ge N$, we have

$$d(a_n, x_0) < \frac{1}{n} \leqslant \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$$

i.e., the sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to x_0 with respect to the metric d, as expected.

• Finally, let's show that $(c) \Longrightarrow (a)$. Let be r > 0. If $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in E converges to x_0 with respect to d, then there exists a n such that $d(x_0, a_n) < r$. But since $a_n \in E$, it means that $B(x_0, r) \cap E$ is non empty, i.e. that x_0 is an adherent point of E.