

Propositions of solutions for *Analysis II* by Terence Tao

Frédéric Santos

March 18, 2022

Contents

12 Metric spaces

2

Remarks. The numbering of the Exercises follows the fourth edition of *Analysis II*. In order to make the references to *Analysis I* easier, we consider that we begin with Chapter 12 here, as in earlier editions of the textbook. Thus, in particular, a reference to “Exercise 4.3.3” (for instance) will always mean “Exercise 4.3.3 from *Analysis I*”.

12. Metric spaces

EXERCISE 12.1.1. — *Prove Lemma 12.1.1*

Consider the sequence $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ defined by $a_n := d(x_n, x) = |x_n - x|$ for all $n \geq m$. We have to prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

- Let be $\varepsilon > 0$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, then there exists an $N \geq m$ such that $|a_n| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \geq N$. Thus, there exists an $N \geq m$ such that $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \geq N$, which means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.
- Let be $\varepsilon > 0$. Conversely, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, then there exists an $N \geq m$ such that $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \geq N$. But since $|a_n| := |x_n - x|$, it means that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$, as expected.

EXERCISE 12.1.2. — *Show that the real line with the metric $d(x, y) := |x - y|$ is indeed a metric space.*

Using Proposition 4.3.3, this claim is obvious. All claims (a)–(d) of Definition 12.1.2 are satisfied because:

- (a) comes from Proposition 4.3.3(e)
- (b) also comes from Proposition 4.3.3(e)
- (c) comes from Proposition 4.3.3(f)
- (d) comes from Proposition 4.3.3(g).

EXERCISE 12.1.3. — *Let X be a set, and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. With respect to Definition 12.1.2, give an example of a pair (X, d) which...*

- (a) obeys the axioms (bcd) but not (a).

Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$, and d defined by $d(x, x) = 1$ and $d(x, y) = 5$ for all $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (b) obeys the axioms (acd) but not (b).

Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$, and d defined by $d(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (c) obeys the axioms (abd) but not (c).

Consider $X = \mathbb{R}$, and d defined by $d(x, y) = \max(x - y, 0)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (d) obeys the axioms (abc) but not (d).

Consider the finite set $X := \{1, 2, 3\}$ and the application d defined by $d(1, 2) = d(2, 1) = d(2, 3) = d(3, 2) := 1$, and $d(1, 3) = d(3, 1) := 5$, and $d(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$.

EXERCISE 12.1.4. — *Show that the pair $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$ defined in Example 12.1.5 is indeed a metric space.*

By definition, since $Y \subseteq X$, we have $x, y \in X$ whenever $x, y \in Y$. And furthermore, since $d|_{Y \times Y}(x, y) := d(x, y)$, then the application $d|_{Y \times Y}$ obeys all four statements (a)–(d) of Definition 12.1.2. Thus, $(Y, d|_{Y \times Y})$ is indeed a metric space.

EXERCISE 12.1.5. — Let $n \geq 1$, and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n be real numbers. Verify the identity $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2$, and conclude the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Then use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove the triangle inequality.

Let's prove these three statements.

(i) To prove the first identity, let's use induction on n .

The base case $n = 1$ is obvious: on the left-hand side, we just get $(a_1 b_1)^2$, and on the right-hand side, we get $a_1^2 b_1^2$, hence the statement.

Now let's suppose inductively that this identity is true for a given positive integer $n \geq 1$, and let's prove that it is still true for $n + 1$. We have to prove that

$$\underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b_i \right)^2}_{:=A} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2}_{:=B} = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j^2 \right)}_{:=C} \quad (12.1)$$

where we gave a name to each part of the identity for an easier computation below. Indeed,

- for A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b_i \right)^2 \\ &= \left(a_{n+1} b_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 \\ &= (a_{n+1} b_{n+1})^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 + 2(a_{n+1} b_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \end{aligned}$$

- for B , we have

$$\begin{aligned} B &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_{n+1} b_j - a_j b_{n+1})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_i)^2}_{:=1/2 \times S} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{n+1} b_j - a_j b_{n+1})^2}_{:=1/2 \times S} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (a_{n+1} b_{n+1} - b_{n+1} a_{n+1})^2}_{=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_k)^2 \end{aligned}$$

- and thus, for $A + B$, we now use the induction hypothesis (IH) to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
A + B &:= (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 + 2(a_{n+1}b_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_k)^2 \\
&= \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2}_{\text{apply (IH) here}} \\
&\quad + (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 + 2(a_{n+1}b_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_k)^2 \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \right) \\
&\quad + (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 + 2(a_{n+1}b_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k b_{n+1} - a_{n+1} b_k)^2 \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \right) + (a_{n+1}b_{n+1})^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_{n+1} b_i b_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^2 b_{n+1}^2 - 2a_i b_{n+1} a_{n+1} b_i + a_{n+1}^2 b_i^2) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^2 b_{n+1}^2 + a_{n+1}^2 b_i^2) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_j^2 \right) \\
&= C
\end{aligned}$$

so that the identity is indeed true for all natural number n .

- (ii) We can use this identity to prove the Cauchy-Schwarz identity,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (12.2)$$

Indeed, since $B \geq 0$ in the identity (12.1), we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \right)$$

and thus, taking the square root on both sides, we get (12.2), as expected.

(iii) Finally, we can use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove the triangle inequality.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^2 + b_i^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (\text{by eq. (12.2)}) \\ &\leq \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \right)^2\end{aligned}$$

and, since everything is positive, we get the triangle inequality by taking square roots on both sides.