

# Endangered Species Analysis in U.S. National Parks

An Analysis of Conservation Status, Species Categories, and Observations

Fred Mordecai Wireko  
Biodiversity in National Parks Project  
December 23, 2025

# Project Overview

## Objective

- Analyze endangered species data from the National Parks Service
- Identify patterns in conservation status across species categories
- Determine whether certain species types are more likely to be endangered
- Communicate findings to inform conservation efforts

## Data Sources

- `species_info.csv`
- `observations.csv`

# Dataset: species\_info.csv

## What the Data Contains

- Category (e.g., Mammal, Bird, Reptile, Fish)
- Scientific name
- Common name
- Conservation status

## Key Observations

- Many species have **no listed conservation status**
- Missing conservation values were treated as “**No Intervention**”
- Mammals and birds represent a large portion of recorded species
- Endangered and threatened statuses occur far less frequently than non-endangered

# Conservation Status Distribution

## Overall Findings

- Most species fall under **No Intervention**
- Smaller subsets are classified as:
  - Species of Concern
  - Threatened
  - Endangered
  - In Recovery

## Insight

- Endangered species represent a **small but critical portion** of total biodiversity

# Conservation Status by Species Category

## Patterns Observed

- Mammals show a higher proportion of endangered and threatened species
- Birds and reptiles have fewer endangered classifications
- Insects and plants are underrepresented in endangered categories

## Key Question

- Are these differences statistically significant, or due to chance?

# Significance Testing (Endangered Status)

## Approach

- Compared endangered vs. non-endangered species across categories
- Focused primarily on **mammals vs. non-mammals**
- Used hypothesis testing to determine significance

## Hypotheses

- **Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ):** Species category has no effect on endangered status
- **Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ):** Species category affects endangered status

# Significance Results

## Findings

- Mammals were significantly more likely to be endangered than other categories
- Differences observed were **unlikely due to random chance**
- Supports rejecting the null hypothesis

## Interpretation

- Species category is an important factor in conservation risk

# Conservation Recommendation

## Recommendation for Conservationists

- Prioritize conservation efforts for **mammals**, especially those already labeled as Species of Concern
- Increase monitoring and habitat protection for high-risk categories
- Allocate funding based on statistically significant risk differences

## Rationale

- Targeted conservation strategies maximize impact with limited resources

# Sample Size Determination (Foot and Mouth Disease Study)

## Purpose

- Determine how many observations are required to detect meaningful differences
- Ensure statistical results are reliable and not due to chance

## Key Considerations

- Desired confidence level
- Expected effect size
- Variability in observations

# Sample Size Insights

## Key Takeaways

- Larger sample sizes increase confidence in conclusions
- Small samples may miss true effects or exaggerate differences
- Proper sample size ensures valid comparisons between affected and unaffected populations

## Why It Matters

- In conservation and disease studies, decisions impact ecosystems and livelihoods

# Final Conclusion

## Summary

- Most species are not endangered, but mammals are disproportionately at risk
- Statistical analysis confirms meaningful differences between categories
- Data-driven conservation strategies are essential
- Proper sample size and significance testing strengthen ecological research

**Thank You**