

Algorithms and Data Structures

3rd Semester Software Technology Engineering

Eduard Fekete

November 8, 2025

Contents

1 Introduction	3
2 Basics	4
2.1 Pseudocode conventions	4
2.1.1 Example:	4
3 Time Complexity	5
3.1 Notations	5
3.2 Definitions	5
4 Binary Search	6
4.1 Pseudocode	6
4.2 Time complexity analysis	6
4.2.1 Average Case	6
4.2.2 Worst Case	6
4.2.3 Best Case	6
5 Recursion	7
5.1 Example: Factorial	7
5.2 Time complexity analysis	7
5.3 Space complexity analysis*	7
6 Linked Lists	8
7 Stacks and Queues	9
8 Sets and Maps	10
9 Hashing and Hash Tables	11
10 Trees	12
10.1 Binary Trees	12
10.2 Binary Search Trees	12
10.3 Red-Black Trees	12
10.4 AVL Trees*	12
10.5 Huffman Encoding	12
11 Sorting Algorithms	13
11.1 Selection Sort	13
11.2 Insertion Sort	13
11.3 Merge Sort	13
11.4 Quick Sort*	13
11.5 Bubble Sort*	13
12 Divide and Conquer Algorithms	14
13 Master Theorem	15
13.1 Recurrence equation	15
13.2 Benchmark table	15
13.3 Master theorem idea	15
13.4 Definitions	15
13.5 Master theorem cases	15
13.6 Procedure	15

1 Introduction

Algorithms are formal, deterministic procedures transforming input to output through a finite sequence of well-defined steps. They encode computation as logic, not as syntax. What differentiates a good algorithm is not correctness alone but asymptotic efficiency under resource constraints: time, space, and often communication cost. In modern software systems, the majority of practical engineering failures originate not from correctness errors but from asymptotic ignorance. Understanding runtime growth is predictive power — it allows engineering before scaling breaks.

Data structures are engineered spatial encodings that give certain classes of algorithms structural leverage. Their entire purpose is to reduce entropy in access patterns: accelerating lookup, minimizing recomputation, avoiding redundancy, reducing cache misses, exploiting sparsity, and transforming unstructured data into shape. Lists, trees, heaps, graphs, hash tables, tries — these are not vocabulary items but complexity tradeoff mechanisms. Every structural choice rewrites the computational geometry of a problem.

The field is therefore not a set of memorized templates but a combinatorial design discipline. First principles create the ability to compute systematically: modeling problems as state machines, reducing problems to known primitives, selecting structure to match access semantics, and then proving bounds analytically.

2 Basics

2.1 Pseudocode conventions

- **Indentation** indicates block structure
- **Loops:** counter typically start at **1**
- $A[i : j]$ contains $A[i], A[i + 1], \dots, A[j]$
- Pass parameters to a procedure **by value**: the procedure receives its own copy of the parameters
- Return multiple values at once without bundling them into an object

2.1.1 Example:

```
SUM-ARRAY(A, n)
// this is a comment
1. sum = 0
2. for i = 1 to n
3.     sum = sum + A[i]
4. return sum
```

3 Time Complexity

3.1 Notations

Notation	Name	Meaning
O	Big O	Upper bound on growth rate
Ω	Big Omega	Lower bound on growth rate
Θ	Big Theta	Tight bound on growth rate
o	Little o	Strict upper bound on growth rate
ω	Little omega	Strict lower bound on growth rate

3.2 Definitions

$f(n) = O(g(n))$ if there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$

$f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ if there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$

$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$

$f(n) = o(g(n))$ if for any positive constant $c > 0$, there exists a constant n_0 such that $0 \leq f(n) < c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$

$f(n) = \omega(g(n))$ if for any positive constant $c > 0$, there exists a constant n_0 such that $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) < f(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$

4 Binary Search

4.1 Pseudocode

```
BINARY-SEARCH(A, n, target)
1. low = 1
2. high = n
3. while low <= high
4.     mid = (low + high) / 2
5.     if A[mid] == target
6.         return mid
7.     else if A[mid] < target
8.         low = mid + 1
9.     else
10.        high = mid - 1
11. return NOT-FOUND
```

4.2 Time complexity analysis

4.2.1 Average Case

- Each iteration halves the search space
- Number of iterations: $\log_2 n$
- Each iteration takes constant time $O(1)$
- Total time complexity: $O(\log n)$

4.2.2 Worst Case

- Target not found
- Number of iterations: $\log_2 n$
- Each iteration takes constant time $O(1)$
- Total time complexity: $O(\log n)$

4.2.3 Best Case

- Target found at the middle index
- Number of iterations: 1
- Each iteration takes constant time $O(1)$
- Total time complexity: $O(1)$

5 Recursion

5.1 Example: Factorial

```
FACTORIAL(n)
1. if n == 0
2.     return 1
3. else
4.     return n * FACTORIAL(n - 1)
```

5.2 Time complexity analysis

- Each call to FACTORIAL makes one recursive call with $n - 1$
- Number of calls: $n + 1$ (from n down to 0)
- Each call takes constant time $O(1)$
- Total time complexity: $O(n)$

5.3 Space complexity analysis*

- Each call to FACTORIAL adds a new frame to the call stack
- Maximum depth of recursion: $n + 1$
- Each frame takes constant space $O(1)$
- Total space complexity: $O(n)$

6 Linked Lists

7 Stacks and Queues

8 Sets and Maps

9 Hashing and Hash Tables

10 Trees

- 10.1 Binary Trees
- 10.2 Binary Search Trees
- 10.3 Red-Black Trees
- 10.4 AVL Trees*
- 10.5 Huffman Encoding

11 Sorting Algorithms

11.1 Selection Sort

11.2 Insertion Sort

11.3 Merge Sort

11.4 Quick Sort*

11.5 Bubble Sort*

12 Divide and Conquer Algorithms

13 Master Theorem

13.1 Recurrence equation

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n)$$

Where:

- $a > 0$
- $b > 1$

13.2 Benchmark table

Benchmark	Name	Function
Non-recursive work	Driving function	$f(n) = n^d (\log(n))^k$
Recursive work	Watershed function	$n^{\log_b(a)}, w = \log_b(a)$

13.3 Master theorem idea

- Which term dominates time complexity of the recurrence?
- Is there a polynomial gap between:

Type	Meaning
Non-recursive work	divide, combine
Recursive work	conquer

13.4 Definitions

Driving function: $f(n) = n^d (\log(n))^k$

Watershed exponent: $w = \log_b(a)$

13.5 Master theorem cases

Case no	Condition	Who dominates	Result for T(n)
1	$w > d$	Recursive - Driving function is smaller than watershed function by polynomial gap	$\Theta(n^w)$
2	$w = d$	Tie - Driving function and watershed function are asymptotically equal	$\Theta(n^d \log^{k+1} n)$
3	$w < d$	Non-recursive - Watershed function is smaller than driving function by polynomial gap	$\Theta(f(n))$

13.6 Procedure

1. Identify $a, b, f(n)$
2. Compute watershed exponent w
3. Rewrite driving function $f(n)$
4. Compare w and d
5. Conclude → time complexity of recurrence equation