Safety Proof and Formal Specification for Raft

Diego Ongaro and John Ousterhout Stanford University

last updated March 28, 2013

1 Introduction

This document is a proof of safety for the Raft replication algorithm. Liveness is not addressed, nor is reconfiguration.

2 System model

This document uses the usual asynchronous system assumptions.

- Messages may take an arbitrary number of steps to arrive at a server; once they arrive, they are modeled as being processed in one atomic step.
- Servers fail by stopping and may later restart from stable storage on disk.
- The network may reorder, drop, and duplicate messages.

3 Conventions

The specification uses the syntax and semantics of the TLA+ language version 2. The remainder of this document uses the same syntax and semantics but with the following minor allowances for convenience.

- As in TLA+, foo' has a specific meaning: the value of variable foo in the next state of the system.
- We say $\langle index, term \rangle \in log \text{ iff}$ $Len(log) \geq index \wedge log[index].term = term.$
- We use the symbol | for concatenation of logs and entries.
- We ignore values in log entries, since it's easy to see that a value is attached to a particular $\langle index, term \rangle$, and those uniquely identify a log entry.

4 Specification

This section provides a complete, formal description of the Raft algorithm.

- This is the formal specification for the Raft replication algorithm.
- 4 EXTENDS Naturals, FiniteSets, Sequences, TLC, TLAPS
- 6 The set of server *IDs*

```
7 CONSTANTS Replica
     The set of requests that can go into the log
    CONSTANTS Value
10
     Server states.
12
    CONSTANTS Follower, Candidate, Leader
13
     A reserved value.
15
    CONSTANTS Nil
16
     Message types:
18
    CONSTANTS Request VoteRequest, Request VoteResponse,
19
                  AppendEntriesRequest, AppendEntriesResponse
20
     A bag of records representing requests and responses set from one server to another.
22
     TLAPS doesn't support the Bags module, so this is a function mapping Message to PNat.
23
    Variable messages
24
     A history variable used in the proof. This would not be present in an implementation.
26
     Keeps track of successful elections, including the initial logs of the leader and voters' logs.
27
28
    Variable elections
     A history variable used in the proof. This would not be present in an implementation.
30
     Keeps track of every log ever in the system.
31
    Variable allLogs
32
34
     The following variables are all per server (functions with the domain Replica).
35
     The server's term number.
37
    Variable currentTerm
     The server's state (Follower, Candidate, or Leader).
39
40
     The candidate the server voted for in its current term, or Nil if it hasn't voted for any.
41
    Variable votedFor
    replicaVars \triangleq \langle currentTerm, state, votedFor \rangle
43
     A Sequence of log entries. The index into this sequence is the index of the log entry.
45
     Unfortunately, the Sequence module defines Head(s) as the entry with index 1, so be careful not to use that!
46
    VARIABLE log
47
     The latest entry the state machine may apply is commitIndex.
48
    Variable commitIndex
49
    logVars \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \langle log, commitIndex \rangle
50
     The following variables are used only on candidates:
52
     The set of servers from which the candidate has received a RequestVote response in this term.
53
    Variable votesResponded
54
     The set of servers from which the candidate has received a vote in this term.
    VARIABLE votes Granted
56
```

A history variable used in the proof. This would not be present in an implementation.

 $candidateVars \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \langle votesResponded, votesGranted, voterLog \rangle$

57

58

Keeps track of the log of each voter.

VARIABLE voterLog

```
The following variables are used only on leaders:
62
       The next entry to send to each follower.
63
     Variable nextIndex
64
       The latest entry that each follower has acknowledged is the same as the leader's.
65
       This is used to calculate commitIndex on the leader.
66
     VARIABLE lastAgreeIndex
67
     leaderVars \triangleq \langle nextIndex, lastAgreeIndex, elections \rangle
68
       End of per server variables.
 70
71 |
       All variables; used for stuttering.
 73
     vars \triangleq \langle messages, replica Vars, candidate Vars, leader Vars, log Vars \rangle
74
 76
       Helpers
 77
       The set of all quorums. This just calculates simple majorities, but the only
79
       important property is that every quorum overlaps with every other.
 80
      Quorum \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \{i \in SUBSET (Replica) : Cardinality(i) * 2 > Cardinality(Replica)\}
81
       The term of the last entry in a log, or 0 if the log is empty.
 83
      LastTerm(xlog) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \text{ IF } Len(xlog) = 0 \text{ THEN } 0 \text{ ELSE } xlog[Len(xlog)].term
84
      Helper for Send and Reply
 86
      With Message(m, msgs) \stackrel{\triangle}{=}
87
          If m \in \text{Domain } msqs \text{ then}
 88
              [msgs \ EXCEPT \ ![m] = msgs[m] + 1]
 89
           ELSE
 90
              msgs @@ (m:>1)
91
      Helper for Discard and Reply
 93
      WithoutMessage(m, msgs) \stackrel{\Delta}{=}
94
          If m \in \text{Domain } msgs \text{ then}
 95
              [msgs \ EXCEPT \ ![m] = msgs[m] - 1]
96
           ELSE
97
              msqs
98
      Add a message to the set of messages.
100
     Send(m) \triangleq messages' = WithMessage(m, messages)
101
       The recipient is done processing the message.
103
     Discard(m) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} messages' = WithoutMessage(m, messages)
104
       Combination of Send and Discard
106
     Reply(response, request) \triangleq
107
          messages' = WithoutMessage(request, WithMessage(response, messages))
108
      Return the minimum value from a set, or undefined if the set is empty.
110
     Min(s) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} CHOOSE \ x \in s : \forall y \in s : x < y
111
      Return the maximum value from a set, or undefined if the set is empty.
     Max(s) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \text{ CHOOSE } x \in s : \forall y \in s : x > y
115
```

Define initial values for all variables

117

```
InitHistoryVars \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \land elections = \{\}
118
                                 \land allLogs = \{\}
119
                                 \land voterLog = [i \in Replica \mapsto [j \in \{\} \mapsto \langle \rangle]
120
      InitReplicaVars \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \land currentTerm = [i \in Replica \mapsto 1]
121
                                                    = [i \in Replica \mapsto Follower]
                                 \land state
122
                                 \land votedFor
                                                     = [i \in Replica \mapsto Nil]
123
      InitCandidateVars \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \land votesResponded = [i \in Replica \mapsto \{\}]
124
                                    \land votesGranted = [i \in Replica \mapsto \{\}]
125
       The values nextIndex[i][i] and lastAgreeIndex[i][i] are never read, since
126
       the leader does not send itself messages. It's still easier to include these
127
       in the functions.
128
      InitLeaderVars \stackrel{\triangle}{=}
                               \land nextIndex
                                                       = [i \in Replica \mapsto [j \in Replica \mapsto 1]]
129
                                \land lastAgreeIndex = [i \in Replica \mapsto [j \in Replica \mapsto 0]]
130
      InitLogVars \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \land log
                                                 = [i \in Replica \mapsto \langle \rangle]
131
                           \land commitIndex = [i \in Replica \mapsto 0]
132
      Init \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \land messages = [m \in \{\} \mapsto 0]
133
                 \land InitHistoryVars
134
                 \wedge InitReplicaVars
135
                 \wedge InitCandidateVars
136
137
                 \wedge InitLeaderVars
                 \land InitLogVars
138
       The network duplicates a message
140
      DuplicateMessage \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \land \exists m \in DOMAIN messages :
141
                                         Send(m)
142
                                   ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨replica Vars, candidate Vars, leader Vars, log Vars⟩
143
       The network drops a message
145
      DropMessage \triangleq \land \exists m \in DOMAIN \ (messages) :
146
                                    Discard(m)
147
                              \land UNCHANGED \langle replica Vars, candidate Vars, leader Vars, log Vars <math>\rangle
148
       Server i times out and starts a new election.
150
      Timeout(i) \triangleq \land state[i] \in \{Follower, Candidate\}
151
                           \wedge state' = [state \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = Candidate]
152
                           \land currentTerm' = [currentTerm \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = currentTerm[i] + 1]
153
                           Most implementations would probably just set the local vote
154
                           atomically, but messaging localhost for it is weaker.
155
                           \land votedFor' = [votedFor \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = Nil]
156
                           \land votesResponded' = [votesResponded \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = \{\}]
157
                                                   = [votesGranted EXCEPT ! [i] = {}]
                           \land votesGranted'
158
                           \land voterLog'
                                                   = [voterLog \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = [j \in \{\} \mapsto \langle\rangle]
159
                           \land UNCHANGED \langle messages, leader Vars, log Vars \rangle
160
       Server i restarts from stable storage.
162
       It loses everything but its currentTerm, votedFor, and log.
163
      Restart(i) \triangleq \land UNCHANGED \ \langle messages, currentTerm, votedFor, log, elections \rangle
164
                                                  = [state \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = Follower]
165
                         \land votesResponded' = [votesResponded \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = \{\}]
166
                         \land votesGranted'
                                                  = [votesGranted EXCEPT ![i] = {}]
167
                                                  = [voterLog \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = [j \in \{\} \mapsto \langle \rangle]
                         \land voterLog'
168
                                                  = [nextIndex \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = [i \in Replica \mapsto 1]]
                         \wedge nextIndex'
169
                         \land lastAgreeIndex' = [lastAgreeIndex \ \texttt{EXCEPT} \ ![i] = [j \in Replica \mapsto 0]]
170
```

```
= [commitIndex EXCEPT ![i] = 0]
                        \land commitIndex'
171
       Candidate i sends j a Request Vote request.
173
     RequestVote(i, j) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \wedge state[i] = Candidate
174
                                \land j \notin votesResponded[i]
175
                                 \land Send([mtype]
                                                              \mapsto ReguestVoteReguest,
176
                                           mterm
                                                              \mapsto currentTerm[i],
177
                                           mlastLogTerm \mapsto LastTerm(log[i]),
178
                                           mlastLogIndex \mapsto Len(log[i]),
179
                                           msource
                                                              \mapsto i,
180
                                                              \mapsto j
                                           mdest
181
                                \land UNCHANGED \langle replica Vars, candidate Vars, leader Vars, log Vars <math>\rangle
182
       Leader i sends j an AppendEntries request containing up to 1 entry.
184
       While implementations may want to send more than 1 at a time, this spec uses
185
      just 1 because it minimizes atomic regions without loss of generality.
186
      AppendEntries(i, j) \triangleq
187
          \wedge i \neq j
188
           \wedge state[i] = Leader
189
           \land LET prevLogIndex \triangleq nextIndex[i][j] - 1
190
                   prevLogTerm \triangleq \text{if } prevLogIndex > 0 \text{ THEN}
191
                                             log[i][prevLogIndex].term
192
                                         ELSE
193
                                             0
194
                    Send up to 1 entry, constrained by the end of the log.
195
                   lastEntry = Min(\{Len(log[i]), nextIndex[i][j] + 1\})
196
                   entries \stackrel{\triangle}{=} SubSeq(log[i], nextIndex[i][j], upper)
197
                                               \mapsto AppendEntriesRequest,
             IN
                   Send([mtype]
198
                                               \mapsto currentTerm[i],
                           mterm
199
                           mprevLogIndex \mapsto prevLogIndex,
200
                           mprevLogTerm \mapsto prevLogTerm,
201
                           mentries
                                                \mapsto entries,
202
                                                \mapsto Min(\{commitIndex[i], lastEntry\}),
                           mcommitIndex
203
                                               \mapsto i,
                           msource
204
                                               \mapsto j
                           mdest
205
           \land UNCHANGED \langle replica Vars, candidate Vars, leader Vars, log Vars <math>\rangle
206
208
       Candidate i transitions to leader.
     BecomeLeader(i) \triangleq \land state[i] = Candidate
209
                                \land votesGranted[i] \in Quorum
210
                                \land state' = [state \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = Leader]
211
                                                      = [nextIndex EXCEPT ! [i] =
212
                                                              [j \in Replica \mapsto Len(log[i]) + 1]]
213
                                \land lastAgreeIndex' = [lastAgreeIndex \ EXCEPT \ ![i] =
214
                                                              [j \in Replica \mapsto 0]]
215
                                \land elections' = elections \cup
216
                                                                         \mapsto currentTerm[i],
                                                        \{[e.eterm]
217
                                                          e.eleader
                                                                         \mapsto i,
218
                                                          e.elog
                                                                         \mapsto log[i],
219
                                                          e.evotes
                                                                         \mapsto votesGranted[i],
220
                                                          e.evoterLog \mapsto voterLog[i]
221
                                \land UNCHANGED \langle messages, currentTerm, votedFor, candidateVars, logVars <math>\rangle
222
```

```
Leader i receives a client request to add v to the log.
224
      ClientRequest(i, v) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \wedge state[i] = Leader
225
                                   \wedge LET entry \stackrel{\triangle}{=} [term \mapsto currentTerm[i],
226
                                                        value \mapsto v
227
                                           newIndex \stackrel{\triangle}{=} Len(log[i]) + 1
228
                                            newLog \triangleq Append(log[i], entry)
229
                                             log' = [log \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = newLog]
                                     IN
230
                                   \land Unchanged \langle messages, replica Vars, candidate Vars, leader Vars,
231
                                                       commitIndex
232
234
       Message handlers
235
       i = \text{recipient}, j = \text{sender}, m = \text{message}
236
       Server i receives a Request Vote request from server j with m.mterm \leq currentTerm[i].
238
     HandleRequestVoteRequest(i, j, m) \triangleq
239
          LET logIsCurrent \triangleq \lor m.mlastLogTerm > LastTerm(log[i])
240
                                     \lor \land m.mlastLogTerm = LastTerm(log[i])
241
                                        \land m.mlastLogIndex \ge Len(log[i])
242
                qrant \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \land m.mterm = currentTerm[i]
243
                            \land logIsCurrent
244
                            \land \ votedFor[i] \in \{Nil, j\}
245
                \land m.mterm \leq currentTerm[i]
246
                \land \lor grant \land votedFor' = [votedFor \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = j]
247
                    \vee \neg grant \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } votedFor
248
                                              \mapsto RequestVoteResponse,
                \land Reply([mtype]
249
                            mterm
                                              \mapsto currentTerm[i],
250
                            mvoteGranted \mapsto grant,
251
                             mlog is used just for the 'elections' history variable for
252
                             the proof. It would not exist in a real implementation.
253
254
                            mloq
                                            \mapsto log[i],
                            msource
                                            \mapsto i,
255
                            mdest
256
                            m
257
                \land UNCHANGED \langle state, currentTerm, candidateVars, leaderVars, logVars <math>\rangle
258
       Server i receives a Request Vote response from server j with m.mterm = current Term[i].
260
261
     HandleRequestVoteResponse(i, j, m) \triangleq
           \land m.mterm = currentTerm[i]
262
           \land votesResponded' = [votesResponded \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = votesResponded[i] \cup \{j\}]
263
           \land \lor m.mvoteGranted \land votesGranted' = [votesGranted \ \ Except \ ![i] = votesGranted[i] \cup \{j\}]
264
                                       \land voterLog' = [voterLog \ EXCEPT \ ![i][j] = m.mlog]
265
              \vee \neg m.mvoteGranted \wedge UNCHANGED \langle votesGranted, voterLog \rangle
266
          \wedge Discard(m)
267
           ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨replica Vars, votedFor, leader Vars, log Vars⟩
268
270
       Server i receives an AppendEntries request from server j with m.mterm \leq currentTerm[i].
271
       This just handles m.entries of length 0 or 1, but implementations could safely accept
       more by treating them the same as multiple independent requests of 1 entry.
272
      HandleAppendEntriesRequest(i, j, m) \stackrel{\Delta}{=}
273
          LET accept \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \land m.mterm = currentTerm[i]
274
                             \land \lor m.mprevLogIndex = 0
275
                                 \lor \land m.mprevLogIndex > 0
276
```

```
\land m.mprevLogIndex \leq Len(log[i])
277
                                   \land m.mprevLogTerm = log[i][m.mprevLogIndex].term
278
                \land m.mterm < currentTerm[i]
279
                ∧ ∨ reject request
280
                      \land \neg accept
281
                      \land Reply([mtype]
                                                      \mapsto AppendEntriesResponse,
282
                                                      \mapsto currentTerm[i],
                                 mterm
283
                                 mlastAgreeIndex \mapsto 0,
284
                                 msource
285
                                                      \mapsto j],
286
                                 mdest
                                 m
287
                      ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨replica Vars, candidate Vars, leader Vars, log Vars⟩
288
                   \vee \wedge accept
289
                      \wedge LET index \stackrel{\triangle}{=} m.mprevLogIndex + 1
290
                               \lor already done with request
                         ΙN
291
                                   \land \lor m.mentries = \langle \rangle
292
                                      \vee \wedge Len(log[i]) \geq index
293
                                         \land log[i][index].term = m.mentries[1].term
294
                                       This could make our commitIndex decrease (for
295
296
                                       example if we process an old, duplicated request),
                                       but that doesn't really affect anything.
297
                                   \land commitIndex' = [commitIndex \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = m.mcommitIndex]
298
                                   \land Reply([mtype]
                                                                   \mapsto AppendEntriesResponse,
299
                                                                   \mapsto currentTerm[i],
                                              mterm
300
                                              mlastAgreeIndex \mapsto m.mprevLogIndex + Len(m.mentries),
301
                                              msource
                                                                   \mapsto i,
302
                                              mdest
                                                                   \mapsto j],
303
                                              m
304
                                   \land UNCHANGED \langle logVars \rangle
305
                               V conflict: remove 1 entry
306
307
                                   \land m.mentries \neq \langle \rangle
                                   \wedge Len(log[i]) \geq index
308
                                   \land log[i][index].term
                                                               \neq m.mentries[1].term
309
                                   \wedge LET new \stackrel{\Delta}{=} [index2 \in 1 .. (Len(log[i]) - 1) \mapsto log[i][index2]]
310
                                     IN log' = [log \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = new]
311
                                   \land UNCHANGED \langle commitIndex, messages \rangle
312
313
                               V no conflict: add entry
                                   \land m.mentries \neq \langle \rangle
314
                                   \wedge Len(log[i]) = m.mprevLogIndex
315
                                   \wedge log' = [log \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = Append(log[i], m.mentries[1])]
316
                                   \land UNCHANGED \langle commitIndex, messages \rangle
317
                ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨replica Vars, candidate Vars, leader Vars⟩
318
       Server i receives an AppendEntries response from server j with m.mterm = currentTerm[i].
320
     HandleAppendEntriesResponse(i, j, m) \stackrel{\triangle}{=}
321
          \land m.mterm = currentTerm[i]
322
323
          \land \lor \land m.mlastAgreeIndex > 0
                \land nextIndex'
                                      = [nextIndex]
                                                             EXCEPT ![i][j] = m.mlastAgreeIndex + 1]
324
                \land lastAgreeIndex' = [lastAgreeIndex \ EXCEPT \ ![i][j] = m.mlastAgreeIndex]
325
                \land LET Agree(index) is the set of replicas that agree up through index.
326
                         Agree(index) \triangleq \{i\} \cup \{k \in Replica : lastAgreeIndex'[i][k] > index\}
327
                          The set of indexes for which a quorum agrees
328
```

```
agreeIndexes \triangleq \{index \in 1 .. Len(log[i]) : Agree(index) \in Quorum\}
329
                         New value for commitIndex'[i]
330
                        newCommitIndex \stackrel{\triangle}{=} IF \land agreeIndexes \neq \{\}
331
                                                     \land log[i][Max(agreeIndexes)].term = currentTerm[i]
332
333
                                                       Max(agreeIndexes)
334
                                                   ELSE
335
                                                       commitIndex[i]
336
                       commitIndex' = [commitIndex \ EXCEPT \ ![i] = newCommitIndex]
337
             \lor \land m.mlastAgreeIndex = 0
338
                \land nextIndex' = [nextIndex \ EXCEPT \ ![i][j] = Max(\{nextIndex[i][j] - 1, 1\})]
339
                \land UNCHANGED \langle lastAgreeIndex, commitIndex \rangle
340
          \wedge Discard(m)
341
          \land UNCHANGED \langle replica Vars, candidate Vars, log, elections <math>\rangle
342
      Any RPC with a newer term causes the recipient to advance its term first.
344
      UpdateTerm(i, j, m) \triangleq \land m.mterm > currentTerm[i]
345
                                   \land currentTerm'
                                                         = [currentTerm EXCEPT ! [i] = m.mterm]
346
                                   \wedge state'
                                                         = [state]
                                                                          EXCEPT ![i] = Follower]
347
                                   \land votedFor'
                                                         = [votedFor]
                                                                           EXCEPT ![i] = Nil
348
                                       messages is unchanged so m can be processed further.
349
                                   \land UNCHANGED \langle messages, candidate Vars, leader Vars, log Vars <math>\rangle
350
      Responses with stale terms are ignored.
352
     DropStaleResponse(i, j, m) \triangleq \land m.mterm < currentTerm[i]
353
                                           \wedge Discard(m)
354
                                           ∧ UNCHANGED ⟨replica Vars, candidate Vars, leader Vars, log Vars⟩
355
      Receive a message.
357
     Receive \triangleq \exists m \in DOMAIN \ messages :
358
                     LET i \stackrel{\triangle}{=} m.mdest
359
                          j \triangleq m.msource
360
                            Any RPC with a newer term causes the recipient to advance its term first.
361
                            Responses with stale terms are ignored.
362
                           \vee UpdateTerm(i, j, m)
363
                           \vee m.mtype = RequestVoteRequest
                                                                       \land HandleRequestVoteRequest(i, j, m)
364
                           \lor m.mtype = RequestVoteResponse
                                                                       \land \lor DropStaleResponse(i, j, m)
365
366
                                                                          \vee HandleRequestVoteResponse(i, j, m)
                           \lor m.mtype = AppendEntriesRequest \land HandleAppendEntriesRequest(i, j, m)
367
                           \lor m.mtype = AppendEntriesResponse \land \lor DropStaleResponse(i, j, m)
368
                                                                          \vee HandleAppendEntriesResponse(i, j, m)
369
371
       End of message handlers.
372 F
      Defines how the variables may transition.
374
     Next \triangleq \land \lor DuplicateMessage
375
                   \lor DropMessage
376
                   \vee Receive
377
                   \vee \exists i \in Replica : Timeout(i)
378
                   \vee \exists i \in Replica : Restart(i)
379
                   \vee \exists i \in Replica : BecomeLeader(i)
380
                   \vee \exists i \in Replica, v \in Value : ClientRequest(i, v)
381
```

```
382 \forall \exists i, j \in Replica : RequestVote(i, j)
383 \forall \exists i, j \in Replica : AppendEntries(i, j)
384 History variable that tracks every log ever:
385 \land allLogs' = allLogs \cup \{ \forall i \in Replica : log[i] \}
387 The specification must start with the initial state and transition according to Next.
388 Spec \triangleq Init \land \Box[Next]_{vars}
390
```

5 Definitions

Definition 1. An entry $\langle index, term \rangle$ is **committed at term** t if it is present in every leader's log following t:

```
committed(t) \triangleq \{\langle index, term \rangle : \\ \forall \ election \in elections : \\ election.term > t \Rightarrow \\ \langle index, term \rangle \in election.log \}
```

Definition 2. An entry $\langle index, term \rangle$ is **immediately committed** if it is acknowledged by a quorum (including the leader) during term. Theorem 9 shows that these entries are committed at term.

```
immediatelyCommitted \triangleq \{\langle index, term \rangle : \\ \exists \ leader, subquorum : \\ \land \ subquorum \cup \{leader\} \in Quorum \\ \land \ \forall \ i \in subquorum : \\ \exists \ m \in messages : \\ \land \ m.msource = i \\ \land \ m.mdest = leader \\ \land \ m.mtype = AppendEntriesResponse \\ \land \ m.mterm = term \\ \land \ m.mlastAgreeIndex \geq index \}
```

Definition 3. An entry $\langle index, term \rangle$ is **prefix committed at term** t if there is another entry that is committed at term t following it in some log. Theorem 10 shows that these entries are committed at term t.

```
prefixCommitted(t) \triangleq \{\langle index, term \rangle : \\ \exists i \in Server : \\ \land \langle index, term \rangle \in log[i] \\ \land \exists \langle rindex, rterm \rangle \in log[i] : \\ \land index < rindex \\ \land \langle rindex, rterm \rangle \in committed(t) \}
```

6 Proof

Lemma 1. Each server's *currentTerm* monotonically increases:

```
\forall i \in Server : currentTerm[i] \leq currentTerm'[i]
```

Proof. This follows immediately from the specification.

Lemma 2. There is at most one leader per term:

```
\forall e, f \in elections : e.term = f.term \Rightarrow e = f
```

Sketch. It takes votes from a quorum to become leader, voters may only vote once per term, and any two quorums overlap. Moreover, no single server starts multiple elections in the same term.

Proof.

- 1. Consider two elections, e and f, both members of elections, where e.term = f.term.
- 2. $e.votes \in Quroum$ and $f.votes \in Quorum$, since this is a necessary condition for elements of elections.
- 3. Let *voter* be an arbitrary member of $e.votes \cap f.votes$. Such a member must exist since any two quorums overlap.
- 4. Once *voter* casts a vote for *e.leader* in *e.term*, it can not cast a vote for a different server in *e.term* (the specification ensures this: once it increments its *currentTerm*, it can never vote again for the same server (Lemma 1); and until then, it safely retains its vote information).
- 5. e.leader = f.leader, since voter voted for e.leader and voter voted for f.leader in e.term = f.term.
- 6. A server does not start more than one election in the same term, since it increments its term upon starting a new election, and its *currentTerm* monotonically increases.

7. Therefore, e = f.

Lemma 3. A leader's log monotonically grows during its term:

```
 \begin{array}{c} \forall \ e \in elections: \\ currentTerm[e.leader] = e.term \Rightarrow \\ \forall \ index \in Len(log[e.leader]): \\ log'[e.leader][index] = log[e.leader][index] \end{array}
```

Sketch. While state[i] = Leader, i only appends to its log. i won't ever get an AppendEntries request from some other server for this term, since there is at most one leader per term. And i rejects AppendEntries requests for other terms until increasing term.

Proof.

- 1. Three variables are involved: elections, currentTerm, and log.
- 2. When a new election is added to *elections*, the *log* of the leader is not changed in the same step, so the invariant is maintained.
- 3. Logs can change either from client requests or AppendEntries requests:
 - (a) Case: client request: follows directly from the spec
 - (b) Case: AppendEntries request
 - i. Only servers with state[i] = Leader can send AppendEntries requests for their currentTerm.
 - ii. By Lemma 2, e.leader is the only leader for e.term.
 - iii. Servers don't send themselves AppendEntries requests (see spec).
 - iv. So e.leader will receive no AppendEntries requests during e.term.

4. currentTerm[e.leader] monotonically increases by Lemma 1, so once e.leader moves to a new term, it will trivially satisfy the invariant forever after.

Lemma 4. An $\langle index, term \rangle$ identifies a log prefix:

```
\forall \ l,m \in allLogs: \ \forall \ index, term: \ \langle index, term \rangle \in l \land \langle index, term \rangle \in m \Rightarrow \ \forall \ pindex \in 1..index: \ l[pindex] = m[pindex]
```

Sketch. Only leaders create entries, and they assign the new entries term numbers that will never be assigned again by other leaders (there's at most one leader per term). The consistency check in AppendEntries guarantees that when followers accept new entries, they do so in a way that's consistent with the leader's log at the time it sent the entries.

Assertion. If log'[i] is a prefix of some log in allLogs, then $allLogs' = allLogs \cup \{log'[i]\}$ maintains the invariant.

Proof by induction on an execution.

- 1. Initial state: all logs are empty, so the invariant holds.
- 2. Inductive step: logs change by either:
 - (a) Case: a leader adds one entry (client request)
 - i. This $\langle index, term \rangle$ uniquely identifies this entry, since there's only one leader per term (Lemma 2) and leaders only append to their logs.
 - ii. By the inductive hypothesis, $log[leader] \in allLogs$.
 - iii. Then $allLogs' = allLogs \cup \{log[leader] \mid | \langle index, term \rangle \}$ maintains the invariant.
 - (b) Case: a follower, follower, removes one entry (AppendEntries request m)
 - i. The invariant still holds, since log'[follower] is a prefix of log[follower] (by the Assertion above).
 - (c) Case: a follower, follower, adds one entry (AppendEntries request m)
 - i. Let $l \triangleq log[m.msource]$ at the time the leader creates the AppendEntries request.
 - ii. $l \in allLogs$ by definition of allLogs.
 - iii. In the two cases below, we show that log'[follower] is a prefix of l. By the Assertion above, this suffices to show that the invariant is maintained.
 - iv. Case: m.mentries is a prefix of l.
 - A. m.mprevLogIndex = 0, since nothing precedes m.mentries in l.
 - B. log[follower] is empty, as a necessary condition for accepting the request.
 - C. log'[follower] = m.mentries upon accepting the request, which is a prefix of l.
 - v. Case: $start \parallel \langle m.mprevLogIndex, m.mprevLogTerm \rangle \parallel m.mentries$ is a prefix of l, where start is some (possibly empty) log prefix.
 - A. The follower accepts the request by assumption, so it contains the entry $\langle m.mprevLogIndex, m.mprevLogTerm \rangle$.
 - B. By the inductive hypothesis, log[follower] contains the prefix $start \parallel \langle m.mprevLogIndex, m.mprevLogTerm \rangle$.
 - C. $follower'[log] = start \parallel \langle m.mprevLogIndex, m.mprevLogTerm \rangle \parallel m.mentries$ upon accepting the request, which is a prefix of l.

Lemma 5. A server's current term is always at least as large as the terms in its log:

```
\forall i \in Server:
\forall index \in 1..Len(log[i]):
log[i][index].term \leq currentTerm[i]
```

Sketch. Servers' current terms monotonically increase. When leaders create new entries, they assign them their current term. And when followers accept new entries from a leader, they agree with the leader's term at the time it sent the entries.

Proof by induction on an execution.

- 1. Initial state: all logs are empty, so the invariant holds.
- 2. Inductive step: logs change by either:
 - (a) Case: a leader adds one entry (client request)
 - i. By the inductive hypothesis, all prior entries in log[leader] have term $\leq currentTerm[leader]$.

- ii. The new entry's term is currentTerm[leader].
- (b) Case: a follower removes one entry (AppendEntries request)
 - i. The invariant still holds, since only the length of the log decreased.
- (c) Case: a follower adds one entry (AppendEntries request m)
 - i. By the inductive hypothesis, currentTerm[leader] was at least as large as the term in every entry of log[leader] when leader created the request.
 - ii. The leader's log contained m.mentries and m.mterm = currentTerm[leader] at the time leader created the request.
 - iii. follower'[log] ends in m.mentries upon accepting the request.
 - iv. The leader's log contained every entry present in follower'[log] when it created the request, since they both have m.mentries in common (by Lemma 4).
 - v. As a necessary condition for accepting the request, currentTerm[follower] = m.mterm.
 - vi. Then currentTerm[follower] is at least as large as the term in every entry in log'[follower], and the invariant is maintained.
- 3. Inductive step: currentTerm[i] changes
 - (a) By Lemma 1, $currentTerm'[i] \ge currentTerm[i]$, so the invariant is maintained.

Lemma 6. The terms of indexes grow monotonically in a server's log:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \forall \ i \in Server: \\ \forall \ index \in 1..(Len(log[i])-1): \\ log[i][index].term \leq log[i][index+1].term \end{array}
```

Sketch. A leader maintains this by assigning new entries its current term, which is always at least as large as the terms in its log. When followers accept new entries, they are consistent with the leader's log at the time it sent the entries.

Proof by induction on an execution.

1. Initial state: all logs are empty, so the invariant holds.

- 2. Inductive step: logs change by either:
 - (a) Case: a leader adds one entry (client request)
 - i. The new entry's term is currentTerm[leader]
 - ii. currentTerm[leader] is at least as large as the term of any entry in log[leader], by Lemma 5.
 - (b) Case: a follower removes one entry (AppendEntries request)
 - i. The invariant still holds, since only the length of the log decreased.
 - (c) Case: a follower adds one entry (AppendEntries request)
 - i. follower'[log] ends in m.mentries upon accepting the request.
 - ii. Then follower'[log] is a prefix of the leader's log at the time the leader created the request, since m.mentries identifies a log prefix (Lemma 4).
 - iii. By the inductive hypothesis, since the leader's log contained *m.mentries* at the time it created the request, those entries and all preceding entries satisfy the invariant (have monotonically increasing terms).

Lemma 7. If two logs have the same last term, at least one is a prefix of the other:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \forall \ l,m \in allLogs: \\ LastTerm(m) = LastTerm(l) \land Len(l) \leq Len(m) \Rightarrow \\ \forall \ index \in 1..Len(l): \\ l[index].term = m[index].term \end{array}
```

Sketch. When a leader adds its first entry in a term, its term is distinct from all other entries' terms (there is at most one leader per term), so this trivially holds. When it adds subsequent entries under that term, it only appends those, so its log satisfies this property. When followers accept new entries, they are consistent with the leader's log at the time it sent the entries.

Proof by induction on an execution.

- 1. Initial state: trivially holds for empty logs.
- 2. Inductive step: logs change by either:
 - (a) Case: a leader adds one entry (client request)
 - i. This $\langle index, term \rangle$ uniquely identifies a log entry not previously found in any of allLogs, since there is at most one leader per term (Lemma 2), leaders only append entries with terms set to currentTerm[i], and currentTerm[i] monotonically increases (Lemma 1).
 - ii. Case: term = LastTerm(log[leader]): The invariant holds since log[leader] is a prefix of log'[leader].
 - iii. Case: LastTerm(log'[leader]) > LastTerm(log[leader]) The invariant holds, since $\forall n \in allLogs : LastTerm(log'[leader]) \neq LastTerm(n)$ (there is at most one leader per term by Lemma 2).
 - (b) Case: a follower removes one entry (AppendEntries request)
 - i. The invariant still holds, since log'[follower] is a prefix of log[follower].
 - (c) Case: a follower adds one entry (AppendEntries request m)
 - i. The leader's log contained m.mentries at the time it creates the request.
 - ii. log'[follower] ends in m.mentries upon applying the request.
 - iii. By Lemma 4, log'[follower] is a prefix of the leader's log at the time it created the request, which is in allLogs by the inductive hypothesis.

Lemma 8. A leader does not send *AppendEntries* requests that conflict with its log.

```
 \forall \ i \in Server: \\ (\land \ state[i] = Leader \\ \land \langle index, term \rangle \in log[i] \\ ) \Rightarrow \neg \exists \ m \in DOMAIN \ messages: \\ \land \ m.mtype = AppendEntriesRequest \\ \land \ m.mterm = currentTerm[i] \\ \land \lor \langle index, otherTerm \rangle \in m.mentries \\ \lor \ m.mprevLogIndex = index \ \land \ m.mprevLogTerm = otherTerm \\ \land \ otherTerm \neq term
```

Sketch. Leaders only send AppendEntries using the contents of their log, and a leader's log monotonically grows.

Proof.

- 1. Since there is only one leader per term, the request would have to come from this server.
- 2. Leaders only send AppendEntries requests that are consistent with their logs.
- 3. By Lemma 3, a leader's log monotonically grows during its term.
- 4. Therefore, no server could have sent such a conflicting request.

Lemma 9. Immediately committed entries are committed:

```
\forall \langle index, term \rangle \in immediatelyCommitted : \\ \langle index, term \rangle \in committed(term)
```

Sketch. A quorum contains the entry in the term when it is created, so all leaders in future terms must receive a vote from at least one of these servers. By the log consistency check during leader election, the first leader following term must have the entry: it couldn't have a larger last log term than the voter's, so the voter's log must be a prefix of the leader's log. The next leader after that could have a larger term, but only if it inherited its log from this leader, so the initial log for this leader (which contains the entry) is a prefix of this next leader's log. This argument continues for all future leaders.

Proof.

- 1. Consider an entry $\langle index, term \rangle$ that is immediately committed.
- 2. Define

```
Contradicting \triangleq \{election \in elections : \\ \land election.term > term \\ \land \langle index, term \rangle \notin election.log \}
```

- 3. Let election be an element in Contradicting with a minimal term field. That is, $\forall \ e \in Contradicting : election.term \leq e.term$.
- 4. It suffices to show a contradiction, which implies $Contradicting = \phi$.
- 5. Let follower be any server that both votes in election and contains $\langle index, term \rangle$ during term (either it acknowledges the entry as a follower or it was leader). Such a server must exist since:

- (a) A quorum votes in election.
- (b) A quorum contains $\langle index, term \rangle$ during term.
- (c) Any two quorums overlap.
- 6. Let $followerLog \triangleq election.voterLog[follower]$.
- 7. The follower contains the entry when it cast its vote during election. term. That is, $\langle index, term \rangle \in follower Log:$
 - (a) $\langle index, term \rangle$ was in the follower's log during term.
 - (b) The follower must have stored the entry in term before voting in election.term, since election.term > term, currentTerm[follower] monotonically increases (Lemma 1), and the follower rejects requests with terms smaller than currentTerm[follower].
 - (c) The follower couldn't have removed the entry before casting its vote:
 - i. Case: No AppendEntriesRequest with mterm < term removes the entry from the follower's log, since $currentTerm[follower] \ge term$ upon storing the entry (by Lemma 5), and the follower rejects requests with terms smaller than currentTerm[follower].
 - ii. Case: No AppendEntriesRequest with mterm = term removes the entry from the follower's log, since:
 - A. There is only one leader of term.
 - B. The leader of term created and therefore contains the entry (Lemma 3).
 - C. The leader would not send any conflicting requests to follower during term (Lemma 8).
 - iii. Case: No AppendEntriesRequest with election.term > mterm > term removes the entry from the follower's log. Since all of these leaders also contained the entry by assumption, they did not send any conflicting entries to the follower for this index (Lemma 8). Nor did they send any conflicting entries for prior indexes: that they have this entry implies they have the entire prefix (Lemma 4).
 - iv. Case: No AppendEntriesRequest with mterm = election.term removes the entry from the follower's log prior to it voting, since there is at most one leader per term (Lemma 2), so this request would have to come from the leader of election.term, but it hasn't been elected yet.
 - v. Case: No AppendEntriesRequest with mterm > election.term removes the entry from the follower's log prior to it voting, since then currentTerm[follower] > election.term would prevent the follower from voting in term.
- 8. The log completeness check during elections states the following, since *follower* granted its vote during *election*:

```
\lor LastTerm(election.log) > LastTerm(followerLog)
\lor \land LastTerm(election.log) = LastTerm(followerLog)
\land Len(election.log) \ge Len(followerLog)
```

In the following two steps, we take each of these cases in turn and show a contradiction.

- 9. Case: LastTerm(election.log) > LastTerm(followerLog)
 - (a) $LastTerm(followerLog) \ge term$, since $\langle index, term \rangle \in followerLog$ and terms in logs grow monotonically (Lemma 6).
 - (b) election.term > LastTerm(election.log) since servers increment their currentTerm when starting an election, and Lemma 5 states that a server's currentTerm is at least as large as the terms in its log.

- (c) Let prior be the election in elections with prior.term = LastTerm(election.log). Such an election must exist since LastTerm(election.log) > 0 and a server must win an election before creating an entry.
- (d) By transitivity, we now have the following inequalities:

```
term \leq \\ LastTerm(followerLog) < \\ LastTerm(election.log) = prior.term < \\ election.term
```

- (e) $\langle index, term \rangle \notin prior.log$, since:
 - i. prior.log is a prefix of election.log by Lemma 7, since:
 - A. LastTerm(election.log) = prior.term
 - B. The leader of prior.term creates entries by appending them to prior.log, so any entry with term prior.term must have index greater than Len(prior.log).
 - C. Then Len(election.log) > Len(prior.log).
 - ii. Since $\langle index, term \rangle \notin election.log$ (by assumption), either Len(election.log) < index or election.log[index].term term. We consider these cases separately next.

- iii. Case: Len(election.log) < index
 - A. Then $\langle index, term \rangle \notin prior.log$, since prior.log is a prefix of election.log.
- iv. Case: $election.log[index].term \neq term$
 - A. Case: Len(prior.log) < index: immediate.
 - B. Case: Len(prior.log) > index: $election.log[index].term = prior.log[index].term \neq term$, since prior.log is a prefix is of election.log.
- (f) $prior \in Contradicting$, by definition of Contradicting.
- (g) election was defined as an element in Contradicting with a minimal term field, but prior is also in Contradicting and prior.term < election.term.
- 10. Case: LastTerm(election.log) = LastTerm(followerLog) and $Len(election.log) \ge Len(followerLog)$
 - (a) followerLog is a prefix of election.log by Lemma 7.
 - (b) Then $\langle index, term \rangle \in election.log$, since $\langle index, term \rangle \in followerLog$.
 - (c) But election \in Contradicting implies that $\langle index, term \rangle \notin election.log$.

Lemma 10. Prefix committed entries are committed:

```
\forall t : prefixCommitted(t) \subseteq committed(t)
```

Sketch. If an entry is committed, it identifies a prefix of a log in which every entry is committed, since those entries will also be present in every future leader's log.

Proof.

- 1. Consider an arbitrary entry $\langle index, term \rangle \in prefixCommitted(t)$.
- 2. There exists an entry $\langle rindex, rterm \rangle \in committed(t)$ following $\langle index, term \rangle$ in some log, by definition of prefixCommitted(t).
- 3. $\langle rindex, rterm \rangle$ uniquely identifies the log prefix containing $\langle index, term \rangle$ (Lemma 4).

- 4. Every leader following t contains $\langle index, term \rangle$, since every leader following t contains $\langle rindex, rterm \rangle$.
- 5. $\langle index, term \rangle \in committed(t)$ by definition of committed(t).

Theorem 1. Servers only apply entries that are *committed* in their current term:

```
 \forall \ i \in Server: \\ \{\langle index, log[i][index].term \rangle: index \in 1..committedIndex[i]\} \subseteq \\ committed(currentTerm[i])
```

Sketch. A leader only advances its committedIndex to cover entries that are immediately committed or prefix committed. Followers update committedIndex from the leader's only when they have a prefix of a prior version of the leader's log.

Proof.

- 1. The set of committed entries monotonically increases, by its definition.
- 2. When committedIndex[i] increases, it covers entries present in i's log that are committed:
 - (a) Case: follower completes accepting AppendEntries request
 - i. Upon processing the request, the follower's log is a prefix of a prior version of the leader's $\log_{l} l$.
 - ii. Every entry up to commitIndex'[i] in l is committed by the inductive hypothesis.
 - (b) Case: leader i processes AppendEntries response
 - i. If the leader sets a new commitIndex, the conditions in the spec ensure that $commitIndex'[i] \in immediatelyCommitted$.
 - ii. Every entry with index below commitIndex'[i] is prefix committed at currentTerm[i].
- 3. No log entry below committedIndex[i] is ever removed:
 - (a) The leader who sent i the AppendEntries request to mark this log prefix committed must have the log prefix (see cases above), and it will not remove any of these entries during its term (Lemma 3).
 - (b) By the inductive hypothesis, every leader with a larger term than currentTerm[i] must contain the entry. (And requests from leaders of smaller terms are rejected.)
 - (c) If a leader has the entry, it wouldn't have the follower remove it (Lemma 8).