Peer Review on Fredrik Jönsson with group workshop 3

The source code provided was easy to import and start in Ecplipse. I started the class Program.java which has the main method. From there I could test the program without any difficulties.

When testing the application I didn't encounter any bugs or any problems. But one thing that I found not so appealing was the "The pause should be when any player (dealer or player) gets a card." implementation. It may been misunderstood when grasping the requirement and when the implementation was made.

Comparing the implementation and the diagram I can't find any incorrectly drawn relations. But looking at the sequence diagram and the stand implementation, it seem as a mistake was made. The implementation iterates over the hand and set the show attribute to true, but this is already done in the showhand() call. Otherwise it looks good, I like the check if dealer got any cards.

The dependency between the controller and view is handled good. The controller has a dependency to the view but the view does not have any dependency to the controller. But on thing I don't undesrtand is why the "System.in" code is moved to the controller. Low-level code should be handled in the view such as , in this case, "System.in" and "Thread.Sleep()". According to Laman; "The View is the UI Layer, and the Controllers are the workflow objects in the application layer" [1, p.209].

A strategy pattern is used and correctly implemented, as a strategy pattern, for the hit rules and the variations of who wins the game. I find a part of the implementation in the Soft17 rule a bit complicated/unnecessary, the part for "else if", it really confuse me.

The change and now reused code when the dealer deals a card is now implemented as a method in the Dealer class. The interfaces with rules which was affected is updated, but the parameter a deck is still there when it should be removed since it is unused.

An Observer Pattern is implemented, but I find the implementation a bit troublesome, with the Game class and its "hasDealtCard" method. It only sends the information to the first subscriber?

The class diagram i updated to the changes made.

In this moment I think there is too much thing to look over and fix in order to pass the grade 2 criteria, but fix those problems I've mentioned and passing the grade 2 criteria should not be any problem.

1. Larman C., Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062