Extending some categories to categories with families

Fredrik Nordvall Forsberg January 17, 2011

1 Categories with families

Definition 1. A category with families is given by

- A category \mathbb{C} with a terminal object [],
- A functor $F = (\operatorname{Ty}, \operatorname{Tm}) : \mathbb{C}^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Fam}(\operatorname{Set})$. For the morphism part, we introduce the notation $_{\{\cdot\}}$ for both types and terms, i.e. if $f : \Delta \to \Gamma$ then $_{\{f\}} : \operatorname{Ty}(\Gamma) \to \operatorname{Ty}(\Delta)$ and for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ty}(\Delta)$ we have $_{\{f\}} : \operatorname{Tm}(\Delta, \sigma) \to \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma, \sigma\{f\})$.
- For each object Γ in $\mathbb C$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ty}(\Gamma)$ an object $\Gamma.\sigma$ together with a morphism $\mathbf p(\sigma): \Gamma.\sigma \to \Gamma$ (the first projection) and a term $\mathbf v_\sigma \in \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma.\sigma,\sigma\{\mathbf p(\sigma)\})$ (the second projection) with the following universal property: for each $f:\Delta \to \Gamma$ and $M \in \operatorname{Tm}(\Delta,\sigma\{f\})$ there exists a unique morphism $\theta = \langle f,M\rangle_\sigma:\Delta \to \Gamma.\sigma$ such that $\mathbf p(\sigma)\circ\theta = f$ and $\mathbf v_\sigma\{\theta\} = M$.

2 Set

Directly from Dybjer [Dyb96] , Hofmann [Hof97], Buisse and Dybjer [BD08],

Choose $\mathbb{C} = \text{Set}$ (with [] = 1 any singleton), and define

$$Ty(\Gamma) = \{ \sigma \mid \sigma : \Gamma \to Set \}$$
$$Tm(\Gamma, \sigma) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma(\gamma)$$

(this should really be $\sigma: \Gamma \to U$ for some universe (U,T) for size considerations, and accordingly $\operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma,\sigma) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} T(\sigma(\gamma))$). For $f: \Delta \to \Gamma$, $\sigma: \operatorname{Ty}(\Gamma)$, $h: \Gamma$

 $Tm(\Gamma, \sigma)$, define

$$\sigma\{f\} : \operatorname{Ty}(\Delta) = \{\sigma \mid \sigma : \Delta \to \operatorname{Set}\}$$

$$\sigma\{f\} = \sigma \circ f$$

$$h\{f\} : \operatorname{Tm}(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}) = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \sigma(f(\delta))$$

$$h\{f\} = h \circ f$$

For the context comprehension, define

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma.\sigma = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma(\gamma) \\ &\mathbf{p}(\sigma) : \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma(\gamma) \to \Gamma \\ &\mathbf{p}(\sigma)(\langle \gamma, s \rangle) = \gamma \\ &\mathbf{v}_{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Tm}(\Gamma.\sigma, \sigma\{\mathbf{p}(\sigma)\}) = \prod_{\langle \gamma, s \rangle \in \Gamma.\sigma} \sigma(\gamma) \\ &\mathbf{v}_{\sigma}(\langle \gamma, s \rangle) = s \end{split}$$

Given $f: \Delta \to \Gamma$ and $M \in \text{Tm}(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}) = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \sigma(f(\delta))$, we define

$$\in \operatorname{Tm}(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}) = \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \sigma(f(\delta))$$

$$\theta = \langle f, M \rangle_{\sigma} : \Delta \to \underbrace{\Gamma.\sigma}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma(\gamma)$$

by $\theta(\delta) = \langle f(\delta), M(\delta) \rangle$. We then have $\mathbf{p}(\sigma) \circ \theta = f$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\sigma}\{\theta\} = M$, and any other function satisfying these equations must be extensionally equal to θ , hence θ is unique.

$3 \quad \mathbf{Fam}(\mathbf{Set})$

Fam(Set) can also be extended to a category with families. We start with $\mathbb{C} = \text{Fam}(\text{Set})$ (and $[= (\mathbf{1}, \lambda x. \mathbf{1}))$, and define

$$\mathrm{Ty}(X,Y) = \{(A,B) \mid A: X \to \mathrm{Set}, B: (x:X) \to Y(x) \to A(x) \to \mathrm{Set}\}$$

$$\mathrm{Tm}((X,Y),(A,B)) = \{(h,k) \mid h: \prod_{x \in X} A(x), k: \prod_{x \in X, y \in Y(x)} B(x,y,h(x))\}$$

(similar size considerations apply as for Set). For $(f,g):(X,Y)\to (X',Y')$, $(A,B):\mathrm{Ty}(X',Y'),\,(h,k):\mathrm{Tm}((X',Y'),(A,B))$, define

$$\begin{split} (A,B)\{f,g\}: &\operatorname{Ty}(X,Y) = \{(A,B) \mid A:X \to \operatorname{Set}, B:(x:X) \to Y(x) \to A(x) \to \operatorname{Set}\} \\ (A,B)\{f,g\} &= (A,B) \circ (f,g) = (A \circ f, \lambda x, y : B(f(x),g(x,y)) \\ (h,k)\{f,g\}: &\operatorname{Tm}(\Delta,\sigma\{f\}) \\ (h,k)\{f,g\} &= (h,k) \circ (f,g) = (h \circ f, \lambda x, y : k(f(x),g(x,y))) \end{split}$$

For the context comprehension, define

$$\begin{split} (X,Y).(A,B) &= (\sum_{x \in X} A(x), \lambda \langle x,a \rangle \ . \sum_{y \in Y(x)} B(x,y,a)) \\ \mathbf{p}(A,B) &= (\text{fst}, \lambda x.\text{fst}) \\ \mathbf{v}_{A,B} &= (\text{snd}, \lambda x.\text{snd}) \end{split}$$

Given $(f,g):(X',Y')\to (X,Y)$ and $(h,k)\in \mathrm{Tm}((X',Y'),(A,B)\{f,g\}),$ we define

$$(\theta, \psi) = \langle (f, g), (h, k) \rangle_{(A,B)} : (X', Y') \to (X, Y).(A, B)$$

by $\theta(x) = \langle f(x), h(x) \rangle$, $\psi(x, y) = \langle g(x, y), k(x, y) \rangle$. It is clear that $\mathbf{p}(\sigma) \circ \theta = f$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\sigma}\{\theta\} = M$ and that these conditions force (θ, ψ) to be unique.

4 BiAlg(F,G) for $F,G:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{D}$

Lemma 2. BiAlg(F, G) has a terminal object if \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} does, and G preserves terminal objects (i.e. $G(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{D}}$).

Proof. Define $\mathbf{1}_{\operatorname{BiAlg}(F,G)} := (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}},!_{F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}})})$ where $!_{F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}})}$ is the unique map $F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathbf{1}_D$. For any object (X,f), the unique morphism $(X,f) \to (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}},!_{F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}})})$ is given by the unique arrow $!_X$ from X to $\mathbf{1}_C$ in \mathbb{C} , and the diagram

$$FX \xrightarrow{f} GX$$

$$F(!_X) \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow G(!_X)$$

$$F(\mathbf{1}_C) \xrightarrow{!_{F(\mathbf{1}_C)}} G(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{D}}$$

commutes since both paths are arrows into $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{D}}$, hence equal.

4.1 Some CwF preliminaries

Clairambault [Cla06, 4.1] defines a category $\operatorname{Type}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma)$ of types in context Γ from the base category \mathbb{C} . The morphisms between $A, B \in \operatorname{Ty}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma)$ are defined to be the terms $f \in \operatorname{Tm}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, A, B\{\mathbf{p}(A)\})$, with identity given by \mathbf{v}_A . We will be mostly interested in the composition of two terms $f \in \operatorname{Tm}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, A, B\{\mathbf{p}(A)\})$ and $g \in \operatorname{Tm}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, B, C\{\mathbf{p}(B)\})$, which is defined to be

$$g \bullet f := g\{\langle \mathbf{p}(A), f \rangle_B\}.$$

The following proposition says that comprehension is a functor from "families in \mathbb{C} " to \mathbb{C} , which is quite convenient.

Lemma 3. Given $g: \Gamma' \to \Gamma$ and $M \in Tm(\Gamma'.\sigma', \sigma\{g \circ p(\sigma')\})$, one can construct $\psi: \Gamma'.\sigma' \to \Gamma.\sigma$.

Proof. Take
$$\psi := \langle g \circ \mathbf{p}(\sigma'), M \rangle_{\sigma}$$
.

Lemma 4. Let $f: \Delta \to \Gamma$, $M \in Tm(\Delta, \sigma\{f\})$, $h: \Theta \to \Delta$. Then $\langle f, M \rangle_{\sigma} \circ h = \langle f \circ h, M\{h\} \rangle_{\sigma\{f\}}$.

Proof. $\langle f, M \rangle_{\sigma} \circ h$ satisfies the universal property for $f \circ h$ and $M\{h\}$.

Lemma 5. For every $M \in Tm(\Gamma, \sigma)$, there is $\overline{M} : \Gamma \to \Gamma.\sigma$ such that $p(\sigma) \circ \overline{M} = id$ and $v_{\sigma}\{\overline{M}\} = M$.

Proof. There is no choice but to define $\overline{M} := \langle \mathrm{id}, M \rangle_{\sigma}$.

4.2 Some box preliminaries

In order to extend $\operatorname{BiAlg}(F,G)$ to a CwF (with $F,G:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{D}$), we assume that \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} are CwFs , and that \square_F and \square_G exist and satisfy certain requirements. We collect these here.

Definition 6. Assume that \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} are CwFs. They has boxes, if for each $F: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$, $\Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Ty}(\Gamma)$, there exists $\Box_F(\Gamma, \sigma) \in \mathrm{Ty}(F(\Gamma))$ and an isomorphism $\varphi_F: F(\Gamma, \sigma) \to F(\Gamma).\Box_F(\Gamma, \sigma)$ such that $\mathbf{p} \circ \varphi = F(\mathbf{p})$.

For every $f \in \text{Tm}(\Gamma, \sigma)$, we also demand the existence of $\text{dmap}_F(\Gamma, \sigma, f) \in \text{Tm}(F(\Gamma), \Box_F(\Gamma, \sigma))$ such that $\varphi_F \circ F(\overline{f}) = \overline{\text{dmap}_F(\Gamma, \sigma, f)}$.

Finally, we also require a morphism part of \Box_F , namely that for $f: \Delta \to \Gamma$, $M \in \text{Tm}(\Delta, \sigma\{f\})$ we have $\Box_F(f, M) \in \text{Tm}(F(\Delta), \Box_F(\Gamma, \sigma)\{F(f)\})$ with "naturality condition" $\varphi_F \circ F(\langle f, M \rangle) = \langle F(f), \Box_F(f, M) \rangle$.

Assume that $\mathbb C$ and $\mathbb D$ are CwFs with boxes. We assume that for $f:\Delta\to\Gamma,$ we have

$$\square_G(\Gamma, \sigma)\{G(f)\} = \square_G(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}) \tag{1}$$

and similarly $\Box_G(\operatorname{id}_{\Gamma}, N)\{G(f)\} = \Box_G(\operatorname{id}_{\Delta}, N\{f\})$ for all $N \in \operatorname{Tm}(\Gamma, \sigma)$ and $f : \Delta \to \Gamma$. Here, $\Box_G(\operatorname{id}_{\Gamma}, N)\{G(f)\} \in \operatorname{Tm}(G(\Delta), \Box_G(\Gamma, \sigma)\{G(f)\})$ and $\Box_G(\operatorname{id}_{\Delta}, N\{f\}) \in \operatorname{Tm}(G(\Delta), \Box_G(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}))$, so both sides of the equation have the same type by (1).

Remark 7. Demanding equality on the nose instead of isomorphism simplifies matters – we are spared transporting terms hidden inside substitutions along the isomorphisms. I guess it should be possible in principle though.

However, with the usual definition of \square_G , one (almost) never has equality. (In Set, for example, the left hand side is $\{y:G(\Sigma\ \Gamma\ \sigma)\ |\ldots\}$ and the right hand side $\{y:G(\Sigma\ \Delta\ (\sigma\circ f))\ |\ldots\}$.) If G=U is a forgetful functor, though, then the usual definition of $\square_U(\Gamma,\sigma)$ is isomorphic to a $X(\Gamma,\sigma)$ such that $X(\Gamma,\sigma)\{U(f)\}=X(\Delta,\sigma\{f\})$. I see no harm in replacing $\square_U(\Gamma,\sigma)$ with $X(\Gamma,\sigma)$ for U, so that we get an actual equality? The properties we need \square_U to have are of course preserved by isomorphism anyway.

For F, we only require the existence of

$$\phi_F(f) \in \operatorname{Tm}(F(\Delta).\Box_F(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}), \Box_F(\Gamma, \sigma)\{F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}(\Box_F(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}))\})$$

which should be functorial in f, i.e. $\phi_F(\mathrm{id}) = \mathbf{v}_\sigma$ and

$$\phi_F(f \circ g) = \phi_F(f) \{ \langle F(g) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(g) \rangle \}.$$

Remark 8. $\phi_F(f)$ and $\phi_F(g)$ are not composable in Type($F(\Gamma)$), as their types depend on f and g, but the "composition" above should be composition in some more liberal category (where \mathbf{v}_{σ} still is the identity)? It is in any case exactly what we need, and holds e.g. in Set (I have not checked Fam(Set), but would be very surprised if it did not hold).

We assume that \Box_F , $\phi_F(f)$ and substitution relate in the following ways: for every $f: \Delta \to \Gamma$, $M \in \text{Tm}(\Gamma, \sigma\{f\})$ and $g: \Theta \to \Delta$, we have

- $\bullet \ \Box_F(f,M)\{F(g)\} = \Box_F(f \circ g, M\{g\}) \quad ("\Box_F(f \circ g) = \Box_F(f) \circ \Box_F(g)"),$
- $\Box_F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}_\sigma) = \mathbf{v}_{\Box_F(\Gamma, \sigma)} \{ \varphi_F \}$ (" $\Box_F(\mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id}$ "),
- $\phi_F(f)\{\overline{\Box_F(\mathrm{id},M)}\}=\Box_F(f,M).$

4.3 The construction

4.3.1 Types

Define

$$\operatorname{Ty}_{\operatorname{BiAlg}(F,G)}(\Gamma,h) = \{(\sigma,M) \mid \sigma \in \operatorname{Ty}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma), M \in \operatorname{Tm}_{\mathbb{D}}(F(\Gamma).\Box_{F}(\Gamma,\sigma), \Box_{G}(\Gamma,\sigma)\{h \circ \mathbf{p}\})\}$$

For substitutions, assume $f:(\Delta,h')\to (\Gamma,h)$, i.e. $f:\Delta\to \Gamma$ and $G(f)\circ h'=h\circ F(f)$. Define for $(\sigma,M)\in \mathrm{Ty}_{\mathrm{BiAlg}(F,G)}(\Gamma,h)$

$$(\sigma, M)\{f\} = (\sigma\{f\}, M\{\langle F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(f) \rangle\}) \in \mathrm{Ty}_{\mathrm{BiAlg}(F,G)}(\Delta, h')$$

We should check that this makes sense. Since $\sigma \in \mathrm{Ty}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma)$, we have $\sigma\{f\} \in \mathrm{Ty}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta)$. We now need a term in $\mathrm{Tm}_{\mathbb{D}}(F(\Delta).\Box_F(\Delta,\sigma\{f\}),\Box_G(\Delta,\sigma\{f\})\{h\circ\mathbf{p}\})$. Since $F(f):F(\Delta)\to F(\Gamma)$ and

$$\phi_F \in \operatorname{Tm}(F(\Delta).\Box_F(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}), \Box_F(\Gamma, \sigma)\{F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}\}),$$

Lemma 3 applies and we get $g := \langle F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F \rangle : F(\Delta).\square_F(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}) \to F(\Gamma).\square_F(\Gamma, \sigma)$, so that

$$M\{g\} \in \operatorname{Tm}_{\mathbb{D}}(F(\Delta).\Box_F(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}), \Box_G(\Gamma, \sigma)\{h \circ \mathbf{p} \circ g\})$$

and since

$$h \circ \mathbf{p} \circ g = h \circ \mathbf{p} \circ \langle F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F \rangle = h \circ F(f) \circ \mathbf{p} = G(f) \circ h' \circ \mathbf{p}$$

and $\square_G(\Gamma, \sigma)\{G(f)\} = \square_G(\Delta, \sigma\{f\})$, we in fact have

$$M\{g\} \in \operatorname{Tm}_{\mathbb{D}}(F(\Delta).\Box_F(\Delta, \sigma\{f\}), \Box_G(\Delta, \sigma\{f\})\{h' \circ \mathbf{p}\})$$

as needed. Functoriality follows from functoriality of $\phi_F(f)$ and functoriality one level down:

$$(\sigma, M)\{\mathrm{id}\} = (\sigma\{\mathrm{id}\}, M\{\langle F(\mathrm{id}) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(\mathrm{id})\rangle\})$$
$$= (\sigma, M\{\langle p, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma}\rangle\}) = (\sigma, M\{\mathrm{id}\}) = (\sigma, M)$$

$$\begin{split} (\sigma, M)\{f\}\{g\} &= (\sigma\{f\}\{g\}, M\{\langle F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(f)\rangle\}\{\langle F(g) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(g)\rangle\}) \\ &= (\sigma\{f \circ g\}, M\{\langle F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(f)\rangle \circ \langle F(g) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(g)\rangle\}) \\ &= (\sigma\{f \circ g\}, M\{\langle F(f) \circ \mathbf{p} \circ \langle F(g) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(g)\rangle, \phi_F(f)\{\langle F(g) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(g)\rangle\}) \\ &= (\sigma\{f \circ g\}, M\{\langle F(f) \circ F(g) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(f \circ g)) \\ &= (\sigma\{f \circ g\}, M\{\langle F(f \circ g) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(f \circ g)) \\ &= (\sigma, M)\{f \circ g\} \end{split}$$

4.3.2 Terms

Define

$$\operatorname{Tm}((\Gamma, h), (\sigma, M)) = \{ N \in \operatorname{Tm}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma, \sigma) \mid \Box_{G}(\operatorname{id}_{\Gamma}, N) \{ h \} = M \{ \overline{\Box_{F}(\operatorname{id}_{\Gamma}, N)} \} \}$$

If $f:(\Delta,h')\to (\Gamma,h)$, we define $N\{f\}$ for $N\in \mathrm{Tm}((\Gamma,h),(\sigma,M))$ to be $N\{f\}$ inherited from \mathbb{C} . We have to check that $N\{f\}\in \mathrm{Tm}((\Delta,h'),(\sigma,M)\{f\})$ = $\mathrm{Tm}((\Delta,h'),(\sigma\{f\},M\{\langle F(f)\circ\mathbf{p},\phi_F(f)\rangle\}))$, i.e. that

$$\square_G(\mathrm{id}_\Delta, N\{f\})\{h'\} = M\{\langle F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(f) \rangle\}\{\overline{\square_F(\mathrm{id}_\Delta, N\{f\})}\}$$

given that $\square_G(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, N)\{h\} = M\{\overline{\square_F(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, N)}\}$. We calculate

$$\Box_{G}(\mathrm{id}_{\Delta}, N\{f\})\{h'\} = \Box_{G}(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, N)\{G(f)\}\{h'\}$$

$$= \Box_{G}(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, N)\{h\}\{F(f)\}$$

$$= M\{\langle \mathrm{id}_{F(\Gamma)}, \Box_{F}(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, N)\rangle\}\{F(f)\}$$

$$= M\{\langle F(f), \Box_{F}(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, N)\{F(f)\}\rangle\}$$

$$= M\{\langle F(f), \Box_{F}(f, N\{f\})\rangle\}$$

$$= M\{\langle F(f), \phi_{F}(f)\{\overline{\Box_{F}(\mathrm{id}, N\{f\})}\rangle\}$$

$$= M\{\langle F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_{F}(f)\rangle\}\{\overline{\Box_{F}(\mathrm{id}, N\{f\})}\}.$$

4.3.3 Context comprehension

Given $(\Gamma, h) \in \text{BiAlg}(F, G)$ and $(\sigma, M) \in \text{Ty}(\Gamma, h)$, we define

$$\begin{split} (\Gamma, h).(\sigma, M) &\coloneqq (\Gamma.\sigma, \varphi_G^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_F) \\ \mathbf{p}((\sigma, M)) &\coloneqq \mathbf{p}(\sigma) \\ \mathbf{v}_{(\sigma, M)} &\coloneqq \mathbf{v}_{\sigma} \\ \langle f, N \rangle_{(\sigma, M)} &\coloneqq \langle f, N \rangle_{\sigma} \end{split}$$

We have to check that (i) $\mathbf{p}((\sigma, M))$: $(\Gamma, h).(\sigma, M) \to (\Gamma, h)$, (ii) $\mathbf{v}_{(\sigma, M)} \in \text{Tm}((\Gamma, h).(\sigma, M), (\sigma, M)\{\mathbf{p}((\sigma, M))\})$ and that (iii) $\langle f, N \rangle_{\sigma} : (\Delta, h') \to (\Gamma, h).(\sigma, M)$ when $f: (\Delta, h') \to (\Gamma, h)$ and $N \in \text{Tm}((\Gamma, h).(\sigma, M), (\sigma, M)\{p\})$.

For (i), we need that $G(\mathbf{p}) \circ \varphi_G^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_F = h \circ F(\mathbf{p})$. But $G(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p} \circ \varphi_G$, so

$$G(\mathbf{p}) \circ \varphi_G^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_F = \mathbf{p} \circ \varphi_G \circ \varphi_G^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_F$$

$$= \mathbf{p} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_F$$

$$= h \circ \mathbf{p} \circ \varphi_F$$

$$= h \circ F(\mathbf{p}).$$

Hence $\mathbf{p}((\sigma, M)): (\Gamma, h).(\sigma, M) \to (\Gamma, h)$. For (ii), we need to show that $\mathbf{v}_{\sigma} \in \mathrm{Tm}((\Gamma.\sigma, \varphi_{G}^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_{F}), (\sigma\{\mathbf{p}\}, M\{\langle F(\mathbf{p}) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_{F}(\mathbf{p})\}), \text{ i.e. that } \Box_{G}(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma})\{\varphi_{G}^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_{F}\} = M\{\langle F(\mathbf{p}) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_{F}(\mathbf{p}) \rangle\}\{\overline{\Box_{F}(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma})}\}.$ First, note that $\Box_{G}(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma}) = \Box_{G}(\mathbf{p}(\sigma), \mathbf{v}_{\sigma})$ because

$$\Box_{G}(\mathrm{id}, \mathbf{v}) = \Box_{G}(\mathbf{p} \circ \overline{\mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{v}\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}\}) = \Box_{G}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v})\{G(\overline{\mathbf{v}})\}$$
$$= \Box_{G}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}\}) = \Box_{G}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v})$$

Now

$$\Box_{G}(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma})\{\varphi_{G}^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_{F}\} = \Box_{G}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma})\{\varphi_{G}^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_{F}\}$$

$$= \mathbf{v}_{\sigma}\{\varphi_{G} \circ \varphi_{G}^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_{F}\}$$

$$= \mathbf{v}_{\sigma}\{\langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_{F}\}$$

$$= M\{\varphi_{F}\}$$

but also

$$M\{\langle F(\mathbf{p}) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(\mathbf{p}) \rangle\} \{\overline{\Box_F(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma})}\} = M\{\langle F(\mathbf{p}), \phi_F(\mathbf{p}) \{\overline{\Box_F(\mathrm{id}_{\Gamma}, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma})}\} \rangle\}$$

$$= M\{\langle F(\mathbf{p}), \Box_F(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma}) \rangle\}$$

$$= M\{\varphi_F \circ F(\langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}_{\sigma} \rangle)\}$$

$$= M\{\varphi_F \circ F(\mathrm{id})\}$$

$$= M\{\varphi_F\}.$$

Finally, we have to check that $\langle f, N \rangle$ really is a morphism. (Uniqueness of $\langle f, N \rangle$ is of course inherited from \mathbb{C} .) We are given $f : (\Delta, h') \to (\Gamma, h)$ and $N \in \text{Tm}((\Gamma, h).(\sigma, M), (\sigma, M)\{p\})$, that is we have $G(f) \circ h' = h \circ F(f)$ and

$$\square_G(\mathrm{id}_\Delta, N)\{h'\} = M\{\langle F(f) \circ \mathbf{p}, \phi_F(f) \rangle\}\{\overline{\square_F(\mathrm{id}_\Delta, N)}\}$$

which simplifies to

$$\square_G(\mathrm{id}_\Delta, N)\{h'\} = M\{\langle F(f), \square_F(f, N)\rangle\}$$

We also need that $\Box_G(\mathrm{id}_\Delta, N) = \Box_G(f, N)$ (they have the same type thanks to our assumption $\Box_G(\Gamma, \sigma)\{G(f)\} = \Box_G(\Delta, \sigma\{f\})$) – can this be proven from the facts we have or should it be added to our global list of assumptions? Thus, we have

$$\square_G(f, N)\{h'\} = \square_G(\mathrm{id}, N)\{h'\} = M\{\langle F(f), \square_F(f, N)\rangle\}$$

We have to show that

$$G(\langle f, N \rangle) \circ h' = \varphi_G^{-1} \circ \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_F \circ F(\langle f, N \rangle)$$

or equivalently

$$\varphi_G \circ G(\langle f, N \rangle) \circ h' = \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_F \circ F(\langle f, N \rangle)$$

We have

$$\varphi_{G} \circ G(\langle f, N \rangle) \circ h' = \langle G(f), \square_{G}(f, N) \rangle \circ h'$$

$$= \langle G(f) \circ h', \square_{G}(f, N) \{h'\} \rangle$$

$$= \langle h \circ F(f), M \{ \langle F(f), \square_{F}(f, N) \rangle \} \rangle$$

and also

$$\langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \varphi_F \circ F(\langle f, N \rangle) = \langle h \circ \mathbf{p}, M \rangle \circ \langle F(f), \square_F(f, N) \rangle$$
$$= \langle h \circ F(f), M \{ \langle F(f), \square_F(f, N) \rangle \} \rangle$$

and we are done.

References

- [BD08] Alexandre Buisse and Peter Dybjer. The interpretation of intuitionistic type theory in locally cartesian closed categories an intuitionistic perspective. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 218:21–32, 2008.
- [Cla06] Pierre Clairambault. From categories with families to locally cartesian closed categories. Technical report, ENS Lyon, 2006.
- [Dyb96] Peter Dybjer. Internal type theory. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1158:120–134, 1996.
- [Hof97] Martin Hofmann. Syntax and semantics of dependent types. In Andrew Pitts and Peter Dybjer, editors, Semantics and Logics of Computation, pages 79 130. Cambridge University Press, 1997.