Value-Based Prioritization⁷

Kevin Grigorenko⁶

January 5, 2019

Abstract

A method is proposed to use value theory to quantitatively prioritize potential actions to accomplish a goal. This method is applied to the example of choosing meaningful work using an example value system based in the desire to reduce suffering.

1 Introduction

Why should a particular goal be pursued ("Why")? Given a goal, what actions should be pursued to best accomplish said goal ("What")? Given an action, how should said action be pursued ("How")?

This article proposes that value theory usually best scopes "Why" and "What" and the scientific method usually best answers "How". A method called Value-Based Prioritization (1) is developed to answer the "What" question:

Why: Value Theory

What: Value-Based Prioritization (1)

How: Scientific Method

cise for the reader.

3 What Actions?

"What Actions?" is usually best scoped by prioritizing actions because actions usually have differing effect sizes. It follows from the value system used to answer "Why" that the same value system is used to evaluate the priority of each action. This article proposes a method called Value-Based Prioritization which builds a quantitative prioritization model based on predicted effect sizes. Raw prioritization scores are further refined by contextual factors such as implementation time, cost, risk, and other judgments.

ent value systems is left as an (lifelong) exer-

2 Why a Goal?

"Why a Goal?" is usually best scoped using a value system because value systems are evaluative by nature ¹². Example value systems include intuitionism⁹, consequentialism¹³, evolutionary biology⁵, religion⁸, epicureanism¹⁰, stoicism³, political liberalism¹⁴, communitarianism⁴, objectivism², etc. Evaluating differ-

4 How to do an Action?

Given answers to "Why?" and "What?", how to implement actions is usually best answered with the scientific method¹: observations are made and rational thought is used to generate hypotheses, hypotheses are tested with experiments, and successful experiments lead to theories and results.

References

- [1] Hanne Andersen and Brian Hepburn.
 Scientific method. In Edward N. Zalta,
 editor, The Stanford Encyclopedia of
 Philosophy. Metaphysics Research Lab,
 Stanford University, summer 2016
 edition, 2016. https:
 //plato.stanford.edu/archives/su
 m2016/entries/scientific-method/.
- [2] Neera K. Badhwar and Roderick T. Long. Ayn rand. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, fall 2017 edition, 2017. https://plato.stanford.edu/archi ves/fall2017/entries/ayn-rand/.
- [3] Dirk Baltzly. Stoicism. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, summer 2018 edition, 2018. https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2018/entries/stoicism/.
- [4] Daniel Bell. Communitarianism. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, summer 2016 edition, 2016.
- [5] William FitzPatrick. Morality and evolutionary biology. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, spring 2016 edition, 2016. https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2016/entries/morality-biology/.
- [6] Kevin Grigorenko.
 mailto:kevin@myplaceonline.com.
- [7] Kevin Grigorenko. Value-based prioritization: Source code. https://github.com/freeradical13/ValueBasedPrioritization.

- [8] John Hare. Religion and morality. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, winter 2014 edition, 2014. https: //plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2014/entries/religion-morality/.
- [9] Michael Huemer. Ethical intuitionism. Springer, 2007.
- [10] David Konstan. Epicurus. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, summer 2018 edition, 2018. https://plato.stanford.edu/archi ves/sum2018/entries/epicurus/.
- [11] Frank Martela and Michael F Steger. The three meanings of meaning in life: Distinguishing coherence, purpose, and significance. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 11(5):531–545, 2016. https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2015.1137623.
- [12] Mark Schroeder. Value theory. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, fall 2016 edition, 2016. https: //plato.stanford.edu/archives/fa 112016/entries/value-theory/.
- [13] Walter Sinnott-Armstrong.
 Consequentialism. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, winter 2015 edition, 2015. https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2015/entries/consequentialism/.
- [14] Leif Wenar. John rawls. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University, spring 2017 edition, 2017.