

Research Proposal: Extensions to Rule-Guided GNN Rule- Mining on KGs

Rule Expressivity and Uncertainty Handling

Filip Rehburg

ILLC, UvA

2026-02-12



ILLC



UvA

Contents

1. Introduction to Rule-Mining
2. Recent Paper Discussion
3. Proposed Extensions

1. Introduction to Rule-Mining



ILLC



UvA

- Summarizing extensional knowledge (ABox) intensionally (TBox)

$\text{parent}(\text{Alice}, \text{Bob}) \wedge \text{parent}(\text{Bob}, \text{Carl}) \wedge \text{grandparent}(\text{Alice}, \text{Carl})$

$\text{parent}(\text{Hans}, \text{Iris}) \wedge \text{parent}(\text{Iris}, \text{Jane}) \wedge \text{grandparent}(\text{Hans}, \text{Jane})$

\Rightarrow New rule: $\text{grandparent}(x, z) \leftarrow \text{parent}(x, y) \wedge \text{parent}(y, z)$

- Historically: Symbolic approaches
 - e.g., AMIE [1]
- Knowledge Graphs: Graph Neural Networks (GNNs)
 - e.g., Relational GCN [2]

- **Neuro-Symbolic (NeSy) AI:** combining the strengths and weaknesses of NNs and Logic
 - NN for mining rules
 - Logical inference using rules to increase knowledge
- Strengths:
 - Explainability vs. Black box
 - Correctness vs. Hallucinations
 - Fixed vs. variable memory and inference time
 - Data efficiency vs. huge datasets

Bibliography

- [1] L. A. Galárraga, C. Teflioudi, K. Hose, and F. Suchanek, “AMIE: Association Rule Mining under Incomplete Evidence in Ontological Knowledge Bases,” in *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on World Wide Web*, in WWW '13. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, May 2013, pp. 413–422. doi: 10.1145/2488388.2488425.
- [2] M. Schlichtkrull, T. N. Kipf, P. Bloem, R. van~den Berg, I. Titov, and M. Welling, “Modeling Relational Data with Graph Convolutional Networks,” in *The Semantic Web*, A. Gangemi, R. Navigli, M.-E. Vidal, P. Hitzler, R. Troncy, L. Hollink, A. Tordai, and M. Alam, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 593–607. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-93417-4_38.

