24-S1-Q3

Q(a)(i) $X=\begin{bmatrix}1 & 2 & 1\\ 2 & 3 & 2\\ 1 & 3 & 1\end{bmatrix}$ $H=\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1\\ 1 & 2\end{bmatrix}$

(ii) CNN : 4 layers

3x3 filter 1 stride.

no padding no pooling.

output size 1x1 input size ?

(iii) NN 3 hidden layer

hidden nodes ↓

effect : bias & variance?

(b)(i) margin of SVM-2 change

(ii) SVM-3 $\frac{w}{2}$ $\frac{b}{2}$

(iii) SVM-3 margine?

(C)(i) 7th epochs : parameter estimate

Solution (i)

① $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

② $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$= 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 2$

$= 1 + 2 + 2 + 6$

$= 11$

③ $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$= 2 + 1 + 3 + 4$

$= 10$

④ $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$= 2 + 3 + 1 + 6$

$= 12$

⑤ $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

$= 3 + 2 + 3 + 2$

$= 10$

⑥ Hence the 2 x 2 output is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 11 & 10 \\ 12 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

(ii) ① assume the input image size is $N \times N$

$$\frac{N - \text{filter size} + 2 \times \text{padding}}{\text{stride}} + 1 = \text{output size}$$

$$\frac{N - 3 + 2 \times 0}{1} + 1 = N - 2$$

② 4 layers $N - 2 \times 4 = 1$

$N \rightarrow N-2 \rightarrow N-4 \rightarrow N-6 \rightarrow N-8 = 1$

So $N = 9$

Thus the input image must be a 9×9 image.

(iii) ① Bias will tend to increase, due to decreasing the number of hidden units in each layer reduces the model capacity

② Variance will tend to decrease, due to the model become less sensitive to small fluctuation in the training data

(b) Solution (i)

① SVM - 1 pin the support vectors at ± 1

So, its margin $d = \frac{2}{\|w\|}$

② SVM - 2 : constraints are just $w \cdot x + b \geq 0$ or < 0, one can freely rescale (w, b) and still satisfy the same inequalities.

That means SVM-2's margin isn't pinned down at a finite value.

The solution isn't unique and the margin is 0.

(ii) ① for a class - 1 support vector x with $\frac{w}{2} \cdot x + \frac{b}{2} = 1$

② for a class - 2 support vector x with $\frac{w}{2} \cdot x + \frac{b}{2} = -1$

③ SVM discriminate function is $\frac{1}{2}(w \cdot x + b) = 0$ and it is total same with SVM-1

④ So no training point switches side, and SVM - 3 make no classification errors on the traini~~n~~g set.

(iii) ① SVM - 1 : $d = \frac{2}{\|w\|}$

② SVM - 3 :
support vector is

$$\frac{w}{2} x_1 + \frac{b}{2} = 1 \qquad (1)$$

$$\frac{w}{2} x_2 + \frac{b}{2} = -1 \qquad (2)$$

$$(1) - (2) : \frac{w}{2}(x_1 - x_2) = 2$$

$$w \cdot (x_1 - x_2) = 4$$

$$\|w\| \; d = 4$$

$$d = \frac{4}{\|w\|}$$

Hence, the margin of SVM - 3 is twice as large as that of SVM - 1

Solution (c)(i)

① By inspection, the training loss goes monotonically down while validation loss eventually turns upward, means overfitting.

② The validation-loss curse is lowest at epoch 4. That point achieves the best generalization on the validation set.

(ii)

① The plots shows that by epoch 7, the training loss is very low but the validation loss has risen sharply.

② Overfitting has occurred

③ Hence, the parameter at epoch 7 will likely generalize poorly

(iii)

① Because the training loss can continue going down to near-zero while the validation loss increases, the model clearly has sufficient capacity to overfit the training data.

(iv)

① We would use regularization, such as L1 regularization or L2 ~

② Or we could use techniques, such as early stopping, dropout or data augmentation

(v) ① Training loss typically goes up slightly, because with much more data, the network cannot "memorize" them all as easily

② Validation loss often goes down, because having many more training examples improves generalization