# Some Help for the Project

Ralf Sasse and Christoph Sprenger
Institute of Information Security
ETH Zurich

FMSEC Project Help, v.1

### **Guarded Formulas**

All property formulas in Tamarin must be guarded.

#### **Definition (Guarded formula)**

A formula  $\varphi$  is guarded if all its quantified subformulas are of the forms:

$$\forall \overline{x}. \ F(\overline{z})@i \Rightarrow \psi \quad \exists \overline{x}. \ F(\overline{z})@i \wedge \psi \quad \text{(and special cases: } (\forall |\exists) \overline{x}. \ F(\overline{z})@i)$$

where F is a fact and  $\overline{x}$  and  $\overline{z}$  are vectors of variables such that  $\overline{x} \subseteq \overline{z} \cup \{i\}$ , i.e., all bound variables appear in the fact formula  $F(\overline{z})@i$ .

#### Example

Not guarded:

$$\exists Id \ i. \ Create(A, Id, 'I')@i \lor Create(B, Id, 'R')@i$$

Guarded equivalents:

$$(\exists Id\ i.\ Create(A, Id, 'I')@i \land T) \lor (\exists Id\ i.\ Create(B, Id, 'R')@i \land T)$$
  
 $(\exists Id\ i.\ Create(A, Id, 'I')@i) \lor (\exists Id\ i.\ Create(B, Id, 'R')@i)$ 

R. Sasse, Ch. Sprenger 2 of 6

# **Claim and Honesty Facts**

### **Example (Honesty Facts in Security Properties)**

Secrecy:

```
\forall A \ M \ i. \ Secret(A, M)@i 
 \Rightarrow (\neg(\exists j. K(M)@j) \lor (\exists X \ j. \ Rev(X)@j \land Honest(X)@i))
```

Non-injective agreement:

```
\forall A \ B \ M \ i. \ Commit(A, B, \langle 'I', 'R', M \rangle) @i

\Rightarrow ((\exists j. \ Running(B, A, \langle 'I', 'R', M \rangle) @j)

\lor (\exists X \ j. \ Rev(X) @j \land Honest(X) @i))
```

- The honesty facts Honest(X) label the same rule (@i) as the main claim fact (e.g., Secret, Commit).
- The properties hold (i.e., secrecy of *M* resp. existence of a *Running* fact) unless an agent that is expected to be honest is compromised in the trace.

R. Sasse, Ch. Sprenger 3 of 6

## **Roles and Agents in Agreement**

### Example (Non-injective agreement of initiator with responder)

```
\forall A \ B \ M \ i. \ Commit(A, B, \langle 'I', 'R', M \rangle)@i
\Rightarrow ((\exists j. \ Running(B, A, \langle 'I', 'R', M \rangle)@j)
\vee (\exists X \ j. \ Rev(X)@j \land Honest(X)@i))
```

- Order of 'I' and 'R' fixed, meaning that the agent (A) in the initiator role agrees with the agent (B) in the responder role (on M).
- Order of agents A and B instantiating the initiator and responder roles is swapped.
- Idea is that the first agent name is the one "executing" the claim.

R. Sasse, Ch. Sprenger 4 of 6

## **Executability Lemmas**

- Executability lemmas are so-called existential properties.
- These show the existence of some protocol trace satisfying the formula ...
- ... instead of the usual case where all traces must satisfy the formula.

### **Example (Executabilty in Tamarin)**

Insert the keyword exists-trace between the lemma name and the formula.

```
lemma executablility: exists-trace "...(formula \varphi)..."
```

"There exists a trace that reaches the end of the protocol (expressed by  $\varphi$ )."

R. Sasse, Ch. Sprenger 5 of 6

# **Syntax Issues: Type Annotations**

- You must mark index variables with a hash (#) in quantifications.
- This is not done on our slides to avoid notational clutter.

### **Example (Secrecy)**

```
\forall A \ M \ \#i. \ Secret(A, M)@i 
 \Rightarrow (\neg(\exists \#j.K(M)@j) \lor (\exists X \ \#j. \ Rev(X)@j \land Honest(X)@i))
```

#### In rewrite rules:

- You must mark all occurrences of a fresh name with a tilde (e.g., ~k) or no occurrence. A similar remark holds for agent names (e.g., \$A)
- A variable that occurs only on the right-hand side of a rule must be marked public, i.e., carry a \$ annotation (e.g.  $Fr(sk) \rightarrow !Ltk(\$A, sk)$ ).
- Generally, you should not annotate elements of messages received in *In* facts with types as this would reduce the scope of the analysis.

R. Sasse, Ch. Sprenger 6 of 6