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| **Introduction**  - You all heard …  - star -> black hole  - my idea: observer falling…  - Equivalence principle?  **Gliederung**  - I will start with…  - short: QFT in curved spacetime  - then general static spacetimes and answer EP  - Black holes  - short: Conclusion | **Massless scalar field**  - Only consider massless scalar field (most easy)  - Else analogue 🡪 will follow Birrell & Davies  - replace Min metric by spacetime metric  - Quantise according to normal procedure  **Problem of defining a vacuum:**  - normally: vacuum annihilated by all modes  - Problem: other system 🡪 other modes 🡪 not annihilate 🡪 need to guess  - Static spacetime: intuitive way … (just a guess)  - observers: own system 🡪 what does he see? |
| **Greens function**  - answer that in a moment 🡪 first define Wig function  - Wightman function: expectation value of fields  - Satisfies Klein-Gordon equation  - thermal: replace expectation value  - if real: replace t -> t - iβn 🡪 periodic on Im axis  **Unruh detector**  - Now answer what observer will see  - Idea goes back to unruh: detector model  - Perturbation theory 🡪transition rate splits…  - basically fouriertrafo of Wightman function  - Interpretation as particle population | **Minkowski space**  - To get feeling for this 🡪 Minkowski space  - Wightman function  - Important: reg. ε (sometimes drop it - simplicity)  - Static: (just getting older) 🡪 contour 🡪 poles shifted  - Inertial observer: vacuum is vacuum!  **Unruh effect**  - Accelerating observer: this trajectory  - Compare to thermal Wightman function + static observer  - Accelerating observer 🡪 vacuum is a thermal state! |
| **Static spacetimes**  - this was intro 🡪basic plan: unruh detector around BH  - Before consider BH 🡪Some general properties  - Static spacetime: metric not time dependent  - positive frequency solutions + vacuum  **Properties of Wightman function**  - free to choose cords 🡪 normal cords  - same as in Minkowski space up to time dilation  - as you can see 🡪 pole at x = 0 🡪 always shifted up  - residue theorem 🡪 drop pole and ε  - further analysis one finds | **Static observers**  - Let’s turn to the observed spectrum 🡪 2 lemma  - don’t rely on vague arguments from before  - static: τ only in exp 🡪 delta = 0  - surprising: need to accelerate (e.g. BH)  **Killing vectors**  - even gets worse 🡪 movement along spatial killing  - complex condition 🡪A,B (velocites) & m,ω (eigenv)  - again: τ only in exp 🡪 delta = 0  - example: circular orbit, k in ϕ, ω inf. Small  - surprising: geodesics with particles |
| **Equivalence principle?**  - this is resolved 🡪EP local  - this is a global effect: integrate over compl. worldline  - depends on whole history  **General Observers**  - because EP fails 🡪 no shortcut (calc by hand)  - problem for non constant 🡪 integrate –oo to 0  - pole at 0 🡪 ε shifts, need to calc for all ε  - need to find other way 🡪 found simple answer  - expansion around 0, 1/τ^2 term + something else  - introd. Ε again 🡪 1/τ^2 does not contribute  - W is non singular 🡪 can integrate | **BH Black holes**  - now bothered you enough with technicalities  - now concrete: black holes  - consider star (Schwarzschild metric for simp.)  - After collapse: appears in thermal state  - spectrum before and after  - Wightman function: took a long time, spare details  - approximated form for r > 200M  **BH Circular Observer**  - Consider circular observer  - after fouriertrafo: exponential falling spectrum |
| **BH After collapse summary**  - so far before, now after  **BH Thermal Wightman function**  - so we need to calculate the thermal Wightman function  - recall from beginning … 🡪 split D  - thermal D + corrections  - static observers: Tolman relation – other analysis  - corrections from W: thermal + non thermal | **BH Determine temperature**  - During my research … people interested in shift  - Fit temperature over distance  **BH Determine temperature 2**  - however equal to min diff of Wightman functions  - Small shifts 🡪 taylorexp. Around hawking temp  - in the end: calculate integral (numerically) |
| **BH Static observers**  - finally we can look at our observers  - relative temp shift over radius (logarithmic)  - dotted line: r = 200  - static observer 🡪 follows tolman relation as we expect  - errors: only numerical errors  **BH Circular observers**  - also follows Tolman relation  - deviation also inside numerical errors | **BH Infalling observer**  - again follows the tolman relation  - deviation outside numerical errors  - however this line here 🡪 error due to approx.  - same order of magnitude 🡪 not significant enough  **Conclusion**  - I will end with short conclusion  - We showed EP not applicable  - found approximated form of Wightman function  - developed a method to extract the temperature  - all observers follow Tolman relation (corr. notherm)  - and all deviations were not significant enough |
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