# Establishing performance records for prognoses using Canadian oil sands as example

#### Friedrich Hehl

### Abstract

## Note: Old abstract, taken from Master Thesis

Crude oil plays an important role for the global energy system. As there is ample evidence that conventional oil production will have peaked by 2020, unconventional oil has attained a stronger focus. In particular, oil derived from bitumen from Canadian oil sands has been proposed as a possible remedy to global oil depletion.

This study aims to test the hypothesis that forecasts on the Canadian oil sands published between about 2000 and 2010 have been overestimating production significantly. A large compilation of oil sands projects, prognoses and production data has been established using openly available databases and reports. Conversion, standardization and analysis of the data were done using a webinterface made solely for this purpose.

The statistical analysis shows a significant bias of the prognoses towards an overestimation of oil sands production. The compilation shows that most authors tend to overestimate the rate of expansion of the industry. Therefore, any prognosis on the expansion of the industry should be examined thoroughly before use.

Keywords: oil sands, unconventional oil, analysis, heavy oil

# Contents

1	Introduction	3
_	Methods 2.1 Comparing prognoses	<b>3</b>
3	Discussion	6
4	References	6

#### 1. Introduction

Oil produced from Canadian oil sands has been pointed out as a possible remedy to mitigate a future decline of conventional oil production. Since the so-called *Hirsch-report* [?], which proposed the further expansion of the industry as a means to sustain global economic welfare, numerous articles, publications and comments have been submitted to the public for evaluation. Among these are several outlooks and prognoses for the future development of the oil sands industry.

However, these prognoses are rarely made accountable for their performance compared to the actual course of events later on. Since energy strategies heavily rely on the choice of the prognosis that supports the strategy, informed choices should evaluate the performance of earlier prognoses made with the same model or, if a model is not disclosed, the same institution.

Surprisingly, performance ratings of historical prognoses have not been established as a standard tool when choosing a model, and, in fact, do not exist for most of the models.

This article evaluates the performance of several prognoses made on the development of the production from Canadian oil sands made between 2000 and 2012. It shows that prognoses have tended to overestimate production vastly.

Finally, the article discusses the need for more open models and recommends including the evaluation of performance ratings of earlier prognoses of a model into deciding upon energy strategies.

#### 2. Methods

For this article, more than 35 sources from 12 different institutions have been reviewed. These can be seen in table 1.

To facilitate the compilation, presentation and visualization of the data, a website has been programmed, publicly available at Hehl [2014]

- [include short descriptions of the sources]
- description of data-collection, conversion, interpolation
- short description of mathematical model for performance ratings (mean deviation, time lapse, growth deviation, error as a function of time, etc)
- short description of application of performance ratings of "old" prognoses to recent prognoses

Mention: Since no source has disclosed details as of how the prognoses were made, the forecasting models were assumed to remain constant over time within each affiliated institution. This is the closest guess, but can of course be proven wrong by the respective institution by simply publishing the changes of their models between publication dates.

#### 2.1. Comparing prognoses

If we define the *horizon of a prognosis* as the largest distance of days between its publication the date of and the prognosis predicts a val When comparing two prognoses, the maximum horizon a The array of days is determined by the maximum of days that two

Source	Type	Page	Year	Institution/Affiliation
?	D	43,44	2000	NEB
?	T	19-24	2002	TD Securities
?	D	56	2003	NEB
?	T	138-150	2004	CERI
?	D	8	2004	ACR
?	D	4, 2.16	2005	AESRD
?	Т	App tabl 1	2006	CAPP
?	D	24	2007	NEB
?	D	20	2009	NEB
?	Т	App A3.31	2011	NEB
?	D	33, 40	2011	CERI
?	D	3	2011	CERI
?	Т	App B.1	2012	CAPP
?	D	26	2012	Citibank
?	T	Tab 4.2-2	2012	Statistics Ca
?	Т		2012	Government
?	Т		2012	CAPP
?	T		2012	OSR
?	Т	107, 3.5	2012	IEA

Table 1: Sources of data. Type will indicate whether the corresponding data was extracted via Tables or Diagrams.

#### **NEB** National Energy Board

Independent federal agency. Purpose: regulate pipelines, energy development and trade in the Canadian public interest.

## TD Securities TD Bank Group

Provider of capital market products and services to corporate, government and institutional clients.

## CERI Canadian Energy Research Institute

Independent, non-profit research establishment. The Board consists of a politician and members of: Confer Consulting Ltd., Alberta Department of Energy, Imperial Oil Limited, NEXEN Inc., Natural Resources Canada, OSUM Oil Sands Corporation and the University of Calgary.

## ACR Alberta Chamber of Resources

Resource based cross-sectoral industry association.

### **AEUB** Alberta Energy and Utilities Board

Head of Energy Resources Conservation Board (ERCB), that regulates the development of Alberta's energy resources.

#### **CAPP** Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers

Voice of Canada's upstream oil, oil sands and natural gas industry.

**Citibank** Provider of financial solutions in corporate and investment banking, credit cards, consumer finance, investment, leasing and private banking.

#### Statistics Ca Statistics Canada

Canada's central statistical office.

# ${\bf Government}\ \ Government\ \ of\ Alberta$

Head of Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. Protects

Year	Author	Estimate in EJ	Estimate in Gbbl
1974	Thomas	1840	298
1977	MIT	1840	298
1978	WEC Delphi Poll	1360	221
1978	Ezra	502	81.4
1981	Qadeer	918	149
1982	Fraas	1800	292
1985	Edmonds and Reilly	3790	614
2002	Bentley	4900	794

Table 2: Estimates of global ultimately recoverable resources of bitumen according to ?. Barrels are calculated assuming an energy content of  $6,16~\mathrm{GJ}$  per barrel following ?.

Country/Region	Reserves in Gbbl	Remarks
Canada	2520	Ultimate volume in place
	1630	Initial volume in place
	308	Ultimately recoverable
	162	Proven reserves
US	2000	Ultimate volume in place
	100	Proven reserves
Venezuela	1180	Volume in place
	235	Recoverable volume
Former Soviet Union	1170	Initial volume in place
Morocco	700	
Jordan	350	
Australia	50	
Nigeria	43	Initial volume in place

Table 3: Estimates of global oil sands and oil shale resources according to ?.

and enhances Alberta's natural environment, ensures clean and healthy environment.

## **OSR** Oilsands Review

Publication on oilsands industry coverage. Reflects interests of oilsands sector and its stakeholders in Canada and around the world including project owners, service and supply companies, investors, governments, communities, First Nations, and environmental groups.

Additionally, there are estimates for global oil sands and oil shale resources, given in table 3. Although the total quantities do not give much information about possible production rates, the picture is clear: Canada, the US and Venezuela have the largest part of global reserves of bitumen.

# 3. Discussion

- $\bullet\,$  limitations of the calculations and assumptions within this article
- lack of insight into models of respective institutions
  - Why? general bias? Purely industrial interests in the prognoses?
  - Can this be changed? Need for  $\it open\ models$  that can be peer-reviewed and reproduced!
  - Appeal to consult performance ratings before relying on a prognosis

## 4. References

Hehl, F., 2014. Oilsandsdatabase.

URL http://www.hehl.se/oilsandsdatabase