Inference at the edge: tuning compression parameters

for performance

Deliverable 1: Final year Dissertation

Bsc Computer Science: Artificial Intelligence

 Sam Fay-Hunt — sf52@hw.ac.uk

Supervisor: Rob Stewart — R.Stewart@hw.ac.uk

March 10, 2021

DECLARATION

I, Sam Fay-Hunt confirm that this work submitted for assessment is my own and is expressed in

my own words. Any uses made within it of the works of other authors in any form (e.g., ideas,

equations, figures, text, tables, programs) are properly acknowledged at any point of their use. A

list of the references employed is included.

Signed:Sam Fay-Hunt......

Date:10/12/2020.....

Abstract: Abstract here

Contents

1	Introduction		1
2	Bac	kground	2
3	Methodology		2
	3.1	Overview	2
	3.2	Conceptual Process	2
	3.3	Filter and channel selection	2
	3.4	Engineering/implementation details	3
4	Eva	luation	3
	4.1	Evaluation of experimental design	3
	4.2	Evaluation of results	3
5	Con	nclusion	3
	5.1	Further work	3
	5.2	Discussion	4
\mathbf{A}	Bac	k matter	4
	A.1	References	4

1 Introduction

- Introduce terminology Inference, neural network model, pruning, layers, channels, filters
- Introduce models to be used high level conceptual representation of the models
- $\bullet \ \ Introduce \ hypothesis$
- ullet Describe research aims
- Define project objectives
- Describe how this work contributes to further research

2 Background

- Adapt from D1
- rewrite with more of a focus on the concrete channel and pruning methodology used
- Would be good to include wandb bayse hyperparam optimisation details

3 Methodology

3.1 Overview

- Questions to be addressed
- Metrics to be measured why

3.2 Conceptual Process

- Sensitivity analysis filter/channel selection and layer interdependencies
- Filter pruning implementation Theory
- Channel pruning implementation Theory
- Retraining pruned model

3.3 Filter and channel selection

Link back to selected model - concrete examples of process described in previous section

- Filter selection (visual representation of filters)
- Channel selection (visual representation of channels)
- $\bullet \ \ \textit{Discussion of pruning consequences (and recovery) -i. top 1/top 5 \ before \ retraining \ and \ after}$

3.4 Engineering/implementation details

- High level overview of physical system justify need for multiple training agents
- Benchmarking setup openvino + benchmark (getting latency/throughput)
- Pruning & retraining setup Distiller (Pruning & training)
- ullet Data processing wandb + data visualisation steps

4 Evaluation

4.1 Evaluation of experimental design

- ullet Duration of training
- volume of data gathered
- (im)practicalities power consumption?
- limitations single optimisation metric
- Criticism of methodology

4.2 Evaluation of results

- Summary of results per model/dataset
- Deep dive into results, detailed visualisations of accuracy & latency tradeoffs (maybe example with poor quality sensitivity analysis vs higher quality layer selection)

5 Conclusion

5.1 Further work

- Suggested improvements for methodology
- Next steps

5.2 Discussion

ullet Discuss results

A Back matter

A.1 References