

0.1 Research questions

The following are the questions this research will seek to investigate:

- Application of pruning algorithms on neural networks: how does changing the pruning paradigm impact latency? (Objectives O3, O4, and O5)
- Can we apply hyperparameter optimisation methods to neural network pruners to minimize latency? (Objective O7)
- To what degree can we improve latency (if at all) using an automated strategy while keeping the accuracy metrics above a set threshold? (Objective O8)

0.2 Research Methodology

0.2.1 Core Technology Summary

This sections provides a brief summary of the core technologies that will be utilised, the core functionality will be written in Python, a combination of different Python versions will be necessary to properly utilise Distiller and OpenVINO. This versioning issue is one of the reasons we use Redis, it can act as a message broker between Distiller and OpenVINO, we can facilitate a more flexible development by adopting this strategy (inference and training will be completely decoupled).

- Distiller - A neural network compression library.
- OpenVINO - A toolkit to perform inference.
- Weights & Biases - A tool for metric recording, visualisation, and parameter optimisation [1].
- Redis - A fast data structure store (used for FIFO queue).

0.2.2 Compression

The Distiller library was selected as the platform of choice to perform compression because it functions as a convenient toolbox to test a selection of compression methods under a common environment, and critically a large collection of compression methods [2]. The decision to use Distiller is reinforced by Aim 1, there is a rich selection of already-implemented (off-the-shelf)

algorithms to choose from, the parameters of these compression algorithms are all defined according to a “scheduling recipe” that can be defined programmatically [2]. This project focuses specifically on the pruning methods within distiller, thus we refer to the parts of the scheduling recipe concerned with pruners as the compression parameters, depending on the compression method being used these can be lists of layers to apply the method, initial & final sparsity, and groupings of the layer structure (channel vs filter).

We will need to consider the schedule for the learning-rate decay class to properly fine tune the model, we will define a fixed learning-rate decay for all experiments to improve reliability of our results and reduce the search dimensions for our own optimiser.

Fig. 1 Shows a high level view of the Distiller library, to accomplish objective O7 we will primarily be interacting with the scheduler via the framework specified in objective O7.




Figure 1: Distiller high-level architecture.

Figure adopted from [2]

0.2.3 Benchmarking

Openvino benchmarking tool (Objectives O3, O4, and O5)

0.3 Compression parameter selection

(Aim 2)

0.3.1 Optimisation

bayesian optimisation [3] (Aim 4) (Objective O7)