

Psychology of Data Visualization: Course Overview

Michael Friendly

Psych 6135




<https://friendly.github.io/6135>

@datavisFriendly




Introducing: me

History of data visualization: *Les Chevaliers*; Friendly & Wainer (2021)




John Snow's map of cholera in London, 1854



original

modern enhancement

C. J. Minard: Flow maps of cotton trade




Visual explanation: What happened in the US Civil War?


Introducing: me

I wear two hats, both reflected on my license plate:


Statistical graphics developer (categorical & multivariate data analysis)




Yours to discover!



mosaic plots for frequency tables



HE plots for MANOVA



2

Course Topics

- Varieties of information visualization
 - Goals of visualization
 - Survey of graphic forms
- History of information visualization
- Psychological models, theories and results
 - What can people see, understand and remember from data displays?
 - Perceptual aspects, cognitive aspects
- Software tools for information visualization
- Visualization in statistics: case studies
 - Categorical data; High-D data; Dynamic and interactive methods
- Human factors research: how to tell what works

3

4

Your role

- Weekly readings – see the course [web](#) site for updates
- Discussion – no formal grade, but please contribute
- Discussion leader (20%)
 - Each week 1-2 of you will lead a brief discussion on one of the readings or sub-topics (~ 5-8 min.)
- Class presentation (40%)
 - In the last week, each person will give a ~ 20 min presentation on a topic of research, application or software related to data visualization
- Research proposal (40%)
 - Prepare a brief research proposal on a data visualization topic


5

Books & Readings




Colin Ware, *Information Visualization*, 3rd Ed.

What perceptual science has to say about data visualization, from a bottom-up perspective
Course notes at: <http://ccom.unh.edu/vislab/VisCourse/index.html>



Alberto Cairo, *The Truthful Art*

Information graphics from a communication perspective
Blog: <http://www.thefunctionalart.com/>



Steven Kosslyn, *Elements of Graph Design*

A cognitive psychologist looks at graphs and presents some do's and don'ts. There are better books like this today.



Hadley Wickham, *ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis*, 2nd Ed.

1st Ed: Online, <http://ggplot2.org/book/>

ggplot2 Quick Reference: <http://sape.inf.usi.ch/quick-reference/ggplot2/>

Complete ggplot2 documentation: <http://docs.ggplot2.org/current/>

6

More books I like



Tamara Munzner (2014), *Visualization Analysis & Design*

An attractive new book combining computer science and design perspectives
Web page: <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/vadbook/> with lots of illustrations & lectures




Claus Wilke(2019), *Fundamentals of Data Visualization*

A detailed, practically oriented book on data visualization methods
Online: <https://clauswilke.com/dataviz/>
Course notes: <https://wikelab.org/SDS375/>



Manuel Lima, *The Book of Trees: Visualizing branches of knowledge*

A visual delight; an entire history of tree-type diagrams
Blog: <http://www.visualcomplexity.com/vc/blog/>






Keiran Healy, *Data Visualization: A Practical Introduction*

An accessible primer on how to create effective graphics from data using ggplot2
Online: <http://socvis.co>

7

Tufte Stuff

Four books by Edward Tufte largely defined the landscape for data visualization and information design



Concepts introduced:

- chart junk,
- data-ink ratio,
- small multiples,
- substance takes precedence over visual design

Web site:
<http://www.edwardtufte.com>

8

Blogs & Web resources



My web site, <http://datavis.ca>. Contains the [Milestone Project](#) on the history of data vis, [Data Visualization gallery](#), links to books, papers and courses.



Kaiser Fung, <http://junkcharts.typepad.com/>. Fung discusses a variety of data displays and discusses how they can be improved.



visual complexity

Nathan Yau's blog, <http://flowingdata.com>. A large number of blog posts illustrating data visualization methods with tutorials on how to do these with R and other software.

<http://visiphilia.org/>. Statisticians Di Cook and Heike Hofmann from Iowa State University blog about data visualization topics, using R

Manuel Lima's blog, <http://www.visualcomplexity.com/vc/blog/>, with hundreds of projects on all types of visualizations

9

Blogs & Web resources

DATA STORIES



KANTAR
Information is Beautiful
Awards



<http://datastori.es/>. A podcast on data visualization with Enrico Bertini and Moritz Stefaner; interviews with over 100 graphic designers & developers.

Annual awards celebrate excellence and beauty in data visualizations, infographics, interactives & information art.
<https://www.informationisbeautifulawards.com>

<https://www.r-bloggers.com/>. A large collection of posts on R news and tutorials by over 750 R bloggers.

Raymond Andrews, <http://infowetrust.com/>. A visual storyteller delights with graphic stories from the history of data visualization

10

Psychology facts: Why visualization?


- ~90% of information about the environment is received through the eyes.
- ~50% of our brain neurons are constantly involved in the processing of visual information.
- The presence of pictures increases desire to read the text by ~80%.
- We remember 10% of what we heard, 20% of what's read, and 80% of what's seen!
- People perceive 70% of the information if there are no illustrations. Add pictures there — the figure will increase up to 95%.

McLeod, S. A. (2018). Visual perception theory. Simply Psychology. www.simplypsychology.org/perception-theories.html

11


Data, pictures, models & stories

Goal: Tell a credible story about some real data problem




Data, pictures, models & stories

Two paths to enlightenment




Data, pictures, models & stories

Now, tell the story!



Words, numbers and pictures


Pictures and images in a wider context



Words, numbers and pictures

Beauty: The 4th dimension

Modes of communication also vary in **beauty** & aesthetic appeal




Roles of graphics in communication


- Graphs (& tables) are forms of communication:
 - What is the audience?
 - What is the message?

Analysis graphs: design to see patterns, trends, aid the process of data description, interpretation

Presentation graphs: design to attract attention, make a point, illustrate a conclusion



Different graphs for different purposes




19

Infographics

The best infographics tell a story, using numbers, but shown visually

Drowning in plastic



From: <https://graphics.reuters.com/ENVIRONMENT-PLASTIC/0100B275155/index.html>

20

Powerful graphs: Measles and vaccines

Visualizing the impact of health policy interventions

In 2015 Tynan DeBold & Dov Friedman in the *Wall Street Journal* show the effect of the introduction of vaccination programs in the US states on disease incidence, using color-coded heat maps for a variety of diseases


Measles was decimated!

The message hits you between the eyes!

Powerful graphs make comparison easy

In 2014, vaccination rates declined and measles re-emerged in those areas

Effective graphs can cure ignorance, but not stupidity.




Source: <http://graphics.wsj.com/infectious-diseases-and-vaccines/>

21

Police shooting deaths

Analysis of Washington Post database on 5500 police shooting deaths for Blacks vs. Whites

Plotting % of shooting vs. % of pop shows a clear & disturbing pattern




From: <https://medium.com/two-n/visualizing-wapos-police-shooting-dataset-3792593f6be>

22

Their names: Interactive graphic

As powerful as Yad Vashem & the Washington D.C. Vietnam memorial, this list of 28,000 US fatal encounters with police commands attention.


Each one is linked to a story or description. Classified by {Gender, Age, Cause}



<https://theirnames.org/>

23

Presentation graph: Nightingale (1857)




24

Data graph: Nightingale (1857)

The same, as a data graph, using time-series line plots


Many statisticians might prefer this today, but it doesn't draw attention or interest as Flo's original did. **It likely would not have roused British Parliament to act!**



25

Racial profiling: Analysis graph

- Toronto Star (2002) study of police actions on a charge of simple possession of marijuana
 - release with a summons (Form 9) vs. hold for bail (Show cause)
 - Evidence for racial bias?
- First graph: mosaic display
 - area ~ frequency
 - shading: ~ residual
 - Obs > Expected in blue
 - Obs < Expected in red



28

Racial profiling: The process

How to communicate these results most effectively?

- What is the message? What features are directly comprehensible to the audience?




Man behind the numbers

29

Racial profiling: Presentation graphic

Together, we created this (nearly) **self-explaining** infographic




30

Why plot your data?

Graphs help us to see

patterns, trends, anomalies and other features

not otherwise easily apparent from numerical summaries.




Source: <http://xkcd.com/523/>

31


Why plot your data?

Three data sets with exactly the same bivariate summary statistics:


- Same correlations, linear regression lines, etc
- Indistinguishable from standard printed output
- Totally different interpretations!



Standard data



$r=0$ but + 2 outliers




Lurking variable?

32

Comparing groups: Analysis vs. Presentation graphs

Six different graphs for comparing groups in a one-way design

- which group means differ?
- equal variability?
- distribution shape?
- what do error bars mean?
- unusual observations?



Never use dynamite plots

Always explain what error bars mean


Consider tradeoff between
summarization & exposure

33

Presentation: Turning tables into graphs

Table 2 from Stevens (2006): Determinants of Authoritarian Aggression	
Variable	Coefficient (Standard Error)
Constant	.41 (.93)
Countries	
Argentina	1.31 (.33) *** B.M
Chile	.93 (.32) *** B.M
Colombia	1.44 (.32) *** B.M
Mexico	.07 (.32) .CH.CO.V
Venezuela	.96 (.37) ** B.M
Threat	
Retrospective egocentric economic perceptions	.20 (.13)
Prospective egocentric economic perceptions	.22 (.12) *
Retrospective sociotropic economic perceptions	-.21 (.12) *
Prospective sociotropic economic perceptions	-.32 (.12) **
Ideological Distance from president	
Ideology	
Ideology	.23 (.07) ***
Individual Differences	
Age	.00 (.01)
Female	-.03 (.21)
Education	.13 (.14)
Academic Sector	.15 (.29)
Business Sector	.31 (.25)
Government Sector	-.10 (.27)
R ²	.35
Adjusted R ²	.32
n	500
***p < .01, **p < .05, *p < .10 (two-tailed)	
A Coefficient is significantly different from Argentina's at p < .05;	
B Coefficient is significantly different from Brazil's at p < .05;	
CH Coefficient is significantly different from Chile's at p < .05;	
CO Coefficient is significantly different from Colombia's at p < .05;	
M Coefficient is significantly different from Mexico's at p < .05;	
V Coefficient is significantly different from Venezuela's at p < .05	

Graphs of model coefficients are often clearer than tables



Source: tables2graphs.com

34

Graphs & Information design

- Graphs & info displays should be viewed in relation to communication goals & audience
- Some criteria for assessing:
 - **comprehensibility:** does it make information as easy to understand as possible?
 - **attention:** does the audience take notice?
 - **aesthetics:** is it visually appealing?
 - **memorability:** will they remember it?
 - **behavior:** does it result in some desired action?

From: Ben Jones, To Optimize or to Satisfice in Data Visualization? <https://dataremixed.com/2016/01/optimize-or-satisfice-in-dataviz/>

35


Effective data display

- Make the data stand out
 - Fill the data region (axes, ranges)
 - Use visually distinct symbols (shape, color) for different groups
 - Avoid chart junk, heavy grid lines that detract from the data
- Facilitate comparison
 - Emphasize the important comparisons visually
 - Side-by-side easier than in separate panels
 - “data” vs. a “standard” easier against a horizontal line
 - Show uncertainty where possible
- Effect ordering
 - For variables and unordered factors, arrange them according to the **effects** to be seen


36

Comparisons— Make visual comparisons easy

- Visual grouping— connect with lines, make key comparisons contiguous
- Baselines— compare *data* to *model* against a line, preferably horizontal
- Frequencies often better plotted on a square-root scale



Standard histogram with fit





Suspended rootogram

37

Make comparisons *direct*

- Use points not bars
- Connect similar by lines
- Same panel rather than different panels

Is there evidence of an interaction here?




Published in Ian Gordon, Sue Finch: *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 2015, 24, 1210-1229.
DOI: 10.1080/10618600.2014.989324
Copyright © 2015 American Statistical Association, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, and Interface Foundation of North America

38



Direct labels vs. legends

Direct labels for points, lines and regions are usually easier and faster than legends

- Give the names of the four groups shown in the line graph at left in top-to-bottom order.
(Answer: b, d, a, c.)
- Now do so for the graphs using color or shape legends
- You need to look back and forth between the graph and legend



Source: Franconeri et al. DOI:
[10.1177/15291006211051956](https://doi.org/10.1177/15291006211051956)




39

Showing uncertainty

- Standard plots of observed vs. predicted lack a basis for assessment of uncertainty
- Confidence envelopes indicate extent of deviation
- Identify “noteworthy” observations to track them down

Example: Normal QQ plots used to assess normality of data



41

Effect order failure: the *Challenger* disaster

- Few events in history provide as compelling illustration of importance of appropriate ordering and display of information
 - On January 28, 1986, the space shuttle Challenger exploded on take-off.
 - The cause was later determined to be that rubber O-rings failed due to cold weather
- Tables and charts presented to NASA by Thiokol engineers showed data from prior launches ordered by **time** (launch number), rather than by **temperature**—the crucial factor.
- The engineers’ charts were also remarkable for information obfuscation: “erosion depth” (O-ring damage), “blow-by” (soot on O-rings), ...


Launch No.	Location	Cross Sectional View		Top View		Clocking Location (deg)
		Depth (in.)	Affected (in.)	Max (deg)	Total (in.)	
22A	None	None	0.280	None	0.280	367° - 10°
22B	None	None	0.280	4.25	4.53	110
23A	None	0.280	0.280	12.50	58.75	354
23B	None	0.280	0.280	29.50	29.50	354
23C	None	0.280	0.280	45.0	45.0	354
23D	None	0.280	0.280	45.0	45.0	354
41B RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
41B LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
41B LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51A RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51A LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51A LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51B RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51B LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51B LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51C RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51C LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51C LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51D RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51D LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51D LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51E RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51E LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51E LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51F RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51F LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51F LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51G RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51G LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51G LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51H RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51H LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51H LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51I RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51I LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51I LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51J RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51J LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51J LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51K RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51K LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51K LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51L RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51L LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51L LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51M RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51M LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51M LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51N RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51N LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51N LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51O RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51O LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51O LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51P RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51P LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51P LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51Q RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51Q LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51Q LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51R RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51R LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51R LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51S RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51S LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51S LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51T RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51T LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51T LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51U RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51U LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51U LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51V RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51V LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51V LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51W RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51W LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51W LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51X RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51X LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51X LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51Y RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51Y LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51Y LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51Z RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51Z LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51Z LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AA RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AA LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AA LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AB RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AB LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AB LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AC RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AC LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AC LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AD RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AD LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AD LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AE RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AE LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AE LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AF RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AF LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AF LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AG RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AG LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AG LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AH RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AH LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AH LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AI RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AI LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AI LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AJ RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AJ LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AJ LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AK RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AK LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AK LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AL RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AL LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AL LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AM RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AM LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AM LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AN RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AN LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AN LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AO RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AO LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AO LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AP RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AP LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AP LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AQ RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AQ LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AQ LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AR RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AR LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AR LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AS RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AS LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AS LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AT RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AT LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AT LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AU RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AU LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AU LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AV RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AV LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AV LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AW RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AW LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AW LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AX RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AX LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AX LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AY RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AY LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AY LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AZ RH Center Field	110.0	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AZ LH Forward Field	None	0.280	3.00	None	275	
51AZ LH Aft Field	217.0	0.280	3.00	14.50	301	
51AV RH Center Field						

Visual explanation: Graphics

- Subsequent statistical analysis showed the relationship between launch temperature and O-ring failures
- As Tufte (1997) notes: the fatal flaw was in the **ordering** of the data.

The graph shown here is the result of a statistical model fit to the data


- The **thick** line shows the predicted value of failure vs. temperature
- The **red** dotted lines show uncertainty of the predicted values



44

Presentation graphic


A presentation version of the previous graph alters the scales and describes the story in text annotations



45

Graphic displays: Main effect ordering

- To see trends, patterns, anomalies: **Sort unordered factors by means or medians**



Data on barley yields
10 varieties x 6 sites x 2 years

3 way dot plot, sorted by main effect means

- Which site has the highest yield?
- Which variety is highest on average?
- Which site stands out in pattern over year?

46

Tabular displays: Main effect ordering

- Tables are often presented with rows/cols ordered **alphabetically**
 - good for lookup
 - bad for seeing patterns, trends, anomalies

Table 1: Average Barley Yields (rounded), Means by Site and Variety

Variety	Site						Mean
	Crookston	Duluth	Grand Rapids	Morris	University Farm	Waseca	
Glabron	32	28	22	32	40	46	33.3
Manchuria	36	26	28	31	27	41	31.5
No. 457	40	28	26	36	35	50	35.8
No. 462	40	25	22	39	31	55	35.4
No. 475	38	30	17	33	27	44	31.8
Peatland	33	32	31	37	30	42	34.2
Svansota	31	24	23	30	31	43	30.4
Trebi	44	32	25	45	33	57	39.4
Velvet	37	24	28	32	33	44	33.1
Wisconsin No. 38	43	30	28	38	39	58	39.4
Mean	37.4	28.0	24.9	35.4	32.7	48.1	34.4

47

Tabular displays: Main effect ordering

- Better: sort rows/cols by means/medians
- Shade cells according to residual from additive model

Table 2: Average Barley Yields, sorted by Mean, shaded by residual from the model Yield = Variety + Site

Variety	Site					Mean	
	Grand Rapids	Duluth	University Farm	Morris	Crookston		
Svansota	23	24	31	30	31	43	30.4
Manchuria	28	26	27	31	36	41	31.5
No. 475	17	30	27	33	38	44	31.8
Velvet	28	24	33	32	37	44	33.1
Glabron	22	28	40	32	32	46	33.3
Peatland	31	32	30	37	33	42	34.2
No. 462	22	25	31	39	40	55	35.4
No. 457	26	28	35	36	40	50	35.8
Wisconsin No. 38	28	30	39	38	43	58	39.4
Trebi	25	32	33	45	44	57	39.4
Mean	24.9	28.0	32.7	35.4	37.4	48.1	34.4

48

Tabular displays: Main effect ordering

Yield difference, $\Delta y_{ij} = 1931 - 1932$ by Variety & Site


- Ordered: by row and column means; shaded: by value ($|\Delta y_{ij}| > \{2,3\} \times \sigma(\Delta y_{ij})$)
- What features stand out?

Table 3: Yield Differences, 1931-1932, sorted by mean difference, and shaded by value

Variety	Site						Mean
	Morris	Duluth	University Farm	Grand Rapids	Waseca	Crookston	
No. 475	-22	6	-5	4	6	12	0.1
Wisconsin No. 38	-18	2	1	14	1	14	2.4
Velvet	-13	4	13	-9	13	9	2.9
Peatland	-13	1	5	8	13	16	4.8
Manchuria	-7	6	0	11	15	7	5.5
Trebi	-3	3	7	9	15	5	6.1
Svansota	-9	3	8	13	9	20	7.3
No. 462	-17	6	11	5	21	18	7.4
Glabron	-6	4	6	15	17	12	8.0
No. 457	-15	11	17	13	16	11	8.8
Mean	-12.2	4.6	6.3	8.2	12.5	12.5	5.3

49

Graphical display: Two-way tables



Tukey two-way plot of average barley yield

If there is no interaction,
 $y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_{site} + \beta_{variety}$

Site & variety effects sorted automatically
 Effects are spaced by fitted values

More variation among sites than varieties
 Waseca best, by a wide margin

50

Multivariate data: correlation ordering

- Arrange **variables** so that:
 - Similar variables are contiguous
 - Ordered to show patterns of relations
- Arrange **observations** so that:
 - Similar variables are contiguous
 - Ordered to show patterns of relations

51

Correlation matrices

Baseball data: Batting, fielding and (log) Salary


Nobody wants to see all those decimals

	Assists	Atbat	Errors	Hits	Homer	logSal	Putouts	RBI	Runs	Walks	Years
Assists	1.0000	0.3421	0.70350	0.3040	-0.16160	0.0500	-0.0434	0.0629	0.179	0.1025	-0.0851
Atbat	0.3421	1.0000	0.32558	0.9640	0.55510	0.4149	0.3096	0.7960	0.900	0.6244	0.0127
Errors	0.7035	0.3256	1.00000	0.2799	-0.00974	-0.0208	0.0753	0.1502	0.193	0.0819	-0.1565
Hits	0.3040	0.9640	0.27988	1.0000	0.53063	0.4496	0.2997	0.7885	0.911	0.5873	0.0186
Homer	-0.1616	0.5551	-0.00974	0.5306	1.00000	0.3398	0.2509	0.8491	0.631	0.4405	0.1135
logSal	0.0500	0.4149	-0.0208	0.4496	0.3398	1.0000	0.2245	0.4441	0.426	0.4324	0.5374
Putouts	-0.0434	0.3096	0.07531	0.2997	0.25093	0.2245	1.0000	0.3121	0.271	0.2809	-0.0200
RBI	0.0629	0.7960	0.15015	0.7885	0.84911	0.4441	0.3121	1.0000	0.779	0.5695	0.1297
Runs	0.1793	0.8994	0.19261	0.9106	0.63108	0.4256	0.2712	0.7787	1.000	0.6970	-0.0120
Walks	0.1025	0.6244	0.08194	0.5873	0.44045	0.4324	0.2809	0.5695	0.697	1.0000	0.1348
Years	-0.0851	0.0127	-0.15651	0.0186	0.11349	0.5374	-0.0200	0.1297	-0.012	0.1348	1.0000


If you are going to present the numbers, round a lot

	Assists	Atbat	Errors	Hits	Homer	logSal	Putouts	RBI	Runs	Walks	Years
Assists	100	34	70	30	-16	5	-4	6	18	10	-9
Atbat	34	100	33	96	56	41	31	80	90	62	1
Errors	70	33	100	28	-1	-2	8	15	19	8	-16
Hits	30	96	28	100	53	45	30	79	91	59	2
Homer	-16	56	-1	53	100	34	25	85	63	44	11
logSal	5	41	-2	45	34	100	22	44	43	43	54
Putouts	-4	31	8	30	25	22	100	31	27	28	-2
RBI	6	80	15	79	85	44	31	100	78	57	13
Runs	18	90	19	91	63	43	27	78	100	70	-1
Walks	10	62	8	59	44	43	28	57	70	100	13
Years	-9	1	-16	2	11	54	-2	13	-1	13	100

52




Rendering: a correlation value can be displayed in different ways, for different tasks



53

Baseball data

Baseball data alphabetic order




54

This is a corrogram display of the correlations among the baseball statistics, with the variables ordered alphabetically

Baseball data

Baseball data PC2/PC1 order



55

The same display, with the variables sorted according to the angles between vectors in the PCA

Not that dramatic, but it isolates the positive & negative correlations


Graphs: Good/Bad, Excellent/Evil

- Like good writing, good graphical displays of data communicate ideas with:
 - clarity,
 - precision, and
 - efficiency— avoids graphic clutter
 - Even better: excellent graphs make the message obvious
- Like poor writing, bad graphical displays:
 - distort or obscure the data,
 - make it harder to understand or compare, or
 - thwart the communicative effect the graph should convey.
 - Even worse: evil graphs distort, or mislead.

56

Bad graphs are easy in Excel

Friends don't let friends use Excel for data visualization or statistics




How many things are wrong with this graph?

57

Pie charts are easy to abuse

What's wrong with these pictures?



$$1\pi = 193\%$$



$$1\pi = 178\%$$

Why do graphic designers so often get this wrong?


58

Pie chart merriment



On the other hand, pie charts are a great source of merriment for people interested in graphics

But: how much π have I eaten?




What perceptual ideas make this a great joke and lesson?

hard to see the pyramid, it's

Once you see the pyramid, it's

59

But, can be used to great effect




Album de Statistique Graphique, 1885, plate 17.

This graphic uses pie charts to show the transport of different kinds of goods to the ports of Paris and the principal maritime ports

- the size of each pie reflects **total**
- the sectors reflect **relative %**
- location places them in **context**


60

Simple re-design makes it clearer



62

3D pie charts are usually evil



What was the intent of the designer of this graphic?
Which category led to the greatest total deaths?
What was the proportion of deaths due to strokes?
Did more people die from strokes vs. accidents?


61

Double Y-axis: Really evil graphs

After pie charts, double Y-axis graphs have caused more trouble than almost any other

OMG, autism has been increasing directly with sales of **organic food!**

BAN ORGANIC FOOD!



63


But, can be used to great effect

William Playfair invented the pie chart, line chart and bar chart.

In this figure, he shows 3 parallel time series over a 250-year period, 1560–1810

- weekly wages of a good mechanic
- price of wheat
- reigning monarch


Goal: show that workers were better off most recently (1810) than in the past



64

Or, another graph would have been better

A modern re-vision plots the ratio of price of wheat to wages directly



65


Even more evil: No scales, no data

Rep. Jason Chaffetz, R-Utah, sparred with Planned Parenthood president Cecile Richards during a high-profile hearing on Sept. 29, 2015 and presented this graph.

"In pink, that's the reduction in the breast exams, and the red is the increase in the abortions. That's what's going on in your organization."

Created by an anti-abortion group it is a deliberate attempt to mislead.

Can you see why?



See: <http://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2015/oct/01/jason-chaffetz/chart-shown-planned-parenthood-hearing-misleading/>


66

Corrected graph

This graph shows the actual data from the Planned Parenthood reports used by Americans United for Life

The number of abortions was relatively steady.

Some services like pap smears, dropped due to changing medical standards about who should be screened and how often.




What are a few improvements that could be made to this graph?

67

Corrected graph, in context

Showing a wider range of PP activities puts these data in context

PP activities were far higher for contraception and STD testing





68

Evil Bars


You can say anything you want if you don't show a scale for the vertical axis

Q: Do people judge the difference in heights or the ratio of heights?

A: It depends on the question



69



More evil:
3D bars

- CBC found it irresistible to make 3D bars to show the 2015 election projection.
- Why do you think the smallest 5 bars are all the same height?

70

Graphical Excellence: Tables

A study by Abigail Friendly (2017) wanted to show the use of benefits afforded to Toronto developers for their contributions of different types over time

Figure 9: Section 37 benefits by type (1998–2015)

	1998–2002	2003–2005	2006–2009	2010–2013	2014–2016	Scale
Roads, streetscapes	30	35	54	83	15	0 – 10
Culture, community, recreation	26	50	59	47	16	11 – 20
Parks	27	41	41	52	20	21 – 30
Affordable housing	17	26	38	56	11	31 – 40
Public art	26	25	41	32	4	41 – 50
Heritage	16	13	26	18	3	51 – 60
Transit	11	7	10	20	3	61 – 70
Libraries	6	2	5	11	1	71 – 80
Other	3	6	7	8	3	81 – 90

Color background scale from light to dark highlights the largest values

Most frequent benefits appear at the top

Can see overall trends and anomalies

What happened in 2014–2016?

Source: Friendly, A. R. (2017). Land Value Capture and Social Benefits: Toronto and São Paulo Compared. IMFG Papers on Municipal Finance and Governance, No 33, University of Toronto, <https://munkschool.utoronto.ca/imfg/>

71


Graphical failure

This graph reports the results of a survey by Sherman Kent for the CIA with the question:

What [probability/number] would you assign to the phrase "[phrase]"?

The goal was to contribute to an understanding of how intelligence analysts use these terms

Why can this be considered a graphical failure?



<https://www.cia.gov/library/center-for-the-study-of-intelligence/csi-publications/books-and-monographs/psychology-of-intelligence-analysis/fig18.gif>

72

Graphical excellence


This graph shows the same data, as both dotplots & boxplots

We can see a lot more:

- "about even" has very low variability
- the last 3 categories are listed out of order
- the extreme outliers stand out
- skewness is – for high probability, + for low probability

Technical notes:

- software: ggplot2
- design: faint grid lines
- color: points use transparent color & jittering; outliers also shown in black



From: <https://github.com/zonation/perceptions>

73


Graphical excellence

This graph uses "ridgeline" plots to show the same data

Each one is a small version of a density plot showing a smoothed version of the distribution

Stacking them in this way allows center, variability, shape and other features to be readily compared.

Color & transparency are used effectively




74

Chart junk or effective info vis?

Charts can be offensive and/or effective

What is the message?
Who is the audience?



NB: Info designers now consider the term "chart junk" itself offensive

75

Chart junk or effective info vis?

Suzana Herculano-Houzel has a new method for determining counts of cortical neurons across different species. How to present this effectively?

Goal: compare mammal species brain size and cortical neuron count

Neuron count is shown both as numbers and bars


Claim: Human brain is ~ linear of primate brains

What do you think?

How could this be made better?

BRAIN SIZE AND NEURON COUNT

Cerebral cortex mass and neuron count for various mammals.



From: Herculano-Houzel, "The human brain in numbers: a linearly scaled-up primate brain "

<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/neuro.09.031.2009/full>

76


As a scatterplot

A scatterplot makes clear how humans differ from other species

- Using scaled images as point symbols also conveys brain size
- Primates are distinguished from non-primates by text color

This is arguably a more effective display.

What do you think?




77

As a scatterplot – log scale

Perhaps even better is to make the plot using log scales for both axes

The relationship is now easier to see, but only approx. linear

The argument for neurons ~ brain weight needs more work.



78

Why graphs matter: Climate change

In the movie, *An Inconvenient Truth* (2006), Al Gore used the now-famous “hockey stick” graph to show that human activities had greatly increased the degree of global warming over the recent past

The goal was to raise public awareness and call for action to curb environmental effects: CO₂ emissions as the main agent.




Movie: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8ZUoYGAi5i0>; <http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0497116/>

79

Climate change: Original graph

Sir John Houghton presents the original Northern Hemisphere hockey stick graph to the [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change](#) (IPCC) in 2005.
It is based on an analysis by Mann, Bradley & Hughes (1990), with a smoothed curve and uncertainty intervals.




80

Climate change: data sources

The MBH (1999) paper had used a wide variety of data sources. They were combined using a novel statistical technique, the first eigenvector-based climate field reconstruction (CFR).

Climate scientists understood this; the sceptics did not.



See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hockey_stick_controversy for details


81

Countering climate change

Taking a longer view, and adding a lot of extraneous historical details, climate sceptics were easily able to mount alternative explanations: Solar irradiation & volcanoes

How to mislead:

- Show no temp. scale
- Draw smoothed curve
- Suggest that all is due to "swings" in temp.
- Compress recent history into the end of the time scale




82

What could you do to make this graph even more convincing?

- Add an overall smooth curve
- De-emphasize the annual data

Time scale

Perhaps one fault with the original graphs was trying to show noisy data, from many sources, over too wide a time span.



83

Climate change: Infographic

A politically-incorrect graphic shows very clearly the effect of global warming on panty size



Source: <http://www.politically-incorrect-humor.com/2010/03/positive-proof-of-global-warming>

84

Climate change: other explanations

This infographic attempts to relate global warming to the decrease in pirates


Aside from the substance, how many things are wrong about this graphic?

Simple explanation:

Lack of pirates causes global warming!

Conclusion:

To stop global warming, become a pirate!




Source: <http://www.forbes.com/sites/erikaandersen/2012/03/23>true-fact-the-lack-of-pirates-is-causing-global-warming>

85

Circle graphs


What features makes this graph effective?



87

Land temperature anomaly

Shifting Distribution of Land Temperature Anomalies, 1951-2020




<https://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/4891>

88

Animation -> Ridgeline plot

A ridgeline plot (stacking traces) turns the animation into a static graph



<https://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/4891>

89

Some graphic rules

- Bars
 - Don't cut off their feet
 - Don't add dynamite fuses
- Pies
 - Generally, best preserved for dessert
 - Well used for part-whole relations with a small number of categories
 - Better used as a graphic form in larger displays
- Axes
 - Avoid double Y-axes
 - Don't truncate without considerable thought
- 3D
 - Avoid for useless "glitz"

91

Summary

- Graphs as a form of communication
 - Data (numbers), words, images → Stories
- Analysis graphs vs. presentation graphs
- Some principles of effective data display
 - Make the data stand out
 - Facilitate comparisons
 - Effect ordering

92