



Discrete distributions



Michael Friendly
Psych 6136
<http://friendly.github.io/psy6136>



Discrete distributions: Basic ideas

- Quantitative data: often assumed Normal (μ, σ^2) – unreasonable for CDA
- Binomial, Poisson, Negative binomial, ... are the building blocks for CDA
- Form the basis for modeling techniques
 - logistic regression, generalized linear models, Poisson regression
- Data:
 - outcome variable ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$)
 - counts of occurrences (n_k): accidents, words in text, males in families of size k


Examples: binomial

Human sex ratio (Geissler, 1889): Is there evidence that $\Pr(\text{male}) = 0.5$?

Saxony families

Saxony families with 12 children having $k = 0, 1, \dots, 12$ sons.

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
n_k	3	24	104	286	670	1033	1343	1112	829	478	181	45	7




Example: Poisson

L. Von Bortkiewicz (1898) tallied the numbers of deaths by horse or mule kicks in 10 corps of the Prussian army over 20 years, → 200 corps-years

- In how many corps-years were there 0, 1, 2, ... deaths?
- This is among the earliest examples of a Poisson distribution

```
> data(HorseKicks, package="vcd")
> HorseKicks
nDeaths
  0   1   2   3   4
109  65  22   3   1
```



The Poisson distribution arises as that of the probability of 0, 1, 2, ...

- Rare events, that
- Occur with constant probability


Examples: count data

Federalist papers: Disputed authorship

- 77 essays by Alexander Hamilton, John Jay, James Madison to persuade voters to ratify the US constitution, all signed with pseudonym “Publius”
 - Who wrote each?
 - 65 known, 12 disputed (H & M both claimed sole authorship)
- Mosteller & Wallace (1984): analysis of frequency distⁿs of key “marker” words: from, **may**, whilst, ...
- e.g., blocks of 200 words: occurrences (k) of “may” in how many blocks (n_k)

```
> data(Federalist, package = "vcd")
> Federalist
nMay
  0   1   2   3   4   5   6
156  63  29   8   4   1   1
```

Count data: models



For each word (“from”, “may”, “whilst”, ...)

- Fit a probability model [Poisson(λ), NegBin(λ , p)]
- Estimate parameters (λ , p)
- → Calculate log Odds (Hamilton vs. Madison)
- → All 12 disputed papers most likely written by Madison

Example: Type-token distributions

- Basic count, k : number of “types”; frequency, n_k : number of instances observed
 - Frequencies of distinct words in a book or literary corpus
 - Number of subjects listing words as members of the semantic category “fruit”
 - Distinct species of animals caught in traps
- Differs from other distributions in that the frequency for $k = 0$ is *unobserved*
- Distribution is often extremely skewed (J-shaped)

Table: Number of butterfly species n_k for which k individuals were collected

Individuals (k)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Species (n_k)	118	74	44	24	29	22	20	19	20	15	12	14	
Individuals (k)	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	Sum
Species (n_k)	6	12	6	9	9	6	10	10	11	5	3	3	5


```
data(Butterfly, package="vcd")
barplot(Butterfly,
        xlab = "Number of individuals",
        ylab = "Number of species",
        col = "pink",
        cex.lab = 1.5)
```

Questions:

What is the total pop. of butterflies in Malaysia?

How many wolves remain in Canada NWT?

How many words did Shakespeare know?



Answers depend on estimating $\Pr(k=0)$

Discrete distributions: Questions

- General questions
 - What process gave rise to the distribution?
 - What is the form: uniform, binomial, Poisson, negative binomial, ... ?
 - → Fit & estimate parameters
 - Visualize goodness of fit
 - → Use in some larger context to tell a story
- Examples
 - *Families in Saxony*: might expect $\text{Bin}(n=12, p)$; $p=0.5$?
 - *HorseKicks*: Poisson (λ); here, $\lambda = \text{mean} = 0.61$
 - *Federalist papers*: Perhaps Poisson(λ) or NegBin (λ, p)
 - *Butterfly data*: Perhaps a log-series distribution?

Fitting discrete distributions

Lack of fit:

- Lack of fit tells us something about the *process* giving rise to the data
- Poisson: assumes constant small probability of the basic event
- Binomial: assumes constant probability and independent trials
- Negative binomial: allows for *overdispersion*, relative to Poisson

Motivation:

- Models for more complex categorical data use these basic discrete distributions
- Binomial (with predictors) → logistic regression
- Poisson (with predictors) → poisson regression, loglinear models
- ⇒ many of these are special cases of *generalized linear models*

Common discrete distributions

Distribution	Counts, k	Values of X	$\Pr(X=k)$	Mean, $E(X)$	Var, $V(X)$
Bernoulli(p)	Success in 1 trial	$k=\{0, 1\}$	$p^k(1-p)^{1-k}$	p	$p(1-p)$
Binomial(n,p)	# successes in n trials	$0, 1, \dots, n$	$\binom{n}{k} p^k(1-p)^{n-k}$	np	$np(1-p)$
Geometric(p)	# of trials to 1 st success	$0, 1, 2, \dots$	$p(1-p)^k$	$\frac{1-p}{p}$	$\frac{1-p}{p^2}$
Neg. binomial(k,p)	# of trials to k^{th} success	$0, 1, 2, \dots$	$\binom{n+k-1}{k} p^n(1-p)^k$	$\frac{k(1-p)}{p}$	$\frac{k(1-p)}{p^2}$
Poisson(λ)	# of events in interval	$0, 1, 2, \dots$	$\frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$	λ	λ
Log series(p)	# of types observed	$0, 1, 2, \dots$	$\frac{p^k}{n \log(1-p)}$		

Discrete distributions: R

R functions: {d__, p__, q__, r__}

- d__ **density** function, $\Pr(X=k) = p(k)$
- p__ **cumulative probability**, $F(k) = \sum_{X \leq k} p(k)$
- q__ **quantile** function, find $k = F^{-1}(p)$, smallest value such that $F(k) \geq p$
- r__ **random number generator**

Discrete distribution	Density (pmf) function	Cumulative (CDF)	Quantile CDF ⁻¹	Random # generator
Binomial	dbinom()	pbinom()	qbinom()	rbinom()
Poisson	dpois()	ppois()	qpois()	rpois()
Negative binomial	dnbnom()	pnbinom()	qnbinom()	rnbnom()
Geometric	dgeom()	pgeom()	qgeom()	rgeom()
Logarithmic series	dlogseries()	plogseries()	qlogseries()	rlogseries()

e.g.,

```
> dbinom(0:4, size=4, p=1/2)      # number of H in 4 coin tosses
[1] 0.0625 0.2500 0.3750 0.2500 0.0625
> dpois(0:4, lambda=3)           # poisson, with λ = 3
[1] 0.0498 0.1494 0.2240 0.2240 0.1680
```

What is “binomial”

Bi-no-mi-al /bī' nōmēəl/

- **Taxonomy:** A **two-part name**, (genus, species) e.g., *Elephas maximus* for the Asian elephant
- **Mathematics:** An algebraic expression of a sum of **two terms**, $(x + y)$ or expansion, $(x + y)^n$

$$(x+y)^0 = 1$$

$$(x+y)^1 = 1x+1y$$

$$(x+y)^2 = 1x^2 + 2x^1y^1 + 1y^2$$

$$(x+y)^3 = 1x^3 + 3x^2y^1 + 3x^1y^2 + 1y^3$$

$$(x+y)^4 = 1x^4 + 4x^3y^1 + 6x^2y^2 + 4x^1y^3 + 1y^4$$

$$(x+y)^5 = 1x^5 + 5x^4y^1 + 10x^3y^2 + 10x^2y^3 + 5x^1y^4 + 1y^5$$

Coefficients of terms

$$C_k^n = \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

(Pascal's triangle)

Binomial distribution

The binomial distribution, $\text{Bin}(n, p)$,

$$\text{Bin}(n, p) : \Pr\{X = k\} \equiv p(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n , \quad (1)$$

ways to get k
out of n Pr(k events) Pr(n-k non-events)

arises as the distribution of the number of events of interest ("successes") which occur in n *independent trials* when the probability of the event on any one trial is the *constant* value $p = \Pr(\text{event})$.

Examples

- Toss 10 fair coins— how many heads? $\text{Bin}(10, \frac{1}{2})$
- Toss 12 fair dice— how many 5s or 6s? $\text{Bin}(12, 1/3)$

Mean, variance, skewness:

$$\text{Mean}[X] = n p$$


$$\text{MLE from data: } \hat{p} = \frac{\bar{x}}{n} = \frac{\sum_k k \times n_k / \sum_k n_k}{n}$$

$$\text{Var}[X] = n p (1-p) = n p q$$

$$\text{Skew}[X] = n p q (q-p)$$

Binomial distribution

Binomial distributions for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 12$ successes in $n=12$ trials, for 4 values of p



- Mean = $n p$
- Variance is maximum when $p = \frac{1}{2}$
- Skewed when $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$

Poisson distribution

The Poisson distribution, $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$,

$$\text{Pois}(\lambda) : \Pr\{X = k\} \equiv p(k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!} \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (2)$$

gives the probability of an event occurring $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ times over a *large number of independent* trials, when the probability, p , that the event occurs on any one trial (in time or space) is *small and constant*.

Examples:


- Number of highway accidents at some given location
- Defects in a manufacturing process
- Number of goals scored in soccer games

Table: Total goals scored in 380 games in the Premier Football League, 1995/95 season

Total goals	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Number of games	27	88	91	73	49	31	18	3


Poisson distribution

Poisson distributions for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, 3, 6$



Poisson distribution: Properties

Poisson distributions for $\lambda = 1, 4, 10$



DDAR Fig
3.10, p 81

Mean, variance, skewness:

$$\text{Mean}[X] = \lambda$$

$$\text{Var}[X] = \lambda$$

$$\text{Skew}[X] = \lambda^{-1/2}$$

$$\text{MLE: } \hat{\lambda} = \bar{x}$$

Properties:

Sum of Pois ($\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots$) = Pois($\sum \lambda_i$)

Approaches $N(\lambda, \lambda)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

History: Who discovered the “Poisson” distribution



Stigler’s Law: No discovery in science is ever named for its primary originator

- De Moivre (1718) – Approximation to binomial as n gets largish
- **Poisson (1837)** – *Reserches sur la Probabilité des jugements en Matière criminelle...* -- Derives $e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k / k!$
 - Stigler says main result anticipated by De Moivre
- S. Newcomb (1860) – *Notes on a Theory of Probability*
 - First attempt at using this as a fit to **data**
 - Observations of stars: $\Pr(\text{any small space, } 1^\circ) \text{ contains } s \text{ stars, } s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- Von Bortkiewicz (1898) – *Law of Small Numbers*
 - Re-derives Poisson as limiting case of binomial
 - Several data sets (Horse kicks & others) – “agreement between theory and observation leave nothing to be desired”
- Gosset (1907): Heamacytometer Counts
 - “Student”’s first paper – first rigorous treatment of the Poisson for count data

See: Hanley & Bhatnagar (2022) The “Poisson” Distribution: History, Reenactments, Adaptations, *The American Statistician*, 76:4, 363-371, [DOI: 10.1080/00031305.2022.2046159](https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2022.2046159) 19

Gosset: Heamacytometer Counts

Number of blood cells observed in a 20 x 20 grid on a slide



Source: <http://www.medicine.mcgill.ca/epidemiology/hanley/Gosset/>

Negative binomial distribution

The Negative binomial distribution, $\text{NBin}(n, p)$,

$$\text{NBin}(n, p) : \Pr\{X = k\} \equiv p(k) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} p^n (1-p)^k \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$$

arises when a series of independent Bernoulli trials is observed with constant probability p of some event, and we ask how many non-events (failures), k , it takes to observe n successful events.

Example: Toss a coin; what is probability of getting $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ tails before $n = 3$ heads?


This distribution is often used as an alternative to the Poisson when

- constant probability p or independence are violated
- variance is greater than the mean (overdispersion)

Properties:

$$\text{Mean}(X) = \mu = \frac{n(1-p)}{p} \implies p = \frac{n}{n+\mu},$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = \frac{n(1-p)}{p^2} \implies \boxed{\text{Var}(X) = \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{n}}.$$



Negative binomial
distributions for
 $n = 2, 4, 6$
 $p = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$

Mean:
Increases with n
Decreases with p

DDAR Fig 3.13, p 85

Quiz: Name that distribution

1. Weldon tossed 12 dice 26,306 times & tallied the number of times a 5 or 6 occurred

```
> WeldonDice
```

n56

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10+	Bin(n=12, p=1/3)
185	1149	3265	5475	6114	5194	3067	1331	403	105	18	

2. Pele practices penalty kicks for the upcoming 1958 FIFA World Cup. His average scoring has been $p=0.4$. What is the probability it will take him [1, 2, ... shots](#) to score a goal?

Nbin(n=1, p=0.4)

```
> dnbinom(1:5, size=1, p=0.4)
[1] 0.240 0.144 0.086 0.052 0.031
```

3. A Geiger counter records the number of scintillations of α particles from a radioactive source, with an average rate of 20/msec. What is the probability of observing 40 in a 1 msec. interval?

Pois($\lambda=20$)

4. What is the distribution of the [time between](#) Geiger counter ticks?

Exponential distⁿ, $\Pr(X=k) = \lambda e^{-\lambda k}$, mean = $1/\lambda$

Fitting discrete distributions

Fitting a discrete distribution involves the following steps:

- ① Estimate the parameter(s) from the data, e.g., p for binomial, λ for Poisson, etc. Typically done using maximum likelihood, but some distributions have simple expressions:
 - Binomial, $\hat{p} = \sum kn_k / (n \sum n_k) = \text{mean} / n$
 - Poisson, $\hat{\lambda} = \sum kn_k / \sum n_k = \text{mean}$
- ② Calculate fitted probabilities, $\hat{p}(k)$ for the distribution, and then fitted frequencies, $N\hat{p}(k)$.
- ③ Assess Goodness of fit: Pearson X^2 or likelihood-ratio G^2

$$X^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{(n_k - N\hat{p}_k)^2}{N\hat{p}_k} \quad G^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K n_k \log\left(\frac{n_k}{N\hat{p}_k}\right)$$

Both have asymptotic chisquare distributions, χ^2_{K-s} with s estimated parameters, under the hypothesis that the data follows the chosen distribution.

Fitting: Weldon's dice

Basic, naïve calculation of expected frequencies for a binomial distribution

```
> data(WeldonDice, package="vcd")
> Weldon.df <- as.data.frame(WeldonDice)      # convert to data frame

> Prob <- dbinom(0:12, 12, 1/3)                # binomial probabilities
> Prob <- c(Prob[1:10], sum(Prob[11:13]))     # sum values for 10+
> Exp= round(sum(WeldonDice)*Prob)              # expected frequencies
> Diff = Weldon.df[, "Freq"] - Exp             # raw residuals
> Chisq = Diff^2 /Exp                          # contribution to chisquare
> data.frame(Weldon.df, Prob=round(Prob,5), Exp, Diff, Chisq)

   n56 Freq    Prob   Exp Diff Chisq
1     0 185 0.00771  203  -18 1.596
2     1 1149 0.04624 1216  -67 3.692
3     2 3265 0.12717 3345  -80 1.913
4     3 5475 0.21195 5576 -101 1.829
5     4 6114 0.23845 6273 -159 4.030
6     5 5194 0.19076 5018  176 6.173
7     6 3067 0.11127 2927  140 6.696
8     7 1331 0.04769 1255   76 4.602
9     8  403 0.01490  392   11 0.309
10    9  105 0.00331   87   18 3.724
11  10+   18 0.00054   14    4 1.143
```

Doesn't calculate the MLE, \hat{p}
Manually sum k ≥ 10

Fitting & graphing discrete distributions

In R, the `vcd` and `vcdExtra` packages provide functions to fit, visualize and diagnose discrete distributions

- **Fitting:** `goodfit()` fits uniform, binomial, Poisson, neg bin, geometric, logseries, ...
- **Graphing:** `rootogram()` assess departure between observed, fitted counts
- **Ord plot:** `Ordplot()` diagnose form of a discrete distribution
- **Robust plots:** `distplot()` handle problems with discrepant counts

Example: Saxony families

```
> data(Saxony, package="vcd")
> Saxony
nMales
  0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10  11  12
  3   24  104  286  670 1033 1343 1112  829  478 181  45   7
```

Use **goodfit()** to fit the binomial; test with **summary()**

```
> Sax.fit <- goodfit(Saxony, type = "binomial", par=list(size=12))
> summary(Sax.fit)

Goodness-of-fit test for binomial distribution

X^2 df P(> X^2)
Likelihood Ratio 97 11 6.98e-16
```

Example: Saxony families

The `print()` method for **goodfit** objects shows the details

```
> Sax.fit    # print
```

Observed and fitted values for binomial distribution
with parameters estimated by `ML'


count	observed	fitted	pearson residual
0	3	0.933	2.140
1	24	12.089	3.426
2	104	71.803	3.800
3	286	258.475	1.712
4	670	628.055	1.674
5	1033	1085.211	-1.585
6	1343	1367.279	-0.657
7	1112	1265.630	-4.318
8	829	854.247	-0.864
9	478	410.013	3.358
10	181	132.836	4.179
11	45	26.082	3.704
12	7	2.347	3.037

Pay attention to the
pattern & magnitudes
of residuals, d_k


Pearson $\chi^2 = \sum d_k^2$

Graphing discrete distributions


Rootograms



Ord plots



Robust
distribution
plots




What's wrong with simple histograms?

Discrete distributions are often graphed as histograms, with a theoretical fitted distribution superimposed

The plot() method for goodfit objects provides some alternatives

```
> plot(Sax.fit, type = "standing", xlab = "Number of males")
```




Problems:

- Largest frequencies dominate
- Must assess deviations vs. the fitted curve

Hanging rootograms

```
> plot(Sax.fit, type = "hanging", xlab = "Number of males") # default
```




Tukey (1972, 1977):

- shift histogram bars to the fitted curve
- → judge deviations vs. horizontal line.
- plot $\sqrt{\text{freq}}$ → smaller frequencies are emphasized.

We can now see clearly where the binomial doesn't fit

Deviation rootograms

```
> plot(Sax.fit, type = "deviation", xlab = "Number of males")
```



Deviation rootogram:

- emphasize differences between observed and fitted frequencies
- bars now show the residuals (gaps) directly

There are more families with very low or very high number of sons than the binomial predicts.

Q: Why is this so much better than the lack-of-fit test?

Example: Federalist papers

```
> data(Federalist, package="vcd")
> Federalist
nMay
 0   1   2   3   4   5   6
156 63 29 8 4 1 1
```

Fit the Poisson distribution

```
> Fed.fit0 <- goodfit(Federalist, type="poisson")
> summary(Fed.fit0)
```

```
Goodness-of-fit test for poisson
distribution
```

```
X^2  df  P(> X^2)
Likelihood Ratio 25.2  5 0.000125
```

This fits very poorly!

Example: Federalist papers

Try the Negative binomial distribution

```
> Fed.fit1<- goodfit(Federalist, type="nbinomial")
> summary(Fed.fit1)
```

```
Goodness-of-fit test for nbinomial distribution
```

```
X^2  df  P(> X^2)
Likelihood Ratio 1.96  4    0.742
```

This now fits very well, indeed! Why?


- Poisson assumes that the probability of a given word (“may”) is constant across all blocks of text.
- Negative binomial allows the rate parameter λ to vary over blocks of text

Federalist papers: Rootograms


Hanging rootograms for the Federalist papers data, comparing Poisson and Negative binomial

```
> plot(Fed.fit0, main = "Poisson")
> plot(Fed.fit1, main = "Negative binomial")
```

Poisson



Negative binomial




Butterfly data


Both Poisson and Negative binomial are terrible fits! What to do??

```
But.fit1 <- goodfit(Butterfly, type="poisson")
But.fit2 <- goodfit(Butterfly, type="nbinomial")
plot(But.fit1, main="Poisson")
plot(But.fit2, main="Negative binomial")
```

Poisson



Negative binomial



Ord plots: Diagnose form of distribution

How to tell which discrete distributions are likely candidates?

- Ord (1967): for each of Poisson, Binomial, Negative binomial, and Logarithmic series distributions,
 - plot of kp_k/p_{k-1} against k is linear
 - signs of intercept and slope → determine the form, give rough estimates of parameters


Slope (b)	Intercept (a)	Distribution (parameter)	Parameter estimate
0	+	Poisson (λ)	$\lambda = a$
-	+	Binomial (n, p)	$p = b/(b - 1)$
+	+	Neg. binomial (n, p)	$p = 1 - b$
+	-	Log. series (θ)	$\theta = b$ $\theta = -a$

- Fit line by WLS, using $\sqrt{n_k - 1}$ as weights
- A heuristic method: doesn't always work, but often a good start.

Ord plot: Examples

Butterfly data: The slope and intercept correctly diagnoses the **log-series** distribution

```
> Ord_plot(Butterfly,
            main = "Butterfly species collected in Malaya",
            gp=gpar(cex=1), pch=16)
```




+ slope
- intercept
→ **log-series**

OLS line shown in black
WLS line shown in red

Ord plots: Examples

Ord plots for the Saxony and Federalist data


```
> Ord_plot(Saxony, main = "Families in Saxony", gp=gpar(cex=1), pch=16)
> Ord_plot(Federalist, main = "Instances of 'may' in Federalist papers", gp=gpar(cex=1), pch=16)
```



Robust distribution plots

- Ord plots lack robustness
 - one discrepant frequency, n_k affects points for both k and $k + 1$
 - the use of WLS to fit the line is a small attempt to minimize this
- Robust plots for Poisson distribution (Hoaglin and Tukey, 1985)
 - For Poisson, plot **count metamer** = $\phi(n_k) = \log_e(k! n_k/N)$ vs. k
 - Linear relation \Rightarrow Poisson, slope gives $\hat{\lambda}$
 - CI for points, diagnostic (influence) plot
 - Implemented in `distplot()` in the `vcd` package

For the Poisson distribution, this is called a “poissonness plot”



Poissonness plot: Details

- If the distribution of n_k is Poisson(λ) for some fixed λ , then each observed frequency, $n_k \approx m_k = Np_k$.
- Then, setting $n_k = Np_k = e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k / k!$, and taking logs of both sides gives

$$\log(n_k) = \log N - \lambda + k \log \lambda - \log k!$$

which can be rearranged to

$$\phi(n_k) \equiv \log \left(\frac{k! n_k}{N} \right) = -\lambda + (\log \lambda) k$$

- \Rightarrow if the distribution is Poisson, plotting $\phi(n_k)$ vs. k should give a line with
 - intercept = $-\lambda$
 - slope = $\log \lambda$
- Nonlinear relation \rightarrow distribution is *not* Poisson
- Hoaglin and Tukey (1985) give details on calculation of confidence intervals and influence measures.

Other distributions


This idea extends readily to other discrete data distributions:

- The binomial, Poisson, negative binomial, geometric and logseries distributions are all members of a general **power series family** of discrete distributions. See: *DDAR*, Table 3.10 for details.
- This allows all of these to be represented in a plot of a suitable count metamer, $\phi(n_k)$ vs. k . See: *DDAR*, Table 3.12 for details.
- In these plots, a straight line confirms that the data follow the given distribution.
- Confidence intervals around the points indicate **uncertainty** for the count metamer.
- The slope and intercept of the line give **estimates** of the distribution parameters.

distplot: Federalist

Try both Poisson & Negative binomial

```
distplot(Federalist, type="poisson", xlab="Occurrences of 'may'")  
distplot(Federalist, type="nbinomial", xlab="Occurrences of 'may'")
```




Again, the Poisson distribution is seen not to fit, while the Negative binomial appears reasonable.

distplot: Saxony

For purported binomial distributions, the result is a “binomialness” plot

```
plot(goodfit(Saxony, type="binomial", par=list(size=12)))
distplot(Saxony, type="binomial", size=12, xlab="Number of males")
```



Both plots show heavier tails than the binomial distribution. distplot() is more sensitive in diagnosing this

What have we learned?

Main points:

- Discrete distributions involve basic *counts* of occurrences of some event occurring with varying *frequency*.
- The ideas and methods for one-way tables are building blocks for analysis of more complex data.
- Commonly used discrete distributions include the binomial, Poisson, negative binomial, and logarithmic series distributions, all members of a *power series* family.
- Fitting observed data to a distribution → fitted frequencies, $N\hat{p}_k$, → goodness-of-fit tests (Pearson X^2 , LR G^2)
- R: `goodfit()` provides `print()`, `summary()` and `plot()` methods.
- Plotting with rootograms, Ord plots and generalized distribution plots can reveal *how or where* a distribution does not fit.

What have we learned?

Some explanations:

- The Saxony data were part of a much larger data set from Geissler (1889) (`Geissler` in `vcdExtra`).
 - For the binomial, with families of size $n = 12$, our analyses give $\hat{p} = \Pr(\text{male}) = 0.52$.
 - Other analyses (using more complex models) conclude that p varies among families with the same size.
 - One explanation is that family decisions to have another child are influenced by the boy–girl ratio in earlier children.
- As suggested earlier, the lack of fit of the Poisson distribution for words in the Federalist papers can be explained by *context* of the writing:
 - Given “marker” words appear more or less often over time and subject than predicted by constant rates (λ) for a given author (Madison or Hamilton)
 - The negative binomial distribution fit much better.
 - The estimated parameters for these texts allowed assigning all 12 disputed papers to Madison.

Looking ahead: PhdPubs data

Example 3.24 in DDAR gives data on the number of publications by PhD candidates in the last 3 years of study

```
data("PhdPubs", package = "vcdExtra")
table(PhdPubs$articles)


## 
##   0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9   10   11   12   16   19
## 275  246  178   84   67   27   17   12    1    2    1    1    1    2    1    1
```

- There are **predictors**: gender, marital status, number of children, prestige of dept., # pubs by student's mentor
- We fit such models with **glm()**, but need to specify the **form** of the distribution
- Ignoring the predictors for now, a baseline model could be
`glm(articles ~ 1, data=PhdPubs, family = "poisson")`


Looking ahead: PhdPubs

```
plot(goodfit(PhdPubs$articles), xlab = "Number of Articles",  
     main = "Poisson")  
plot(goodfit(PhdPubs$articles, type = "nbinomial"),  
     xlab = "Number of Articles", main = "Negative binomial")
```

Poisson



Negative binomial



Poisson doesn't fit: Need to account for excess 0s (some never published)
Neg binomial: Sort of OK, but should take predictors into account

Looking ahead: Count data models

Count data regression models (DDAR Ch 11)

- Include predictors
- Allow different distributions for **unexplained** variation
- Provide tests of one model vs. another
- Special models handle the problems of **excess zeros**: zeroInfl(), hurdle()






```
# predictors: female, married, kid5, phdprestige, mentor
phd.pois <- glm(articles ~ ., data=PhdPubs, family=poisson)
phd.nbin <- glm.nb(articles ~ ., data=PhdPubs)

LRstats(phd.pois, phd.nbin)

## Likelihood summary table:
##          AIC    BIC   LR  Chisq   Df Pr(>Chisq)
## phd.pois 3313  3342     1634  909      <2e-16 ***
## phd.nbin 3135  3169     1004  909       0.015 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Looking ahead: Effect plots

Effect plots show the predicted values for each term in a model, averaging over all other factors.



These are better visual summaries for a model than a table of coefficients.

Summary

- Discrete distributions are the building blocks for categorical data analysis
 - Typically consist of basic counts of occurrences, with varying frequencies
 - Most common: binomial, Poisson, negative binomial
 - Others: geometric, log-series
- Fit with `goodfit()`; plot with `rootogram()`
 - Diagnostic plots: `Ord_plot()`, `distplot()`
- Models with predictors
 - Binomial → logistic regression
 - Poisson → poisson regression; logliner models
 - These are special cases of **generalized** linear models