## Lesson 11

Applicatives and Monads

The motivation for Applicatives is that of applying to containers functions of any arity

but also that of applying (pure) functions to arguments that have effects:

- -failure
- -non-determinism
- -performing I/O

and also generic functions that use applicative operators

#### in Prelude:

```
sequenceA:: Applicative f => [f a]-> f [a]
sequenceA [] = pure []
sequenceA (x:xs) = pure (:) <*> x <*> sequenceA xs
```

```
getChars :: Int -> IO String
getChars n = sequenceA (replicate n getChar)
```

### Applicative laws

- 1) pure id <\*> x = x
- 2) pure (g x) = pure g < \*> pure x
- 3)  $x < *> pure y = pure (\g -> g y) < *> x$
- 4)  $x <^*> (y <^*> z) = (pure (.) <^*> x <^*> y) <^*> z$

we work out types of both endsides:

- 1) pure id :: f(a -> a), x :: f a
- 2)  $g :: a \to b \ e \ x :: a => pure (g \ x) :: f \ b$
- pure g :: f (a -> b), pure x :: f a, pure g <\*> pure <math>x :: f b
- 3)  $x :: f(a \rightarrow b), y :: a, pure(\langle g \rightarrow g y \rangle) :: f((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b)$
- f((a -> b) -> b) <\*> x :: f b

4) 
$$x < *> (y < *> z) = (pure (.) < *> x < *> y) < *> z$$

$$y :: f (a -> b), z :: f a, (y <*> z) :: f b$$
  
  $x :: f (b -> c), (x <*> (y <*> z) ) :: f c$ 

pure :: a -> f a embeds a pure value into the pure fragment of an effectful world of type f a (impure)

the laws show that any correct expression with pure and <\*> can be rewritten in applicative style, pure g <\*> x1 <\*> x2 <\*> .... <\*> xn

it is always true that

fmap 
$$g x = pure g < *> x$$

fmap :: 
$$(a -> b) -> f a -> f b$$

the same type!! By unicity of fmap they are the same function

attention with [], (<\*>) :: [a -> b] -> [a] -> [b]

pure g = [g] a list with 1 function only

new notation: fmap g x = g < \$ > x

applicative style: g <\$> x <\*> y <\*> z

Monads we start with one example

data Expr = Val Int | Div Expr Expr

eval :: Expr -> Int eval (Val n) = n eval (Div x y) = eval x `div` eval y

possible fatal exception eval (Div (Val 1) (Val 0)) \*\*\*Exception: divide by zero

```
safediv :: Int -> Int -> Maybe Int
safediv _ 0 = Nothing
safediv n m = Just (n `div` m)
```

using it, we write an evaluator that is able to handle div by 0

```
eval :: Expr -> Maybe Int
eval (Val n) = n
eval (Div x y) = case eval x of

Nothing -> Nothing

Just n -> case eval y of

Nothing -> Nothing

Just m -> safediv n m
```

clearly with this eval, eval (Div (Val 1) (Val 0)) Nothing

but eval is ugly, since Maybe is Applicative, we could try to write eval in applicative style

eval :: Expr -> Maybe Int

eval (Val n) = Just n

eval (Div x y) = pure safediv <\*> eval x <\*> eval y

But is not type correct !! safediv :: Int -> Int -> Maybe Int whereas we would need a Int -> Int -> Int

in the applicative style we can apply pure functions (as Int -> Int -> Int) to effectful arguments but safediv may itself fail!

```
in eval there is a pattern that repeats
case eval x of
Nothing -> Nothing
Just m -> case eval y of
Nothing -> Nothing
Just n -> safediv n m
```

```
(>>=) :: Maybe a -> (a -> Maybe b) -> Maybe b
```

```
mx >>= f = case mx of

Nothing -> Nothing

Just x -> f x
```

bind operator

```
eval :: Expr -> Maybe Int

eval (Val n) = Just n

eval (Div x y) = eval x >>= n ->

eval y >>= m ->

safediv n m
```

### generalizing:

$$m1 >>= \langle x1 ->$$

$$m2 >>= \langle x2 ->$$

•

•

$$mn >> = \langle xn -> \rangle$$

f x1 x2...xn

$$do x1 < -m1$$

$$x2 < -m2$$

• • • • • •

f x1 ... xn

```
eval :: Expr -> Maybe Int

eval (Val n) = Just n

eval (Div x y) = do n <- eval x

m <- eval y

safediv n m
```

class Applicative m => Monad m where return :: a -> m a (>>=) :: m a -> (a -> m b) -> m b

return = pure

### Examples

instance Monad Maybe where
-- (>>=) :: Maybe a -> (a -> Maybe b) -> Maybe b
Nothing >>= \_ = Nothing
(Just x) >>= f = f x

return = pure

# instance Monad [] where -- (>>=) :: [a] -> (a -> [b]) -> [b] xs >>= f = [y | x <-xs, y <- f x]

Also IO type is a Monad the definitions of return and (>>=) are built-in to the language.