



SHERLOCK

SHERLOCK SECURITY REVIEW FOR



Prepared for:

Sense

Prepared by:

Sherlock

Lead Security Expert:

0x52

Dates Audited:

March 17 - March 23, 2023

Prepared on:

May 1, 2023

Introduction

Sense is decentralized permissionless infrastructure, where teams can build and develop new yield primitives for DeFi.

Scope

Repository: sense-finance/sense-v1

Branch: dev

Commit: 82abac25404d83b7aefaaeb46631f1d050dc4a4e

Repository: sense-finance/auto-roller

Branch: main

Commit: 60b8b4d56346f053becafb6a9f50f75cebafeafa

For the detailed scope, see the [contest details](#).

Findings

Each issue has an assigned severity:

- Medium issues are security vulnerabilities that may not be directly exploitable or may require certain conditions in order to be exploited. All major issues should be addressed.
- High issues are directly exploitable security vulnerabilities that need to be fixed.

Issues found

Medium	High
6	0

Issues not fixed or acknowledged

Medium	High
0	0



Security experts who found valid issues

spyrosonic10

0x52

Bauer

0xAgro

martin

sayan_

Breeje

Saeedalipoor01988

tsvetanovv



Issue M-1: Refund of protocol fee is being to wrong user

Source: <https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense-judging/issues/40>

Found by

spyrosonic10

Summary

There is one function, `_fillQuote()`, which is handling swap from `0x`. Ideally If there is any remaining protocol fee (in ETH) then it will be returned to sender aka `msg.sender`. There are scenarios when fee can be sent to receiver of swap instead.

Vulnerability Detail

Periphery and RollerPeriphery both are using almost identical logic in `_fillQuote()` hence this vulnerability affect both contracts. It exist if `quote.buyToken` is ETH and there is any remaining protocol fee.

Here are pieces of puzzle

1. After swap if `buyToken == ETH` then store contract ETH balance in `boughtAmount`

```
// RollerPeriphery.sol
251:   boughtAmount = address(quote.buyToken) == ETH ? address(this).balance :
↳   quote.buyToken.balanceOf(address(this));
```

2. Next it store `refundAmt`

```
// RollerPeriphery.sol
257:           // Refund any unspent protocol fees (paid in ether) to the sender.
258:           uint256 refundAmt = address(this).balance;
```

3. Calculate actual `refundAmt` and transfer to sender

```
259:           if (address(quote.buyToken) == ETH) refundAmt = refundAmt -
↳   boughtAmount;
260:           payable(msg.sender).transfer(refundAmt);
```

4. This is clear that due to line 251, 258 and 259, `refundAmt` is 0. So sender is not getting refund.
5. Later on in logic flow `buyToken` will be transferred to receiver



```
110:         address(quote.buyToken) == ETH
111:         ? payable(receiver).transfer(amtOut)
112:         : ERC20(address(quote.buyToken)).safeTransfer(receiver,
↪ amtOut); // transfer bought tokens to receiver
```

Impact

Sender is not getting protocol fee refund.

Code Snippet

[RollerPeriphery.sol#L251-L260](#)

[Periphery.sol#L921-L930](#)

Tool used

Manual Review

Recommendation

Consider intercepting refund amount properly when buyToken is ETH or else just handle refund when buyToken is NOT ETH and write some explanation around it.

Discussion

jparklev

While it is valid that when `quote.buyToken` is ETH, there's an error. This line:

```
if (address(quote.buyToken) == ETH) refundAmt = refundAmt - boughtAmount;
```

Always returns 0.

However, since the `boughtAmount` is set with the Periphery's ETH balance, the user will receive not only the bought amount from 0x but also the refunded fees.

As a result, we don't think there is any value at risk. However, it's a great callout and we're open to judge's perspective on this

hrishibhat

Considering this issue as low as the `boughtAmount` is inclusive of the refunded fees.

spyrosonic10

Escalate for 10 USDC



Intention in code is to send refund fee back to `msg.sender` and send `boughtAmount`(NOT inclusive refund fee) to receiver(check point 5 from my report). So this is actually an issue if `boughtAmount` is inclusive of refund fee. Different entities are supposed to get fee and `boughtAmount`. Assume by mistake a `msg.sender` send 1000x of fee, who should get remaining fee? Definitely not receiver.

For example:

- `redeem()` which take receiver as a param
- later in the logic in `fillQuote()` `refundAmt/fee` should be refunded to `msg.sender`
- `msg.sender` is different than recipient of `boughtAmount`
- as last step in `redeem()` (<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/auto-roller/src/RollerPeriphery.sol#L107-L113>) `boughtAmount` aka `amtOut` is being send to receiver

So in the end if `boughtAmount` is inclusive of fee then that's a loss for `msg.sender`. So this issue deserve to be `medium`

sherlock-admin

Escalate for 10 USDC

Intention in code is to send refund fee back to `msg.sender` and send `boughtAmount`(NOT inclusive refund fee) to receiver(check point 5 from my report). So this is actually an issue if `boughtAmount` is inclusive of refund fee. Different entities are supposed to get fee and `boughtAmount`. Assume by mistake a `msg.sender` send 1000x of fee, who should get remaining fee? Definitely not receiver.

For example:

- `redeem()` which take receiver as a param
- later in the logic in `fillQuote()` `refundAmt/fee` should be refunded to `msg.sender`
- `msg.sender` is different than recipient of `boughtAmount`
- as last step in `redeem()` (<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/auto-roller/src/RollerPeriphery.sol#L107-L113>) `boughtAmount` aka `amtOut` is being send to receiver

So in the end if `boughtAmount` is inclusive of fee then that's a loss for `msg.sender`. So this issue deserve to be `medium`

You've created a valid escalation for 10 USDC!

To remove the escalation from consideration: Delete your comment.



You may delete or edit your escalation comment anytime before the 48-hour escalation window closes. After that, the escalation becomes final.

hrishibhat

Escalation accepted

Issue is a valid medium After looking into this further, agree with the escalation. Given that the refunded fee is being sent to the receiver instead of the msg.sender, this is a valid issue, considering this issue as a valid medium.

sherlock-admin

Escalation accepted

Issue is a valid medium After looking into this further, agree with the escalation. Given that the refunded fee is being sent to the receiver instead of the msg.sender, this is a valid issue, considering this issue as a valid medium.

This issue's escalations have been accepted!

Contestants' payouts and scores will be updated according to the changes made on this issue.

jparklev

Fixed here: <https://github.com/sense-finance/sense-v1/pull/348>

We removed the protocol fee refunds entirely, since 0x no longer uses them, which obviated the need another fix

IAm0x52

Fix looks good. Since protocol fees have been removed, the refund has also been remove. It seems an occurrence was missed here:

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/52049213bdf31138c43a28f061fea493b3d7e14/auto-roller/src/RollerPeriphery.sol#L258-L260>

MLON33

fedealconada added a fix to take care of the occurrence missed:

remove protocolFee refunds

<https://github.com/sense-finance/auto-roller/pull/34>

IAm0x52

Fix looks good. protocolFee refunds have been removed from RollerPeriphery



Issue M-2: sponsorSeries() method fails when user want to swap for stake token using

Source: <https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense-judging/issues/36>

Found by

spyrosonic10

Summary

sponsorSeries() fails when user want to use swapQuote to swap for stake token to sponsor a series.

Vulnerability Detail

stake is token that user need to deposit (technically is pulled) to be able to sponsor a series for a given target. User has option to send SwapQuote calldata quote and swap any ERC20 token for stake token. Below is the code that doing transferFrom() of stakeToken not sellToken()

```
if (address(quote.sellToken) != ETH) _transferFrom(permit, stake, stakeSize);  
if (address(quote.sellToken) != stake) _fillQuote(quote);
```

Expected behaviour of this function is to pull sellToken from msg.sender when address(quote.sellToken) != stake. For example- stake token is WETH. User want to swap DAI for WETH in sponsorSeries(). In this case, user would be sending SwapQuote.sellToken = DAI and swapQuote.buyToke = WETH and expect that fillQuote() would swap it for WETH. This method will fail because sellToken not transferred from msg.sender.

Impact

sponsorSeries() fails when address(quote.sellToken) != stake

Code Snippet

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L116-L128>

Tool used

Manual Review



Recommendation

Consider implementation of functionality to transferFrom sellToken from msg.sender with actual amount that is require to get exact amountOut greater or equal to stakeSize

Discussion

jparklev

Accepted:

This bug is valid but the below statement

sponsorSeries() fails when user want to use swapQuote to swap for stake token to sponsor a series.

is not quite accurate.

The problem here is that here:

```
if (address(quote.sellToken) != ETH) _transferFrom(permit, stake, stakeSize);
```

we are sending wrong params to _transferFrom.

If we are making use of the permit feature, this would work fine because the _transferFrom **ignores** the params on that case.

On the contrary, if we want to make use of the traditional approval, this would revert since we will be trying to pull a the stake which has not been approved by the user.

Fix:

```
if (address(quote.sellToken) != ETH) _transferFrom(permit, quote.sellToken,
↳ quote.amount);
// quote.amount does not exist so we may need to add this param to the struct
```

jparklev

Fixed here: <https://github.com/sense-finance/sense-v1/pull/347>

We used the fix mentioned above

IAm0x52

Fix looks good. _transferFrom now correctly pulls the sellToken instead of stake



Issue M-3: fillQuote uses transfer instead of call which can break with future updates to gas costs

Source: <https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense-judging/issues/33>

Found by

0x52, 0xAgro, Bauer, Breeje, Saeedalipoor01988, martin, sayan_, tsvetanovv

Summary

Transfer will always send ETH with a 2300 gas. This can be problematic for interacting smart contracts if gas cost change because their interaction may abruptly break.

Vulnerability Detail

See summary.

Impact

Changing gas costs may break integrations in the future

Code Snippet

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L902-L932>

Tool used

Manual Review

Recommendation

Use call instead of transfer. Reentrancy isn't a concern since the contract should only ever contain the callers funds.

Discussion

jparklev

Accepted: we should use .call instead of transfer when transferring ETH, specifically if the receiver is a contract that is integrating Sense.

jparklev



Fixed here: <https://github.com/sense-finance/sense-v1/pull/348>

We ended up removing the protocol fees entirely, which obviated the need for the suggested fix here

IAm0x52

Fix looks good, transfer has ben changed to call but the occurrence is rollerPeriphery appears to have been missed:

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/52049213bdf31138c43a28f061fea493b3d7e14/auto-roller/src/RollerPeriphery.sol#L111>

IAm0x52

Additional missed transfers:

<https://github.com/sense-finance/sense-v1/pull/346/files#r1167351042>
https://github.com/sense-finance/auto-roller/pull/32#discussion_r1167351433

fedalconada

Fix looks good, transfer has ben changed to call but the occurrence is rollerPeriphery appears to have been missed:

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/52049213bdf31138c43a28f061fea493b3d7e14/auto-roller/src/RollerPeriphery.sol#L111>

fixed [here](#)

fedalconada

Additional missed transfers:

<https://github.com/sense-finance/sense-v1/pull/346/files#r1167351042>
[sense-finance/auto-roller#32 (comment)]

fixed [here](#)

(https://github.com/sense-finance/auto-roller/pull/32#discussion_r1167351433)

fixed [here](#)

IAm0x52

Fixes look good. All three occurrences have been address. 2 occurrences in RollerPeriphery addressed in [PR#32](#) and the other in Periphery addressed in [PR#346](#)



Issue M-4: Periphery#_swapPTsForTarget won't work correctly if PT is mature but redeem is restricted

Source: <https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense-judging/issues/32>

Found by

0x52

Summary

Periphery#_swapPTsForTarget doesn't properly account for mature PTs that have their redemption restricted

Vulnerability Detail

[Periphery.sol#L531-L551](#)

```
function _swapPTsForTarget(
    address adapter,
    uint256 maturity,
    uint256 ptBal,
    PermitData calldata permit
) internal returns (uint256 tBal) {
    _transferFrom(permit, divider.pt(adapter, maturity), ptBal);

    if (divider.mscale(adapter, maturity) > 0) {
        tBal = divider.redeem(adapter, maturity, ptBal); <- @audit-issue always
        ↳ tries to redeem even if restricted
    } else {
        tBal = _balancerSwap(
            divider.pt(adapter, maturity),
            Adapter(adapter).target(),
            ptBal,
            BalancerPool(spaceFactory.pools(adapter, maturity)).getPoolId(),
            0,
            payable(address(this))
        );
    }
}
```

Adapters can have their redeem restricted meaning the even when they are mature they can't be redeemed. In the scenario that it is restricted Periphery#_swapPTsForTarget simply won't work.



Impact

Redemption will fail when redeem is restricted because it tries to redeem instead of swapping

Code Snippet

Tool used

Manual Review

Recommendation

Use the same structure as `_removeLiquidity`:

```
if (divider.mscale(adapter, maturity) > 0) {
    if (uint256(Adapter(adapter).level()).redeemRestricted()) {
        ptBal = _ptBal;
    } else {
        // 2. Redeem PTs for Target
        tBal += divider.redeem(adapter, maturity, _ptBal);
    }
}
```

Discussion

jparklev

Accepted: This is valid and is indeed something we should fix

jparklev

Fixed here: <https://github.com/sense-finance/sense-v1/pull/352>

We removed the `redeem` code path if `redeem` is disabled

IAm0x52

Fix looks good. Periphery will swap instead of redeeming if `redeem` is restricted



Issue M-5: Multiple functions may leave excess funds in the contract that should be returned

Source: <https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense-judging/issues/29>

Found by

0x52, Bauer, spyrosonic10

Summary

Periphery#combine may leave excess underlying in the contract due to _fromTarget unwrapping to underlying and the quote may not swap them all.

When using arbitrary tokens to swap to underlying the contract always moves in the full amount specified. There is no guarantee that the quote will consume all tokens. As a result the contract may leave excess sell tokens in the contract but it should return them to the receiver.

These functions include:

RollerPeriphery

- 1) deposit

Periphery

- 1) swapForPTs
- 2) addLiquidity
- 3) issue

RollerPeriphery#RollerMintFromUnderlying uses adapter.scale and previewMint to determine the amount of underlying to transfer. The roller code will mean that previewMint will always perfectly reflect the exact exchange rate into the roller. However adapter.scale varies by adapter and isn't guaranteed to be exact. The result is that _transferFrom may take too much underlying. Since this underlying is wrapped to target the contract should return all excess target to receiver.

Vulnerability Detail

See summary.

Impact

Token may be left in the contract and lost



Code Snippet

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/auto-roller/src/RollerPeriphery.sol#L175-L186>

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/auto-roller/src/RollerPeriphery.sol#L196>

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L178>

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L325>

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L409>

Tool used

Manual Review

Recommendation

Return excess tokens at the end of the function

Discussion

jparklev

We have this feature, for example, on `_swapSenseToken`, but not on the cases mentioned.

Our fix will be: Transfer non-used tokens back to the user.

jparklev

Fixed here for sense-v1: <https://github.com/sense-finance/sense-v1/pull/346> And here for the auto-roller: <https://github.com/sense-finance/auto-roller/pull/32>

We returned excess `sellTokens` funds in the `from` and `to` target functions

IAm0x52

Fixes look good. Excess sell tokens are now returned inside `_toTarget` and `_fromTarget` for both `periphery` and `rollerPeriphery`



Issue M-6: Multiple functions aren't payable so quotes that require protocol fees won't work correctly

Source: <https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense-judging/issues/28>

Found by

0x52, Bauer

Summary

There are multiple functions that use quotes but that aren't payable. This breaks their compatibility with some quotes. As the [0x docs](#) state: Certain quotes require a protocol fee, in ETH, to be attached to the swap call.

The following flows use a quote but the external/public starting function isn't payable:

RollerPeriphery

- 1) redeem

Periphery

- 1) removeLiquidity
- 2) combine
- 3) swapPT
- 4) swapYT
- 5) issue

Vulnerability Detail

See summary.

Impact

Functions won't be compatible with certain quotes causing wasted gas fees or bad rates for users

Code Snippet

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/auto-roller/src/RollerPeriphery.sol#L104>



<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L325>

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L409>

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L433>

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L240>

<https://github.com/sherlock-audit/2023-03-sense/blob/main/sense-v1/pkg/core/src/Periphery.sol#L263>

Tool used

Manual Review

Recommendation

Add payable to these external/public functions

Discussion

jparklev

Confirmed: We've forgotten to add payable to the functions mentioned

jparklev

Fixed here for sense-v1: <https://github.com/sense-finance/sense-v1/pull/345> And here for the auto-roller: <https://github.com/sense-finance/auto-roller/pull/33>

We took the suggested fix and added payable to the mentioned functions

IAm0x52

Fixes look good. Payable has been added to Periphery#removeLiquidity, combine, swapPT, swapYT and issue. Also adds payable to RollerPeriphery#redeem

