# Deep Learning Book

Chapter 7 Regularization for Deep Learning

Botian Shi botianshi@bit.edu.cn March 7, 2017



- How to make an algorithm that will perform well not just on the training data, but also on new inputs?
- Many strategies designed to reduce the test error, possibly at the expense of increased training error.
- · These strategies are known collectively as regularization.
- · Many regularization algorithm have been developed.
- Developing more effective regularization strategies is one of the major research efforts in the field.
- In this chapter, we describe regularization in more detail, focusing on regularization strategies for deep models or models that may be used as building blocks to form deep models.

- How to make an algorithm that will perform well not just on the training data, but also on new inputs?
- Many strategies designed to reduce the test error, possibly at the expense of increased training error.
- · These strategies are known collectively as regularization.
- · Many regularization algorithm have been developed.
- Developing more effective regularization strategies is one of the major research efforts in the field.
- In this chapter, we describe regularization in more detail, focusing on regularization strategies for deep models or models that may be used as building blocks to form deep models.

- How to make an algorithm that will perform well not just on the training data, but also on new inputs?
- Many strategies designed to reduce the test error, possibly at the expense of increased training error.
- These strategies are known collectively as **regularization**.
- · Many regularization algorithm have been developed.
- Developing more effective regularization strategies is one of the major research efforts in the field.
- In this chapter, we describe regularization in more detail, focusing on regularization strategies for deep models or models that may be used as building blocks to form deep models.

- How to make an algorithm that will perform well not just on the training data, but also on new inputs?
- Many strategies designed to reduce the test error, possibly at the expense of increased training error.
- These strategies are known collectively as regularization.
- · Many regularization algorithm have been developed.
- Developing more effective regularization strategies is one of the major research efforts in the field.
- In this chapter, we describe regularization in more detail, focusing on regularization strategies for deep models or models that may be used as building blocks to form deep models.

- How to make an algorithm that will perform well not just on the training data, but also on new inputs?
- Many strategies designed to reduce the test error, possibly at the expense of increased training error.
- These strategies are known collectively as **regularization**.
- · Many regularization algorithm have been developed.
- Developing more effective regularization strategies is one of the major research efforts in the field.
- In this chapter, we describe regularization in more detail, focusing on regularization strategies for deep models or models that may be used as building blocks to form deep models.

- There are many regularization strategies.
  - 1. Put extra constrains on a machine learning model. (Adding restrictions on the parameter values.)
  - 2. Add extra terms in the objective function that can be thought of as corresponding to a soft constraint on the parameter values.
- If chosen carefully, these extra constraints and penalties can lead to improved performance on the test set.
- · Sometimes these constraints and penalties are designed to
  - encode specific kinds of prior knowledge.
  - 2. Express a generic preference for a simpler model class in order to promote generalization.
  - make an under-determined problem determined. (Provide more information)
- Other forms of regularization, known as ensemble methods, combine multiple hypotheses that explain the training data.

- There are many regularization strategies.
  - 1. Put extra constrains on a machine learning model. (Adding restrictions on the parameter values.)
  - 2. Add extra terms in the objective function that can be thought of as corresponding to a soft constraint on the parameter values.
- If chosen carefully, these extra constraints and penalties can lead to improved performance on the test set.
- · Sometimes these constraints and penalties are designed to
  - encode specific kinds of prior knowledge.
  - 2. Express a generic preference for a simpler model class in order to promote generalization.
  - make an under-determined problem determined. (Provide more information)
- Other forms of regularization, known as ensemble methods, combine multiple hypotheses that explain the training data.

- There are many regularization strategies.
  - 1. Put extra constrains on a machine learning model. (Adding restrictions on the parameter values.)
  - 2. Add extra terms in the objective function that can be thought of as corresponding to a soft constraint on the parameter values.
- If chosen carefully, these extra constraints and penalties can lead to improved performance on the test set.
- · Sometimes these constraints and penalties are designed to
  - encode specific kinds of prior knowledge
  - 2. Express a generic preference for a simpler model class in order to promote generalization.
  - 3. make an under-determined problem determined. (Provide more information)
- Other forms of regularization, known as ensemble methods, combine multiple hypotheses that explain the training data.

- There are many regularization strategies.
  - 1. Put extra constrains on a machine learning model. (Adding restrictions on the parameter values.)
  - 2. Add extra terms in the objective function that can be thought of as corresponding to a soft constraint on the parameter values.
- If chosen carefully, these extra constraints and penalties can lead to improved performance on the test set.
- · Sometimes these constraints and penalties are designed to
  - encode specific kinds of prior knowledge.
  - 2. Express a generic preference for a simpler model class in order to promote generalization.
  - 3. make an under-determined problem determined. (Provide more information)
- Other forms of regularization, known as ensemble methods, combine multiple hypotheses that explain the training data.

- There are many regularization strategies.
  - 1. Put extra constrains on a machine learning model. (Adding restrictions on the parameter values.)
  - 2. Add extra terms in the objective function that can be thought of as corresponding to a soft constraint on the parameter values.
- If chosen carefully, these extra constraints and penalties can lead to improved performance on the test set.
- · Sometimes these constraints and penalties are designed to
  - 1. encode specific kinds of prior knowledge.
  - 2. Express a generic preference for a simpler model class in order to promote generalization.
  - 3. make an under-determined problem determined. (Provide more information)
- Other forms of regularization, known as ensemble methods, combine multiple hypotheses that explain the training data.

- There are many regularization strategies.
  - 1. Put extra constrains on a machine learning model. (Adding restrictions on the parameter values.)
  - 2. Add extra terms in the objective function that can be thought of as corresponding to a soft constraint on the parameter values.
- If chosen carefully, these extra constraints and penalties can lead to improved performance on the test set.
- · Sometimes these constraints and penalties are designed to
  - 1. encode specific kinds of prior knowledge.
  - 2. Express a generic preference for a simpler model class in order to promote generalization.
  - 3. make an under-determined problem determined. (Provide more information)
- Other forms of regularization, known as ensemble methods, combine multiple hypotheses that explain the training data.

- · Treading increased bias for reduced variance.
- An effective regularizer is one that makes a profitable trade, reducing variance significantly while not overly increasing the bias.
- We now review several strategies for how to create such a large, deep, regularized model.

- Treading increased bias for reduced variance.
- An effective regularizer is one that makes a profitable trade, reducing variance significantly while not overly increasing the bias.
- We now review several strategies for how to create such a large, deep, regularized model.

- Treading increased bias for reduced variance.
- An effective regularizer is one that makes a profitable trade, reducing variance significantly while not overly increasing the bias.
- We now review several strategies for how to create such a large, deep, regularized model.

- Regularization has been used for decades prior to the advent of deep learning.
- Linear models allow simple straightforward and effective regularization strategies.
- Most approaches are based on limiting the capacity of models by adding a parameter norm penalty  $\Omega(\theta)$  to the objective function J:

$$\widetilde{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) = J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) + \alpha \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Setting  $\alpha$  to 0 results in no regularization. Larger values of  $\alpha$  correspond to more regularization.
- · Optimize both J and norm
- $\cdot$  Different  $\Omega$  has different result

- Regularization has been used for decades prior to the advent of deep learning.
- Linear models allow simple straightforward and effective regularization strategies.
- Most approaches are based on limiting the capacity of models by adding a parameter norm penalty  $\Omega(\theta)$  to the objective function J:

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) = J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) + \alpha \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Setting  $\alpha$  to 0 results in no regularization. Larger values of  $\alpha$  correspond to more regularization.
- · Optimize both J and norm
- $\cdot$  Different  $\Omega$  has different result

- Regularization has been used for decades prior to the advent of deep learning.
- Linear models allow simple straightforward and effective regularization strategies.
- Most approaches are based on limiting the capacity of models by adding a parameter norm penalty  $\Omega(\theta)$  to the objective function J:

$$\tilde{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) = J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) + \alpha \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Setting  $\alpha$  to 0 results in no regularization. Larger values of  $\alpha$  correspond to more regularization.
- · Optimize both J and norm
- $\cdot$  Different  $\Omega$  has different result

- Regularization has been used for decades prior to the advent of deep learning.
- Linear models allow simple straightforward and effective regularization strategies.
- Most approaches are based on limiting the capacity of models by adding a parameter norm penalty  $\Omega(\theta)$  to the objective function J:

$$\tilde{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) = J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) + \alpha \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Setting  $\alpha$  to 0 results in no regularization. Larger values of  $\alpha$  correspond to more regularization.
- · Optimize both J and norm
- $\cdot$  Different  $\Omega$  has different result

- Regularization has been used for decades prior to the advent of deep learning.
- Linear models allow simple straightforward and effective regularization strategies.
- Most approaches are based on limiting the capacity of models by adding a parameter norm penalty  $\Omega(\theta)$  to the objective function J:

$$\tilde{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) = J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; X, y) + \alpha \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Setting  $\alpha$  to 0 results in no regularization. Larger values of  $\alpha$  correspond to more regularization.
- · Optimize both J and norm
- · Different  $\Omega$  has different result

- Regularization has been used for decades prior to the advent of deep learning.
- Linear models allow simple straightforward and effective regularization strategies.
- Most approaches are based on limiting the capacity of models by adding a parameter norm penalty  $\Omega(\theta)$  to the objective function J:

$$\tilde{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) = J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) + \alpha \Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- Setting  $\alpha$  to 0 results in no regularization. Larger values of  $\alpha$  correspond to more regularization.
- · Optimize both J and norm
- Different  $\Omega$  has different result.

- We penalize **only the weights** of the affine transformation at each layer and leaves the biases unregularized.
- We do not induce too much variance by leaving the biases unregularized.
- Regularizing the bias parameters can introduce a significant amount of under-fitting.
- We therefore use the vector w to indicate all of the weights that should be affected by a norm penalty, while the vector θ denotes all of the parameters, including both w and the unregularized parameters.

- We penalize **only the weights** of the affine transformation at each layer and leaves the biases unregularized.
- We do not induce too much variance by leaving the biases unregularized.
- Regularizing the bias parameters can introduce a significant amount of under-fitting.
- We therefore use the vector w to indicate all of the weights that should be affected by a norm penalty, while the vector θ denotes all of the parameters, including both w and the unregularized parameters.

- We penalize **only the weights** of the affine transformation at each layer and leaves the biases unregularized.
- We do not induce too much variance by leaving the biases unregularized.
- Regularizing the bias parameters can introduce a significant amount of under-fitting.
- We therefore use the vector w to indicate all of the weights that should be affected by a norm penalty, while the vector θ denotes all of the parameters, including both w and the unregularized parameters.

- We penalize **only the weights** of the affine transformation at each layer and leaves the biases unregularized.
- We do not induce too much variance by leaving the biases unregularized.
- Regularizing the bias parameters can introduce a significant amount of under-fitting.
- We therefore use the vector  $\mathbf{w}$  to indicate all of the weights that should be affected by a norm penalty, while the vector  $\mathbf{\theta}$  denotes all of the parameters, including both  $\mathbf{w}$  and the unregularized parameters.

• The  $L^2$  norm penalty commonly known as weight decay.

$$\Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w} \|_2^2$$

 We can gain some insight into the behavior of weight decay regularization.

$$\tilde{J}(w; X, y) = \frac{\alpha}{2} w^{\mathsf{T}} w + J(w; X, y)$$

$$\nabla_{w}\widetilde{J}(w;X,y) = \alpha w + \nabla_{w}J(w;X,y)$$

$$w \leftarrow w - \epsilon(\alpha w + \nabla_w J(w; X, y))$$
  
$$w \leftarrow (1 - \epsilon \alpha) w - \epsilon \nabla_w J(w; X, y)$$

- · Shrink the weight vector by a constant factor on each step.
- · What happens over the entire course of training?

• The L<sup>2</sup> norm penalty commonly known as weight decay.

$$\Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w} \|_2^2$$

 We can gain some insight into the behavior of weight decay regularization.

$$\tilde{J}(w; X, y) = \frac{\alpha}{2} w^{T} w + J(w; X, y)$$

$$\nabla_{w} \tilde{J}(w; X, y) = \alpha w + \nabla_{w} J(w; X, y)$$

$$w \leftarrow w - \epsilon(\alpha w + \nabla_w J(w; X, y))$$
$$w \leftarrow (1 - \epsilon \alpha) w - \epsilon \nabla_w J(w; X, y)$$

- · Shrink the weight vector by a constant factor on each step.
- What happens over the entire course of training?

• The  $L^2$  norm penalty commonly known as weight decay.

$$\Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{w} \|_2^2$$

 We can gain some insight into the behavior of weight decay regularization.

$$\tilde{J}(w; X, y) = \frac{\alpha}{2} w^{T} w + J(w; X, y)$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}\widetilde{J}(\mathbf{w};\mathbf{X},\mathbf{y}) = \alpha\mathbf{w} + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}}J(\mathbf{w};\mathbf{X},\mathbf{y})$$

$$w \leftarrow w - \epsilon(\alpha w + \nabla_w J(w; X, y))$$
  
$$w \leftarrow (1 - \epsilon \alpha) w - \epsilon \nabla_w J(w; X, y)$$

- Shrink the weight vector by a constant factor on each step.
- What happens over the entire course of training?

• The  $L^2$  norm penalty commonly known as weight decay.

$$\Omega(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{w} \|_2^2$$

 We can gain some insight into the behavior of weight decay regularization.

$$\tilde{J}(\boldsymbol{w};\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \boldsymbol{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{w} + J(\boldsymbol{w};\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{y})$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \tilde{J}(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) = \alpha \mathbf{w} + \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w}; \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y})$$

$$w \leftarrow w - \epsilon(\alpha w + \nabla_w J(w; X, y))$$
$$w \leftarrow (1 - \epsilon \alpha) w - \epsilon \nabla_w J(w; X, y)$$

- · Shrink the weight vector by a constant factor on each step.
- · What happens over the entire course of training?

### References i

# References