FRITZ OBERMEYER, Uber AI ELI BINGHAM, Uber AI

 Delayed sampling is an inference technique for automatic Rao-Blackwellization in sequential latent variable models. Funsors are a software abstraction generalizing Tensors and Distributions and supporting seminumerical computation including analytic integration. We demonstrate how to easily implement delayed sampling in an embedded probabilistic programming language using Funsors and effect handlers for sample statements and a barrier statement.

1 INTRODUCTION

Recently intrest has grown in techniques to implement light-weight probabilistic programming langauges (PPLs) as embedded domain specific languages (DSLs) in other popular langauges used in industry, e.g. Figaro [Pfeffer 2009] and Ranier [Bryant 2018] in Scala, Edward [Tran et al. 2017] in Python+Tensorflow, Pyro [Bingham et al. 2018] in Python+PyTorch, Infergo [Tolpin 2019] in Go, and the PPX protocol [Baydin et al. 2019] for integrating Python+PyTorch+SHERPA. Among approaches to lightweight embedded PPLs, effect handlers have shown promise [Moore and Gorinova 2018; Pretnar 2015], allowing PPLs like Pyro and Edward2 [Tran et al. 2018] to apply program transformations without needing program analysis or even compilation. Here we show that delayed sampling can also be implemented in a light-weight embedded PPL using only a barrier statement and limited support from an underlying math library.

2 DELAYED SAMPLING

Let us distinguish two types of inference strategies in probabilistic programming, call them *lazy* and *eager*. Let us say a strategy is *lazy* if it it first symbolically evaluates or compiles model code, then globally analyzes the code to create an inference update strategy. Say a strategy *eager* if it eagerly executes model code, drawing samples from each latent variable. For example the autograd-based inference algorithms in Pyro are eager in the sense that samples are eagerly created at each sample site.

It is often advantageous to combine lazy and eager strategies, performing local exact computations within small parts of a probabilistic model, but drawing samples to communicate between those parts. Examples include Rao-Blackwellized SMC filters and their generalization as implemented in Birch [Murray et al. 2017], and reactive probabilistic programming [Baudart et al. 2019].

This work addresses the challenge of implementing boundedly-lazy inference in a lightweight embedded PPL where samples are eagerly drawn and control flow may depend on those sample values. Our approach is to use Funsors, a software abstraction generalizing Tensors, Distributions, and lazy compute graphs. The core idea is to allow lazy sample statements during program execution, and to trigger sampling of lazy random variables only at user-specified barrier statements, typically either immediately before control flow or immediately after a variable goes out of scope.

3 EMBEDDED PROBABILISTIC PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES WITH EFFECTS

Consider an embedded probabilistic programming language, extending a host language by adding two primitive statements:

- The statement x = sample(name, dist) is a named stochastic statement, where x is a Tensor or Funsor value, name is a unique identifier for the statement, and dist is a distribution (possibly a Funsor).
- The statement state = barrier(state) eliminates any free/delayed variables from the recursive observations structure state, which may contain Tensor or Funsor values (we restrict attention to lists of Tensors/Funsors).

We use Python for the host language in this paper.

We will implement each inference algorithm as a single effect handler. Each inference algorithm will input observed observations, allow running of model code, and can then interpret nonstandard model outputs as posterior probability distributions, e.g.

```
with MyInferenceAlgorithm(observations=observations) as inference:
    output = model() # executes with nonstandard interpretation
posterior = inference.get_posterior(output)
```

where observations is a dictionary mapping sample statement name to observed value, and the resulting posterior is some representation of the posterior distribution over latent variables, e.g. an importance-weighted bag of samples.

4 INFERENCE IN SEQUENTIAL MODELS

Consider a model of a stochastic control system with piecewise control, attempting to keep a latent state z within the interval [-10, 10]

```
def model():
 2
        z = sample("z_init", Normal(0,1))
                                             # latent state
 3
        k = 0
                                             # control
 4
        cost = 0
                                             # cumulative cost of controller
 5
        for t in range(1000):
            if z > 10:
                                             # control flow depends on z
 6
 7
                 k -= 1
 8
            elif z < -10:
 9
                 k += 1
            else:
10
11
                 k = 0
12
            cost += abs(k)
            z = sample(f"z_{t}",Normal(z+k,1))
13
            x = sample(f"x_{t}", Normal(z, 1))
14
15
        return cost
```

Now suppose we want to estimate the total controller cost given a sequence of observations x. One approach to inference is Sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) filtering. To maintain a vectorized population of particles we can rewrite the model using a vectorized conditional (e.g. where(cond,if_true,if_false) as implemented in NumPy and PyTorch). Further we can support resampling of particle populations by adding a barrier statement to the model code; this is needed to communicate resampling decisions to the model's local state.

```
def model():
99
       1
      2
              z = sample("z_init", Normal(0,1))
100
                                                       # latent state
       3
              k = 0 * z
                                                       # control
101
      4
              cost = 0 * z
                                                       # cumulative cost of controller
102
      5
              for t in range(1000):
103
      6
                   z,k,cost = barrier([z,k,cost])
                                                      # inference may resample here
104
       7
                   k = where(z > 10, k + 1, k)
      8
                   k = where(z < 10, k + 1, k)
106
      9
                   k = where(-10 \le z \& z \le 10, 0 * k, k)
107
     10
                   z = sample(f"z_{t}", Normal(z+k, 1))
108
                   x = sample(f"x_{t}", Normal(z, 1))
     11
109
     12
              return cost
110
```

See appendix 6.2 for details of the effect handler to implement SMC inference.

Notice that if there were no control k (or indeed if the control were linear), we could completely Rao-Blackwellize using a Kalman filter: inference via variable elimination would be exact. To implement linear-time exact inference would require only:

- lazy versions of tensor operations such as where;
- a lazy interpretation for sample statements;
- a variable-eliminating computation of the final log_joint latent state.

See appendix 6.3 for details of the effect handler to implement variable elimination. This is the approach taken by Pyro's discrete enumeration inference, which leverages broadcasting in the host tensor DSL to simulate lazy sampling and lazy tensor ops.

To implement delayed sampling, and thereby partially Rao-Blackwellize our SMC inference, we can combine the two above approaches, emitting lazily sampled values from sample statements and eagerly sampling delayed samples at barrier statements, relying on a variable elimination engine to efficiently draw random samples from the partial posterior. In contrast to the variable-elimination interpretation of barrier, this interpretation guarantees all local state is ground and hence can be inspected by conditionals like where. See appendix 6.4 for details of effect handler to implement delayed sampling.

5 CONCLUSION

 We demonstrated flexible inference algorithms in an embedded probabilistic programming language with a new barrier statement and support for lazy computations represented as Funsors. While Funsor implementations are few, this same technique can be used for delayed sampling of discrete latent variables using only a Tensor library and a variable elimination engine such as opt_einsum [Smith and Gray 2018].

REFERENCES

Guillaume Baudart, Louis Mandel, Eric Atkinson, Benjamin Sherman, Marc Pouzet, and Michael Carbin. 2019. Reactive probabilistic programming. arXiv preprint arXiv:1989.07563 (2019).

Atilim Gunes Baydin, Lei Shao, Wahid Bhimji, Lukas Heinrich, Saeid Naderiparizi, Andreas Munk, Jialin Liu, Bradley Gram-Hansen, Gilles Louppe, Lawrence Meadows, et al. 2019. Efficient probabilistic inference in the quest for physics beyond the standard model. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 5460–5473.

Eli Bingham, Jonathan P. Chen, Martin Jankowiak, Fritz Obermeyer, Neeraj Pradhan, Theofanis Karaletsos, Rohit Singh, Paul Szerlip, Paul Horsfall, and Noah D. Goodman. 2018. Pyro: Deep Universal Probabilistic Programming. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.09538 (2018).

Avi Bryant. 2018. Ranier: Bayesian inference in Scala. https://github.com/stripe/rainier

Dave Moore and Maria I Gorinova. 2018. Effect handling for composable program transformations in Edward2. arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.06150 (2018).

149

151

153

155

156

157

158

159

161

163

164

165

167

195 196 Lawrence M Murray, Daniel Lundén, Jan Kudlicka, David Broman, and Thomas B Schön. 2017. Delayed sampling and automatic rao-blackwellization of probabilistic programs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.07787 (2017).

Avi Pfeffer. 2009. Figaro: An object-oriented probabilistic programming language. *Charles River Analytics Technical Report* 137 (2009), 96.

Matija Pretnar. 2015. An introduction to algebraic effects and handlers. invited tutorial paper. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 319 (2015), 19–35.

Daniel G. A. Smith and Johnnie Gray. 2018. opt_einsum - A Python package for optimizing contraction order for einsum-like expressions. *Journal of Open Source Software* 3, 26 (2018), 753. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00753

David Tolpin. 2019. Deployable probabilistic programming. CoRR abs/1906.11199 (2019). arXiv:1906.11199 http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.11199

Dustin Tran, Matthew D Hoffman, Rif A Saurous, Eugene Brevdo, Kevin Murphy, and David M Blei. 2017. Deep probabilistic programming. arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.03757 (2017).

Dustin Tran, Matthew W Hoffman, Dave Moore, Christopher Suter, Srinivas Vasudevan, and Alexey Radul. 2018. Simple, distributed, and accelerated probabilistic programming. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 7598–7609.

6 APPENDIX: DETAILS OF EFFECT HANDLING

return HANDLER.barrier(state)

6.1 Effect handling framework

Before describing effect implementations, we provide a simple framework for effect handling embedded in Python. Let's start with a standard interpretation, implemented as an effect handler base class.

```
class StandardHandler:
            def __enter__(self):
                # install this handler at the beginning of each with statement
                global HANDLER
                self.old_handler = HANDLER
                HANDLER = self
                return self
175
            def __exit__(self, type, value, traceback):
                # revert this handler at the end of each with statement
177
                global HANDLER
179
                HANDLER = self.old_handler
            def sample(self, name, dist):
181
                return dist.sample() # by default, draw a random sample
183
            def barrier(self, state):
185
                return state # by default do nothing
187
       HANDLER = StandardHandler()
188
     Next we can define user facing statements with late binding to the active effect handler.
189
       def sample(name, dist):
191
            return HANDLER.sample(name, dist)
192
193
       def barrier(state):
194
```

199

200

202

204

206

222

224

226

228

230

239

240

241242243244245

6.2 Effect handlers for inference via Sequential Monte Carlo

We can now implement Sequential Monte Carlo inference by maintaining a vector log_joint of particle log weights, sampling independently each particle at sample statements, and resampling at barrier statements.

```
class SMC(StandardHandler):
    def __init__(self, observations, num_particles=100):
        self.observations = observations
        self.log_joint = zeros(num_particles)
        self.num_particles = num_particles
    def sample(self, name, dist):
        if name in self.observations:
            value = self.observations[name]
        else:
            value = dist.sample(sample_shape=self.log_joint.shape)
        self.log_joint += dist.log_prob(self.observations[name])
        return value
    def barrier(self, state):
        index = Categorical(logits=self.log_joint).sample()
        self.log_joint[:] = 0
        state = [x[index] for x in state]
        return state
    def get_posterior(self, value):
        probs = exp(self.log_joint)
        probs /= probs.sum()
        return {"samples": value, "probs": probs}
```

6.3 Effect handlers for exact inference via Variable Elimination

We can implement variable elimination by leveraging lazy compute graphs and exact forward-backward computation of the Funsor library. This handler ignores barrier statements.

```
class VariableElimination(StandardHandler):
246
           def __init__(self, observations, num_particles=100):
247
               self.observations = observations
               self.log_joint = funsor.Number(0)
249
               self.num_particles = num_particles
251
           def sample(self, name, dist):
253
               if name in self.observations:
                    value = self.observations[name]
               else:
255
                    value = funsor.Variable(name) # create a delayed sample
               self.log_joint += dist.log_prob(self.observations[name])
257
               return value
           def get_posterior(self, value):
               return funsor.Expectation(log_joint, value)
261
```

6.4 Effect handlers for inference via Delayed Sampling

Finally we can implement delayed sampling by extending the VariableElimination handler to eagerly eliminate variables whenever a barrier statement is encountered.

```
class DelayedSampling(VariableElimination):
    def barrier(self, state):
        subs = self.log_joint.sample(state.inputs, self.num_samples)
        self.log_joint = self.log_joint(**subs)
        state = [x(**subs) for x in state]
        return state
```