CMSI 371-01

COMPUTER GRAPHICS

Spring 2013

Assignment 0226 Feedback

Because 2c involves color and light computations, and this assignment pertains only to color, 2c tops out at | with future assignments allowing expansion of this to +.

Andrew Kowalczyk

- 1a Your proficiency with handling digital visual information in terms of pixels and geometric primitives is definitely well-demonstrated here. (+)
- 2c Your color computations are decent, but they only cover the single-pixel variety. You never did a genuine neighborhood-based calculation—you need to show your stuff with that too. (/)
- 3b You have shown a fine capacity for implementing graphics primitives. There is a slight miss in your circle gradient code though—you are using the wrong value for r, which I presume you intend as the radius of the circle. See the inline comments. The rest of the gradient computation is correct though, so I won't ding you for that one. (+)
- 3c You did great with low-level color manipulation for single-pixel filters, but did not end up writing any real neighborhood filters—even your supposed neighborhood filters only used a single pixel. (|)
- 4a Your code is certainly functional and works as intended. The only real bug that I spotted is the aforementioned miscalculated radius in your gradient circle. Plus, I think that logic can be simplified a bit, but I consider that a follow-up phase. The rest of your code looks fine. (+)
- 4b Your choices regarding separation of concerns are largely well-made. The one hiccup is your non-inclusion of your single-pixel filters as part of the Nanoshop object. This was actually requested in the assignment instructions, and was done with NanoshopNeighborhood as an example. (|)
- 4c Your code is generally readable and formatted well. Your comments are more detailed than average, and are helpful especially in the more complex portions (although I think one of the comment blocks in the gradient circle code is incomplete—see the inline feedback). (+)
- 4d Your work shows good use of resources and documentation, but is necessarily knocked down a little bit because you never ended up doing a genuine neighborhood filter. (1)
- 4e Your commit pace looks pretty good, but your commit messages can be more descriptive. For example, many of your comments take the form "Edited the _____ file(s)." That can use more detail—what did you edit? One of your commits (3272f1d3b85790406671fd9c64c02d702b8979e9, to be exact) had the message "Fixed the code to work"—OK, but what bug did you fix? What wasn't working before? How well is it working now? Specificity helps log your progress better. (/)
- 4f Submitted on time. (+)