## MAT220 manditory 3

Fromsa Hera March 15, 2018

1. (a) Let 
$$a = x^4 - x^3 - x^2 + 1 = (x - 1)(x^3 - x - 1)$$
 and  $b = x^3 - 1 = (x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1)$   
 $x^4 - x^3 - x^2 + 1 = (x^3 - 1)(x - 1) + (-x^2 + x)$  and  $(-x^2 + x) \not | a$  and  $(-x^2 + x) \not | b$   
 $\therefore \gcd(a, b) = (x - 1)$  in  $\mathbb{O}[x]$ 

DOWN

$$a = b(x - 1) + (-x^{2} + x)$$
$$x^{3} - 1 = (-x^{2} + x)(-x - 1)$$
$$(-x^{2} + x) = (x - 1)(-x) + 0$$

UP

$$x - 1 = b - (-x^{2} + x)(-x - 1)$$

$$x - 1 = b - (a - b(x - 1))(-x - 1)$$

$$x - 1 = b - a(-x - 1) + b(x - 1)(-x - 1)$$

$$x - 1 = b(1 - (x - 1)(-x - 1)) - a(-x - 1)$$

$$x - 1 = b(x^{2} + x + 1) - a(-x - 1)$$

- (b) Let  $s(x) = x^4 + x^3 + 1$  and  $t(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ 
  - $t(0) = 0^2 + 0 + 1 = 1$
  - $t(1) = 1^2 + 1 + 1 = 1$

By theorem 4.16, The Factor theorem, none of  $0, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  are roots of t(x), thus by corollary 4.19 t(x) is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ 

 $t(x) \nmid s(x)$  and

by the definition of gcd, two polynomials, not both zero, in a field F have at least one gcd (namley  $1_F$ )

 $\therefore gcd(s(x), t(x)) = 1_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ 

For part 2, look to task 8.

## 2. (R with identity $1_R$ ) $\rightarrow$ (R[x] with identity $1_{R[x]}$ )?

Theorem 4.1 says that R[x] is a ring whenever R is a ring. So we just need to prove for multiplicative identity, to show that R[x] is a ring with identity.

Since R is a ring, notice that  $a1_R = a = 1_R a$  for all  $a \in R$ .

Assume R[x] is a ring, then given any polynomial  $n(x) \in R[x]$  there exists  $i(x) \in R[x]$  such that n(x)i(x) = n(x) = i(x)n(x)

- $n(x)i(x) = n(x) \iff$   $\iff (n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots) \odot (i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots) = (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots)$   $\iff c_k = n_k \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}$   $\iff n_j = \sum_{k=0}^j i_k n_{j-k} \text{ Since } c_j = \sum_{k=0}^j i_k n_{j-k}$   $\iff i_0 = 1_R, i_k = 0_R \text{ for all } k > 1$ Thus  $i(x) = (1_R, 0_R, 0_R, \dots)$
- $i(x)n(x) = n(x) \iff$   $\iff (i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots) \odot (n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots) = (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots)$   $\iff c_k = n_k \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}$   $\iff n_j = \sum_{k=0}^j i_k n_{j-k} \text{ Since } c_j = \sum_{k=0}^j i_k n_{j-k}$   $\iff i_0 = 1_R, i_k = 0_R \text{ for all } k > 1$ Thus  $i(x) = (1_R, 0_R, 0_R, \dots)$
- $\therefore R[x]$  is a ring with identity  $1(x)_R = i(x) = (1_R, 0_R, 0_R, \dots)$

- 3.  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has exactly p congruence classes of modulo p including the [0]. Meaning that there are p-1 nonzero constants in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ 
  - $\therefore$  For any given  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  there are exactly p-1 associates f(x) of g(x), such that for a non zero  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , f(x) = cg(x).

- 4. Given g(x), an associate of p(x) such that g(x) = cp(x) where  $c \in F$  and deg[g(x)] = deg[p(x)].
  - Assume g(x) is irreducible and p(x) is reducible. Then there exists m(x), n(x) where deg[m(x)], deg[n(x)] < deg[p(x)] such that

$$p(x) = m(x)n(x) \implies cp(x) = cm(x)n(x) \implies g(x) = cm(x)n(x).$$

**This is a contradiction**, which means that g(x) is irreducible  $\implies p(x)$  is irreducible.

• Assume p(x) is irreducible and g(x) is reducible.

Then there exists a(x), b(x) where deg[a(x)], deg[b(x)] < deg[g(x)] such that

$$g(x) = m(x)n(x) \implies cp(x) = m(x)n(x) \implies p(x) = m(x)n(x)c^{-1}.$$

**This is a contraction**, which means that p(x) is irreducible  $\implies g(x)$  is irreducible.

- p(x) is irreducible  $\iff$  its associates are irreducible
- 5. All monic polynomials of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]$  have the form  $x^2 + ax + b$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  Out of all possible polynomials, the ones that are irreducible are given here:
  - 1)  $x^2 + 1$
  - 2)  $x^2 + 2$
  - 3)  $x^2 + x + 1$
  - 4)  $x^2 + x + 2$
  - 5)  $x^2 + 2x + 2$
- 6.  $\mathbb{Q}[x] \cong \mathbb{Q}[\pi]$ ?
  - Homomorphic?

$$-\varphi(\sum a_i X^i + \sum b_i X^i)$$

$$= \varphi(\sum (a_i + b_i) X^i)$$

$$= \sum (a_i + b_i) \pi^i$$

$$= \sum a_i \pi^i + b_i \pi^i$$

$$= (\sum a_i X^i) + (\sum b_i X^i)$$

$$= \varphi(\sum a_i X^i) \oplus \varphi(\sum b_i X^i)$$

$$-\varphi(\sum a_i X^i \times \sum b_i X^i)$$

$$= \varphi(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_j X^j b_{i-j} X^{i-j})$$

$$= \varphi(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=0}^{i} a_j b_{i-j}) X^i)$$

$$= \sum (\sum_{j=0}^{i} a_j b_{i-j}) \pi^i$$
By the rule of polynomial multiplication
$$= \sum a_i \pi^i * \sum b_i \pi^i$$

$$= \varphi(\sum a_i X^i) \odot \varphi(\sum b_i X^i)$$

$$\therefore \varphi \text{ is homomorphic.}$$

• Injective?

Given  $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x], \quad p(x) = \sum m_i X^i$  and  $q(x) = \sum n_i X^i$ Assume  $\varphi(p(x)) = \varphi(q(x)) \iff \varphi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i X^i) = \varphi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i X^i)$ , that is  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \pi^i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i \pi^i$  then since  $\pi$  is transcendent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \pi^i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i \pi^i$  if and only iff for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m_i \pi^i = n_i \pi^i$ .  $\therefore \varphi$  is injective.

• Surjetive?

For any given 
$$\sum v_i \pi^i \in \mathbb{Q}[\pi]$$
, let  $h(x) = \sum v_i X^i$  then  $\varphi(h(x)) = \sum v_i \pi^i$   
  $\therefore \varphi$  is surjective

 $\therefore \mathbb{Q}[x] \cong \mathbb{Q}[\pi].$ 

- 7. (a) Let  $y(x) := x^4 2x^2 + 8x + 1$ . Assume y(x) is reducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , then there are 2 options;
  - 1) That y(x) has a root in  $\mathbb{Q}$ The only possible roots of y(x) are of the form r/s where  $r=\pm 1$  (divisor of the constant term 1) and  $s=\pm 1$  (divisor of the leading term 1)  $\implies r/s=1 \vee -1 \implies y(1)=8$  and y(-1)=-10
  - $\therefore$  By the rational root test, y(x) has no root in  $\mathbb{Q}$ 2) Or the only possible factorization of y(x) is as a product of two quadratics, by theorem

In this case theorem 4.23 shows that there is such a factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Furthermore, there is a factorization as a product of monic quadratics in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Say

 $(x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + ax + b) = u(x), a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

$$\iff x^4 + (a+c)x^3 + (ac+b+d=x^2 + (bc+ad)x + bd = y(x)$$

$$\iff a+c=0 \quad ac+b+d=-2, \quad bc+ad=0, \quad bd=1$$

$$\iff a=-c \implies -c^2 + b + d = -2, \quad bc-cd=0$$

$$\iff bd=1 \iff b=d=1 \lor -1$$

$$b=d=1 \implies \qquad \qquad -c^2 + 1 + 1 = -2 \iff c=\pm 2$$

$$c=2 \implies \qquad bc-cd=1*2-2*1=0 \neq 8$$

$$c=-2 \implies \qquad bc-cd=1*(-2)-(-2)*1=0 \neq 8$$

$$b=d=-1 \implies \qquad -c^2 + (-1) + (-1)=-2 \iff c=0$$

$$c=0 \implies \qquad bc-cd=(-1)*0-0*(-1)=0 \neq 8$$

... There are no such  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that y(x) can be factorized as a product of quadratics in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , hence in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

... This is a contradiction. Thus y(x) is irreducible, hence by theorem 5.10  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^4-2x^2+8x+1)$  is a field.

- (b)  $6x^8 + 14x^5 + 28x + 42$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  by Eisenstein's Criterion with p = 7 $\therefore$  By theorem  $5.10 \mathbb{Q}[x]/(6x^8 + 14x^5 + 28x + 42)$  is a field.
- (c)  $x^3 + 2x + 1$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]$  by corollary 4.19, because it has no roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .  $\therefore$  By theorem 5.10  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^3 + 2x + 1)$  is a field.
- 8. Since  $x^4 + x^3 + 1$  is relatively prime to  $x^2 + x + 1$ , by theorem 5.9, it follows that  $[x^4 + x^3 + 1]$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^2 + x + 1)$ . Let  $u := x^4 + x^3 + 1$  and  $v := x^2 + x + 1$ . The inverse of v in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(u)$  is a polynomial  $v^{-1}$  such that  $uv^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{v}$ , or equivalently  $vv^{-1} + ku = 1$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ . The Euclidean algorithm

DOWN

$$u = vx^{2} + (x^{2} + 1)$$
$$v = (x^{2} + 1) + x$$
$$(x^{2} + 1) = x * x + 1$$

$$1 = (x^{2} + 1) - x * x$$

$$1 = (x^{2} + 1) - (v - (x^{2} + 1)) * x$$

$$1 = (u - vx^{2}) - (v - (u - vx^{2})) * x$$

$$1 = u - vx^{2} - vx + ux - vx^{3}$$

$$1 = (u + ux) + (-vx^{2} - vx - vx^{3})$$

$$1 = u(1 + x) + v(x^{3} + x^{2} + x)$$

So, 
$$v^{-1} = (x^3 + x^2 + x)$$
?

I don't think this is right!