Lec 23: Voting and Stable Matching

Voting rules

- (1) Plurality
- 2) Borda's rule

Condorcet Consistency

y a candidate beats all other candidates = a (say) it holds

-landian, that andidate that f(P) = a.

Copeland voting

Q! What other desirable properties?

Unanimity: A voting rule f is UN $P_i = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ P_i(1) \end{pmatrix}$ f for every profile P

 $S.t. P_{1}(1) = P_{2}(1) = \cdots = P_{n}(1)$

 $f(P_1,P_2,\ldots,P_n)$

Manipulable

A voting rule f is

manipulable if

Fien, profile P=(P,P,)

S. t.

f(Pi', Pi) is more preferred by i under Pi than f(Pi, Pi) for some Pi'

A voting rule is non-manipulable if does not satisfy the above.

Plurality

d c d d d c d

Borda's rule
$$\begin{cases}
P_5 & (a) \rightarrow 13 \\
F_{BORDA} & P_2 & b \rightarrow 13
\end{cases}$$

STV:HW

Copeland

a pb c b a c a b Score

a→1 b→1

b-71

P2'

2

b -> 0

L

C-)2

WLOG say a is The

Copeland winner

f(P) = a

 $f\left(P_2'P_2\right) = c$

Dictatornial

 $\exists d \in N \quad \text{s.t.} \quad f(P_d, P_d) = P_d(i)$ DICT is UN & non-manipulable.

Gjbbard-Satterth waite:

It voters can have all possible strict preferences over the candidates and |A| > 3, then every UN and non-manipulable voting rule is a dictatoriship.

Stable Matching

How to find a stable matching algorithmically?

Gale - Shapley Deferred Acceptance

 $W_1 > W_4 > W_2 > W_3$ (m_1) $m_2 \rangle m_1 \rangle m_4 \rangle m_3$ $W_3 > W_2 > W_4 > W_1$ m_z (W_2) m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 $M_1 \rangle W_2 \rangle W_3 \rangle W_4 (M_3)$ $(\widetilde{W_3})$ $m_3 > m_1 > m_2 > m_4$ $() w_2 / w_3 / v_4$ $() w_4 > w_3 / w_4$ $() w_4 > w_4 > w_4 > w_4 / w_4 /$

men-proposing version Round 1: Each unmatched man proposes to the most preferred Woman who hasn't nejected him $m_1 \rightarrow W_1, m_2 \rightarrow W_3, m_3 \rightarrow W_1$

Each woman tentatively accepts The most preferred man from The existing proposals.

 $m_1 - W_1$, $m_2 - W_3$, m_3 , $m_4 - W_2$

+ unction mp Stable Matching: M: Set of men 3 |M| = |W| = nW: set of women 3 |M| = |W| = ninitialize all mEM, WEW as free While Im who is free m proposes w who is most Preferred by m and has not rejected m of wis free (m, w) is tentative match & some (m', W) already exists My prefers mover m' (m, w) tentative match else m' free all tentative are final.

Q: Does it converge? Does it give a Stable match 7

Claim: DA algo converges in polytime

- · Every man makes <n proposals
- * There could be at most n^2 proposals $O(n^2)$

Claim! DA algo always returno a perfect matching (i.e., all vertices are matched)

- · No woman is metched to more than one man
- · Every woman is either tentatively matched (OR) gets multiple prop. and keeps one.
 - Once a woman is tent matched, never unmatched again.
 The algo terminates when each man is metched.

Claim: DA gives a pairwise stable matching M: M -> W Mp(m) - Woman that in is matched to $M_{\rho}(w) \leftarrow man$ A matching is painwise unstable if F, and m, W, m', w' $M_p(m) = W$ and $W'P_m W$ $M_{p}(m') = W' \int m P_{w'} m'$

Privoj: Suppose not, JP, blocking pain F Contradicts to the "To Working prunciple of DA.

(m, w') is a blocking pair.