



LARGE SYNOPTIC SURVEY TELESCOPE

Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) Data Management Test Plan

LDM-503-0D

Latest Revision: 2017-04-15

approved by Victor Krabendam?

issue: 0D

revision: 1

status: draft

Abstract

This is the Test Plan for Data Management. In it we define terms associated with testing and further test specifications for specific items.



Change Record

Version	Date	Description	Owner name
D	1	2017-01-13	WOM
First draft			



Contents

1	Introduction	v
1.1	Objectives	v
1.2	Scope	v
1.3	Assumptions	v
1.4	Applicable Documents	v
1.5	Reference Documents	v
1.6	Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations	vi
2	Test Items	vi
3	Roles and Reporting	vii
3.1	Pass/Fail Criteria	vii
4	Constraints and Limitations	viii
5	Master Schedule	viii
6	Validation Tools	ix
6.1	Introduction	ix
6.2	Data Comparison Tools	ix



6.3	Data Transformation Tools	x
6.4	Analysis Tools	x
7	Unit and Integration Tests	x
7.1	Approach	x
7.2	Test Coverage	xi
7.3	Unit and Integration Test Specification	xi
8	Validation Tests	xi
8.1	General strategy	xi
8.2	Test Designs	xii
8.2.1	Test Design DM-Data Management-SYS-X	xii
8.3	Test Case Specification	xii
8.3.1	Test Case DM-Data Management-SYS-X-1	xii
9	Science Validation	xiii

1 Introduction

In this document we outline the verification and validation approach for LSST Data Management. In addition we outline some of the high level test milestones.

1.1 Objectives

We describes the test and verification approach for DM and describe constraints and limitations in the testing to be performed. We also describe the validation tests to be performed on the partially and fully integrated system. We do not describe all tests in details but leave that to dedicated test plans.

1.2 Scope

This provides the approach and plan for all od DM. It covers interfaces to DM but nothing outside of DM. This document will be updated in response to any requirements updates.

1.3 Assumptions

TBD

1.4 Applicable Documents

When applicable documents change a change may be required in this document.

LPM-55 LSST Quality Assurance Plan
LDM-294 DM Project Management Plan
LDM-148 DM Architecture

1.5 Reference Documents

- [1] **[LDM-148]**, Kantor, J., Axelrod, T., 2013, *Data Management System Design*, LDM-148, URL <https://ls.st/LDM-148>
- [2] **[LDM-294]**, O'Mullane, W., DMLT, 2017, *Data Management Project Management Plan*, LDM-294, URL <https://ls.st/LDM-294>
- [3] **[LPM-55]**, Sweeney, D., McKercher, R., 2013, *Project Quality Assurance Plan*, LPM-55, URL <https://ls.st/LPM-55>

1.6 Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations

The following table has been generated from the on-line Gaia acronym list:

Acronym	Description
CU	Coordination Unit (in DPAC)
DPAC	Data Processing and Analysis Consortium
DPC	Data Processing Centre
OF	Object Feature (source packet)
SP	Software Product
SPR	Software Problem Report
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
STP	Software Test Plan
STS	Star Tracker System
SVN	SubVersioN

2 Test Items

The test items covered in this test plan are Data Management and its constituent components:

- All the product - from KT diagrams
- Interfaces
- Procedures like Data release

3 Roles and Reporting

Tester report issues through Jira, but what other mechanisms will be used?

Who directs OPS rehearsals .. ?

Reports on rehearsals .. issues and

Handling failures - time lines for fix.

3.1 Pass/Fail Criteria

The Software Review Board will meet once a full run of all Test Cases has been performed, and subsequently after a complete run of all outstanding Test Cases.

A Test Case will be considered "Passed" when:

- All of the test steps of the Test Case are completed and
- All open SPRs from this Test Case agreed in Software Review Board are considered non-critical.

A Test Case will be considered "Partially Passed" when:

- Only a subset of all of the test steps in the Test Case are completed but the overall purpose of the test has been met and
- Any critical SPRs from this Test Case agreed in Software Review Board are still not closed.

A Test Case will be considered "Failed" when:

- Only a subset of all of the test steps in the Test Case are completed and the overall purpose of the test has not been met and
- Any critical SPRs from this Test Case agreed in Software Review Board are still not closed.

4 Constraints and Limitations

Describes the limitations and the constraints which apply to CU level tests of the system. lack of computing resources may mean that datasets are smaller or that full accuracy cannot be achieved. Explain what must be validated in the DPC tests

5 Master Schedule

The schedule for testing the system until launch. If some modules are scheduled for development after other, explain dependencies and impact on integration and validation tests.

Nightly Tests

Weekly Integration test with data ..

Interface tests (2by 2 and integrated E2E, Internal and External))

End to End Tests ?? Freeze software for Ops ..

WISE data to PDAC - ...

HSC reprocessing - yes see the data and also validate the ops platform . Validate some procedures like install some procedures etc ..

ZTF Alerts processing to validate Alerts pipe ..

2018 Spectrograph Data Acquisition Test..

2018 - Ops rehearsal for commissioning - with a week's commissioning say - pick which parts of plan we could rehearse.

2019 - Ops rehearsal #2 for commissioning - more complete .

2020 - Ops Rehearsal Data Release (Commissioning Data)

2021 - Ops Rehearsal Data Release (Regular Data)

6 Validation Tools

6.1 Introduction

To evaluate the correctness of the generated data and the systems performances a set of tools may be developed or used. These tools will provide the means to facilitate the validation tasks. Following subsections describe the various tools that can be used in the Data Management validation (e.g. data comparison tools, analysis tools, etc).

6.2 Data Comparison Tools

This type of test tools are used to manage products in terms of:

- Comparison of a product generated during a test execution w.r.t. the relevant reference product
- Non regression verification comparing output products generated by different versions of the same system
- Measurement of quality degradation due to perturbed inputs

It allows:

- Product analysis
- Decoding of generated product allowing to read the most significant data of the product itself
- Visualisation of the values of a single selected field
- Apply an accuracy to the comparison
- Comparing specific parts of the products
- Filtering using flags values

6.3 Data Transformation Tools

These tools allow the data to be transformed to other formatted data.

6.4 Analysis Tools

Descriptions of the performance monitoring tools, profilers, test coverage programs... used in the Performance evaluation tests.

...

7 Unit and Integration Tests

7.1 Approach

Unit and Integration Tests will be automatically executed through the JUnit test framework. The descriptions of the test below are extracted from the test cases code and documentation. The results of Unit and Integration Test to be included in the Software Test Report will be generated automatically from the output of the execution of the tests by JUnit. A script will be provided to perform these processing steps.

Module identification? (module tag in class header? mapping file?)

7.2 Test Coverage

Test coverage goal for unit and integration testing. Each class and public method shall have a JUnit test harness that may be labelled according to their purpose (e.g. I/O, individual class/method tests, software integration, data model integration etc.). Nominal and contingency tests should be clearly identified.

Interface coverage...

The tool [insert name of unit test coverage tool here] will be used to provide metrics on the code coverage by Unit Tests for Data Management and this metric will be provide in the Test Report.

7.3 Unit and Integration Test Specification

This is a example test plan record; this should be generated automatically.

Class	Unit Test Name	Purpose
Unit Test Class	Unit Test Method	Purpose of Unit Test from method header

8 Validation Tests

Validation of the system though Oerations Rehersals (and or end to end tests)

8.1 General strategy

Description of the general verification and validation strategy, decomposition into verification testing categories (e.g. science tests, SP external interface tests, algorithms interrelation and sequence). Assessed validation tests results shall be available over the software development duration: they are stored into SVN repository along with related input data, property-file, etc.

A subset of tests are run at DPC during software release qualification process, the results of DPC runs are compared with corresponding test outputs. During DPC integration tests, these assessed outputs will also allow to verify software non-regression.

8.2 Test Designs

8.2.1 Test Design DM-Data Management-SYS-X

8.2.1.1 Objective Explain the objective of this test design

8.2.1.2 Features to be tested

- Component A
- Component B

8.2.1.3 Features not to be tested

- Component C
- Component D

8.2.1.4 Approach Description of the approach to writing this test design

8.2.1.5 Test Cases List of test cases to be specified

Test Case	Description
DM-Data Management-SYS-X-1	Description of Validation Test

8.3 Test Case Specification

8.3.1 Test Case DM-Data Management-SYS-X-1

8.3.1.1 Testable Items List the components to be tested in this test case

8.3.1.2 Purpose Explain the purpose of this test case

The contents of this document are subject to configuration control by the LSST DM Technical Control Team.

8.3.1.3 Input Specification Describe the inputs to this test (data, written procedures, etc.)

8.3.1.4 Output Specification Describe the outputs of this test

8.3.1.5 Environment Describe the environment (computing resources etc) required for this test.

8.3.1.6 Inter-case dependencies If this test is dependent on another test having been completed successfully (for input data for example), state that here.

8.3.1.7 Test Procedure Describe the procedure to be performed

8.3.1.8 Test Verification Describe how to verify if the test has been successful.

9 Science Validation