CENG352 Written Assignment 3

1) For each of the following schedules, state which of the following properties hold: conflict serializable, recoverable, cascadeless, or strict.

Note that a conflict serializable schedule is one that has no conflicting operations among its committed transactions. Aborted transactions are ignored when determining conflict serializability.

- a) R1(A) W1(B) R2(B) W2(A) W1(A) Commit T1 Commit T2
- b) W1(A) R2(A) W1(A) Commit T2 Abort T1
- c) W3(A) R2(A) Commit T3 W1(B) Commit T1 R2(B) W2(C) Commit T2
- d) W1(A) R1(B) R2(B) Commit T1 R2(A) W2(B) Commit T2
- e) W1(A) R2(B) R1(B) R2(A) Commit T1 Commit T2
- 2) Consider the following schedule:

Is this schedule allowed by **Two Phase Locking**? If not explain why. If so, show the schedule with lock requests and releases obeying 2PL.

3) Consider the same schedule:

Is this schedule allowed by **Strict Two Phase Locking**? If not explain why. If so, show the schedule with lock requests obeying Strict 2PL.

4)

Consider the following two transactions:

$$T1 = R(A) W(A) R(B) W(B)$$

$$T2 = R(B) R(A) W(A) W(B)$$

Assume that exclusive lock (X) and unlock (U) actions are inserted by the scheduler, resulting in the following annotated transactions:

$$T1 = X(A) R(A) W(A) X(B) R(B) W(B)$$
, AFTER COMMIT: U(A) U(B)

$$T2 = X(B) R(B) X(A) R(A) W(A) W(B)$$
, AFTER COMMIT: U(A) U(B)

Now, say that lock and unlock actions are inserted in the following way instead:

$$T1 = X(A) R(A) W(A) X(B) U(A) R(B) W(B) U(B)$$

 $T2 = X(A) X(B) R(B) R(A) W(A) U(A) W(B) U(B)$

- (d) Is conflict serializability guaranteed? Why or why not?
- (e) Is deadlock possible? If so, then assuming that T1 starts first, which transaction(s) would be rolled back (aborted) under the wait-die deadlock prevention scheme?
- (f) Is cascading rollback possible? If not, explain why not. If so, show a scenario that results in cascading rollback.

Consider concurrent execution of these two transactions and answer the following questions:

- (a) Is conflict serializability guaranteed? Why or why not?
- (b) Is deadlock possible? If so, then assuming that T1 starts first, which transaction(s) would be rolled back (aborted) under the wait-die deadlock prevention scheme?
- (c) Is cascading rollback possible? If not, explain why not. If so, show a scenario that results in cascading rollback.

Consider the schedule below. The symbol $r_i(x)$ stands for a read by transaction T_i to item x, $w_i(x)$ stands for a write by T_i to item x and c_i stand for the commit of T_i . Suppose **timestamp-based scheduler** is used as the concurrency control protocol.

$$r_1(A), r_2(B), r_3(A), w_1(A), r_2(C), w_3(B), w_2(C), c_1, r_2(A), w_3(C), c_3, w_2(B), c_2$$

Operation	A			В			C		
	RTS	WTS	С	RTS	WTS	С	RTS	WTS	С

- a Use the above table to give describe what happens at each operation for the given timestamps:
 - i. TS(T1)=1, TS(T2)=2, TS(T3)=3.
 - ii. TS(T1)=2, TS(T2)=3, TS(T3)=1.

Justify whether the operation is accepted or rejected and the RTS, WTS and C (commit bit) of the data items after the operation is executed (or rejected).

b Explain the importance of the commit bit, what could have changed if we don't use the commit bit.