Homework

Frederick Robinson

6 February 2010

Contents

1	Chapter 9 Section 1														
	1.1	Problem 4	2												
	1.2	Problem 5	4												
	1.3	Problem 6	6												
2	Cha	apter 9 Section 2	7												
	2.1	Problem 1	7												
	2.2	Problem 2	8												
	2.3	Problem 7	9												
	2.4	Problem 8	9												
3	Cha	apter 9 Section 4	L O												
	3.1	Problem 1	10												

4	Chapter 9 Section 5														12														
	4.1	Problem	5 .																										12

1 Chapter 9 Section 1

1.1 Problem 4

1.1.1 Question

Prove that the ideals (x) and (x,y) are prime ideals in $\mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ but only the latter ideal is a maximal idea.

1.1.2 Answer

I claim that (x) is a prime ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x,y]$.

Proof. Let $ab \in (x)$ be the product of two elements in $\mathbb{Q}[x,y]$. We wish to show that either $a \in (x)$ or $b \in (x)$. Since $ab \in (x)$ we know that each term of ab has at least one x. Assume towards a contradiction that neither $a \in (x)$ nor $b \in (x)$. Then each of a and b contain at least one term which has no x. Hence, their product contains a terms which is the product of these terms and contains no x. Contradiction.

I claim that (x, y) is a prime ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$.

Proof. Let $ab \in (x,y)$ be the product of two elements in $\mathbb{Q}[x,y]$. We wish to show that either $a \in (x,y)$ or $b \in (x,y)$. Since $ab \in (x,y)$ we know

that each term of this product must contain an x or a y. Assume towards a contradiction that $a \notin (x,y)$ and $b \notin (x,y)$. Then neither has the property that each term contains an x or a y. Thus each has at least one term which contains neither xs nor ys. However, the product of such a term contains neither xs nor ys. Contradiction.

I claim that (x, y) is a maximal ideal.

Proof. Suppose that (x,y) is not a maximal ideal, then there exists some other ideal say I such that $(x,y) \subsetneq I \subsetneq \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$. Since every element of $\mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ which contains only terms with x and y is contained in (x,y) it must be that I contains at least one element say k such that k has at least one term with neither xs nor ys. However $I \subset (x,y,k) = \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$. In particular since (x,y,k) is an ideal it must be that it is closed under addition. Furthermore there exists an element of (x,y) say l whose terms containing x and y are the same as those of k but with opposite sign since (x,y) contains each element of $\mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ whose terms each have x and y. This means that $k+l \in (x,y,k) = c$ for some constant term c. Then, since an ideal must be closed under multiplication by elements of the ring and since \mathbb{Q} is closed under multiplicative inverse $1 \in (x,y,k) \Rightarrow (x,y,k) = \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$

I claim that (x) is not a maximal ideal.

Proof. First observe that $(x) \subset (x,y)$ by definition of finitely generated ideals. Moreover I claim that $(x) \neq (x,y)$. In particular though y is an element of the latter ideal it is not in the former.

1.2 Problem 5

1.2.1 Question

Prove that (x, y) and (2, x, y) are prime ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ but only the latter ideal is a maximal ideal.

1.2.2 Answer

I claim that (x, y) is a prime ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$.

Proof. Let $ab \in (x,y)$ be the product of two elements in $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$. We wish to show that either $a \in (x,y)$ or $b \in (x,y)$. Since $ab \in (x,y)$ we know that each term of this product must contain an x or a y. Assume towards a contradiction that $a \notin (x,y)$ and $b \notin (x,y)$. Then neither has the property that each term contains an x or a y. Thus each has at least one term which contains neither xs nor ys. However, the product of such a term contains neither xs nor ys. Contradiction.

I claim that (2, x, y) is a prime ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$.

Proof. Let $ab \in (2, x, y)$ be the product of two elements in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. We wish to show that either $a \in (2, x, y)$ or $b \in (2, x, y)$. Since $ab \in (x, y)$ we know that each term of this product must contain an x or a y except for possibly a constant term which must be a multiple of 2 if it is present. Assume towards a contradiction that $a \notin (x, y)$ and $b \notin (x, y)$. Then neither has the property that each term contains an x or a y except for a constant term which must

be a multiple of 2 if present. Thus each has a constant term which is not a multiple of 2. However, the product of these terms is not 2 since in \mathbb{Z} the only numbers whose product is a multiple of 2 are themselves multiples of 2 (or 1, but if this is the case then the other must be a multiple of 2). Contradiction.

I claim that (2, x, y) is a maximal ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$.

Proof. Suppose towards a contradiction that there exists some ideal say I such that $(2, x, y) \subsetneq I \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. In particular I must contain some element of $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ which is not in (2, x, y). Since each element of \mathbb{Z} which has no constant term is in (2, x, y), our new element of I must have a constant term. Also, since each member of $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ whose constant term is a multiple of 2 is a member of (2, x, y) the constant term of our new element must not be a multiple of 2. So, we have established that there exists $k \in I$ such that k has a constant term which is of the form k = 2n + 1 for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, since I is a superset of (2, x, y) and must be closed under addition (by definition of an ideal) it must also contain just k since in (2, x, y) there is an element whose nonconstant terms are the same as those of k but with opposite sign. Similarly by closure under addition $1 \in I$ since $-2n \in (2, x, y) \Rightarrow 2n + 1 - 2n = 1 \in I$. Thus, $I = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$.

I claim that (x, y) is not a maximal ideal.

Proof. By definition of finitely generated ideals we have that $(x, y) \subset (2, x, y)$. Furthermore since in particular $2 \in (2, x, y)$ but $2 \notin (x, y)$ we have $(x, y) \neq (x, y)$

(2, x, y). Thus, $(x, y) \subset (2, x, y) \subset \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ and (x, y) is not a maximal ideal.

1.3 Problem 6

1.3.1 Question

Prove that (x, y) is not a principal ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$.

1.3.2 Answer

I claim that (x, y) is not a principal ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$.

Proof. In particular there exist no element k such that (k) = (x, y). For suppose towards a contradiction that there exists such a k. Then, each member of (x, y) may be written as a product of k and a member of $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$. In particular since $x, y \in (x, y)$ we have that $x = k \cdot l$ and $y = k \cdot m$. However this implies that $k \in \mathbb{Q}$, but (x, y) contains no constant polynomials. Contradiction.

2 Chapter 9 Section 2

2.1 Problem 1

2.1.1 Question

Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \ge 1$ and let bars denote passage to the quotient F[x]/(f(x)). Prove that for each $\overline{g(x)}$ there is a unique polynomial $g_0(x)$ of degree $\le n-1$ such that $\overline{g(x)} = \overline{g_0(x)}$ (equivalently, the elements $\overline{1}, \overline{x}, \ldots, \overline{x^{n-1}}$ are a basis of the vector space F[x]/(f(x)) over F in particular, the dimension of this space is n). [Use the Division Algorithm.]

2.1.2 Answer

I claim that for each $\overline{g(x)}$ there is a unique polynomial $g_0(x)$ of degree $\leq n-1$ such that $\overline{g(x)} = \overline{g_0(x)}$

Proof. Let g(x) be a polynomial over F of degree m > n and maximal coefficient is z. Then, if we take the sum $g(x) - zx^{m-n}f(x)$ we will get an element of the same equivalence class mod f(x) as g(x) since we are just subtracting by a multiple of f(x). Since we can repeat this as long as the degree of g(x) is greater than or equal to that of f(x) we can use this method to generate a representative $g_0(x)$ of $\overline{g(x)}$ which has degree $\leq n-1$.

Moreover $g_0(x)$ is the unique such polynomial with degree $\leq n-1$ for any two polynomials h(x) and j(x) whose degree are is less than that of f(x) are distinct mod f(x). Suppose not, then there would exist an element

 $l(x) \in (f(x))$ such that l(x) + j(x) = h(x) However each potential l(x) can be written as f(x)t(x) = l(x) for some nonzero $t(x) \in F[x]$. So we get f(x)t(x) + j(x) = h(x). However, any product of f(x) with some other nonzero polynomial has degree at least that of f(x) but then $N(f(x)t(x)) \ge n$ whereas $N(h(x)) \le n - 1$. Contradiction.

2.2 Problem 2

2.2.1 Question

Let F be a finite field of order q and let f(x) be a polynomial in F[x] of degree $n \geq 1$. Prove that F[x]/(f(x)) has q^n elements. [Use the preceding exercise.]

2.2.2 Answer

I claim that if F is a finite field of order q and f(x) a polynomial in F[x] of degree $n \ge 1$ then F[x]/(f(x)) has q^n elements.

Proof. Let $g(x) \in F[x]$. By the previous exercise $\overline{g(x)}$ has a unique representative in F[x]/(f(x)) with degree $\leq n-1$. There are q^n polynomials of degree strictly less than n. Each x^n term has one of the q members of F as its coefficient. Since there are q^n such elements there are q^n distinct equivalence classes in F[x]/(f(x)). Each equivalence class corresponding to a given polynomial of degree $\leq n-1$ is nonempty since in particular it contains the representative polynomial.

2.3 Problem 7

2.3.1 Question

Determine all the ideals of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2, x^3 + 1)$.

2.3.2 Answer

By an isomorphism theorem we can write

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2, x^3 + 1) \cong (\mathbb{Z}[x]/2)/((2, x^3 + 1)/(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^3 + 1)$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}/((x+1)(x^2+x+1))$$

and by Proposition 16 this is just

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}/(x+1) \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}/(x^2+x+1)$$

So the ideals of this ring are just multiples of $\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}/(x+1)$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}/(x^2+x+1)$

2.4 Problem 8

2.4.1 Question

Determine the greatest common divisor of $a(x) = x^3 - 2$ and b(x) = x + 1 in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and write it as a linear combination (in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$) of a(x) and b(x).

2.4.2 Answer

Both a(x) and b(x) are irreducible. The former is irreducible since it has no roots in \mathbb{Q} , and the latter because it has no constant rational divisors. Thus, by proposition 13 page 287 their greatest common factor is just 1. We can write 1 as a linear combination in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ as

$$1 = \frac{1}{3}(x+1)(x^2 - x + 1) - 1(x^3 - 2)$$

3 Chapter 9 Section 4

3.1 Problem 1

3.1.1 Question

Determine whether the following polynomials are irreducible in the rings indicated. For those that are reducible, determine their factorization into irreducibles. The notation \mathbb{F}_p denotes the finite field $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p a prime.

- 1. $x^2 + x + 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$.
- 2. $x^3 + x + 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$.
- 3. $x^4 + 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_5[x]$.
- 4. $x^4 + 10x^2 + 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

3.1.2 Answer

- 1. $x^2 + x + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ since it has no roots and its coefficients have no multiple in common.
- 2. $x^3 + x + 1 = (x 1)(x^2 + x + 2)$ in $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$.
- 3. $x^4 + 1$ has no roots in $\mathbb{F}_5[x]$. Thus any factorization of $x^4 + 1$ must be as a product of order 2 terms. Moreover since the only pairs of elements of \mathbb{F}_5 whose product is 1 are 2,3 and 4,4 and 1,1 there are but a few possibilities for factorizations. Checking each as in the next part reveals that there are no such factorizations.
- 4. $x^4 + 10x^2 + 1$ has no roots in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ since it is monic and by Proposition 11, $1 + 10 + 1 = 12 \neq 0$ implies it has no roots. Thus, if it is reducible it must be as a product of order 2 terms. However, this being the case each such term must be of the form $\pm x^2 + ax \pm 1$ for some a since in \mathbb{Z} the only numbers whose product is 1 are 1 and -1. Thus we must have a product of one of the following forms:

$$1 + ax + bx - 2x^{2} + abx^{2} - ax^{3} - bx^{3} + x^{4}$$

$$1 - ax - bx - 2x^{2} + abx^{2} + ax^{3} + bx^{3} + x^{4}$$

$$1 - ax - bx + 2x^{2} + abx^{2} - ax^{3} - bx^{3} + x^{4}$$

$$1 + ax + bx + 2x^{2} + abx^{2} + ax^{3} + bx^{3} + x^{4}$$

of course up to change in a and b the only of these which are unique are

$$1 - ax - bx - 2x^2 + abx^2 + ax^3 + bx^3 + x^4$$

and

$$1 + ax + bx + 2x^2 + abx^2 + ax^3 + bx^3 + x^4$$

If the former were the case then we would have ab-2=10 and -a-b=0 and a+b=0. This is a contradiction however since the $-a-b=0 \Rightarrow a=b=0$ but $0-2 \neq 10$. If the latter were the case we would get a contradiction as well since we would have a+b=0 and 2+ab=10 and a+b=0. The first equation implies that $ab \leq 0$ but this is inconsistent with the second equation. Thus x^4+10x^2+1 is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

4 Chapter 9 Section 5

4.1 Problem 5

4.1.1 Question

Let φ denote Euler's φ -function. Prove the identity $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$, where the sum is extended over all the divisors d of n. [First observe that the identity is valid when $n = p^m$ is the power of a prime p since the sum telescopes. Write $n = p^m n'$ where p does not divide n'. Prove that $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = \sum_{d''|p^m} \varphi(d'') \sum_{d'|n'} \varphi(d')$ by multiplying out the right hand side and using

the multiplicativity $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ when a and b are relatively prime. Use induction to complete the proof. This problem may be done alternatively by letting Z be the cyclic group of order n and showing that because Z contains a unique subgroup of order d for each d dividing n, the number of elements of Z of order d is $\varphi(d)$. Then |Z| is the sum of $\varphi(d)$ as d runs over all divisors of n.]

4.1.2 Answer

Let Z be the cyclic group of order n. Z contains a unique subgroup of order d for each d which divides n by the Fundamental Theorem of Cyclic Groups. In particular if $Z = \langle x \rangle$ these subgroups are given by the powers of $x^{n/d}$. Since the members of such a subgroups whose order is d is just $\varphi(d)$ and an element of a group can have order d if and only if it is a member of a cyclic subgroup of order d we see that the number of elements in Z whose order is d is given by $\varphi(d)$. Since the members of a cyclic group are all of some finite order less than that of the group we see in particular that $|Z| = n = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d)$ as claimed.