

# Hatcher

Frederick Robinson

14 February 2010

## Hatcher 4.1

### 1 Question 16

#### 1.1 Question

Show that a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between connected CW complexes factors as a composition  $X \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow Y$  where the first map induces isomorphisms on  $\pi_i$  for  $i \leq n$  and the second map induces isomorphisms on  $\pi_i$  for  $i \geq n + 1$ .

#### 1.2 Answer

Adapt Example 4.17 on page 354.

Construct  $Z_n$  as follows. Start with a copy of  $X$ , then add  $(n + 1)$ -cells to it corresponding to generators of  $\pi_{n+1}(Y)$ . This space has a  $\pi_{n+1}$  that is too large but by adding  $n + 2$ -cells we can get the appropriate group.

These  $n + 2$ -cells (as well as the just-added  $n + 1$  cells) map to  $Y$ . by taking generators of  $\pi_{n+1}$  to generators in  $Y$ , and relations to relations. Repeating this process infinitely many times, we are done.

## Hatcher 4.2

### 2 Question 1

#### 2.1 Question

Use homotopy groups to show there is no retraction  $\mathbb{R}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^k$  if  $n > k > 0$ .

## 2.2 Answer

First we'll make some calculations of the homotopy groups of  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ .

*Proof.* Recall that we have the fibration

$$S^0 \rightarrow S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^n$$

for all  $n$ . So, we may write the long exact sequence of the fibration as

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_k(S^0) \rightarrow \pi_k(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(S^0) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \pi_0(S^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Clearly,

$$\pi_n(S^0) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & n = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Thus,  $k > 1$ ,  $\pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^n)$  fits into the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_k(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow 0$$

and we have  $\pi_k(S^n) \cong \pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^n)$  for  $k > 1$ . At the end of this long exact sequence we have

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_1(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow \pi_0(S^0) \rightarrow \pi_0(S^n) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_1(S^n) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_0(S^n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Assuming that  $n > 1$ , this is just

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  for  $n > 1$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}P^1 \cong S^1$  we have  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^1) \cong \pi_1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  in the case of  $n = 1$ .

So, by substituting in the values of  $\pi_k(S^n)$  where appropriate we have a table of homotopy groups that looks like

	$\pi_1$	$\pi_2$	$\pi_3$	$\pi_4$	$\pi_5$	$\pi_6$	$\cdots$
$\mathbb{R}P^1$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	0	0	$\cdots$
$\mathbb{R}P^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$	$\cdots$
$\mathbb{R}P^3$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$	$\cdots$
$\mathbb{R}P^4$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\cdots$
$\mathbb{R}P^5$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\cdots$
$\mathbb{R}P^6$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\cdots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$

□

Now, it is easy to see that there is no retraction  $\mathbb{R}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^k$  if  $n > k > 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose towards a contradiction that there is such a retraction  $r : \mathbb{R}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^k$ . But then we should be able to factor the group  $\pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^k)$  by

$$\pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^k) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^n) \xrightarrow{r_*} \pi_k(\mathbb{R}P^k)$$

first including, then retracting. This is a contradiction, since the middle group is either 0, or  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  while the outer one is  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Question 2

#### 3.1 Question

Show the action of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n)$  on  $\pi_n(\mathbb{R}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is trivial for  $n$  odd and non-trivial for  $n$  even.

#### 3.2 Answer

Let's consider  $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  the unit sphere. Then  $\pi_n(S^n)$  is generated by the identity map on the sphere, and  $-1 \in \pi_n(S^n)$  is generated by any negative determinant member of  $SO(n+1)$  (which fixes the basepoint). Furthermore,  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  is obtained by associating antipodal points in the sphere. That is,  $x \sim y$  if  $x = -Iy$  for  $I$  the identity matrix in  $SO(n+1)$ . Denote by  $p$  the map which sends  $S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^n$  by this association.

Since  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) = \mathbb{Z}/2$  it suffices to check that the nontrivial element of  $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n)$  sends the generator of  $\pi_n(\mathbb{R}P^n)$  to itself with  $n$  odd and to  $-1$  for  $n$  even. This nontrivial element has a representative whose preimage under  $p$  is a great circle in  $S^n$  going through the base point of our homotopy.

Assuming without loss of generality that this basepoint has coordinate  $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , we see that acting by this element induces a (not basepoint preserving) rotation in our sphere, exchanging the basepoint, with the opposite pole. That is, it acts by the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Note: there is nothing special about this particular matrix, it just needs to have -1 in the first entry, and determinant 1.)

Now, taking into account that antipodal points are associated, we see the desired result. A pair,  $(x, -Ix)$  is taken by this map to  $A(x, -Ix) = (Ax, -Ax) = -A(-Ix, x)$ . In the case that  $n + 1$  is odd,  $-A$  has negative determinant, however if  $n + 1$  is even,  $-A$  has positive determinant. Since

## 4 Question 6

### 4.1 Question

Show that the relative form of the Hurewicz Theorem in dimension  $n$  implies the absolute form in dimension  $n - 1$  by considering the pair  $(CX, X)$  where  $CX$  is the cone on  $X$ .

### 4.2 Answer

The Hurewicz Theorem states:

If  $(X, A)$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -connected pair of path-connected spaces with  $n \geq 2$  and  $A \neq 0$  then  $h' : \pi'_n(X, A, x_0) \rightarrow H_n(X, A)$  is an isomorphism and  $H_i(X, A) = 0$  for  $i < n$ .

The absolute version is just the result of taking  $A$  to be the basepoint. Namely:

If  $X$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -connected space with  $n \geq 2$  then  $h' : \pi'_n(X, x_0) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  is an isomorphism and  $H_i(X) = 0$  for  $i < n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X \neq 0$  be an  $(n - 2)$ -connected space for  $n \geq 2$ . Then, as the pair  $(CX, X)$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected, and each is path connected,  $X \neq 0$ ,  $h' : \pi'_n(CX, X, x_0) \rightarrow H_n(CX, X)$  is an isomorphism and  $H_i(CX, X) = 0$  for  $i < n$  by the relative Hurewicz Theorem.

However this implies that we have  $H_i(X) = 0$  for  $i < n - 1$  by the long exact sequence of relative homology groups. the fact that  $h' : \pi'_n(CX, X, x_0) \rightarrow H_n(CX, X)$  is an isomorphism implies that  $h' : \pi'_n(X, x_0) \rightarrow H_n(X)$  is an isomorphism in one lower dimension, since  $\pi_n(CX, X) \cong \pi_{n-1}(X)$ , and similarly for homology groups.  $\square$

## 5 Question 7

### 5.1 Question

Construct a  $CW$  complex  $X$  with prescribed homotopy groups  $\pi_i(X)$  and prescribed actions of  $\pi_1(X)$  on the  $\pi_i(X)$ 's.

## **5.2 Answer**