# Homework 4

# Frederick Robinson

# 27 April 2011

# Chapter 4

# 1 Problem 5

# 1.1 Question

1

Let P=0. classify each of the following as having a removable singularity, a pole, or an essential singularity at P.

•		1
		_
		_
		_

2. 
$$\sin\frac{1}{z}$$

3. 
$$\frac{1}{z^3} - \cos z$$

4. 
$$z\cdot e^{1/z}\cdot e^{-1/z^2}$$

5. 
$$\frac{\sin z}{z}$$

6. 
$$\frac{\cos z}{z}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^k z^k}{z^3}$$

#### Frederick Robinson

Answer

1.2

1. Pole.  $\lim_{z\to 0} f(z) = \infty$ .

2. Essential Singularity.  $\lim_{z\to 0} f(z)$  does not exist.

3. Pole.  $\lim_{z\to 0} f(z) = \infty$ .

4. Essential Singularity.  $\lim_{z\to 0} f(z)$  does not exist.

5. Removable Singularity.  $\lim_{z\to 0} f(z) = 1$ .

6. Pole.  $\lim_{z\to 0} f(z) = \infty$ .

7. Pole.  $f(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^k z^{k-3}$  which is a pole by our analysis of Laurent series.

# 2 Problem 6

# 2.1 Question

Prove that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(2^j)} \cdot z^{-j}$$

converges for  $z \neq 0$  and defines a function which has an essential singularity at P = 0.

### 2.2 Answer

Proof. Let |z| = r > 0.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |2^{-(2^j)} \cdot z^{-j}| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(2^j)} \cdot r^{-j}$$

Now, compute

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{2^{-(2^{n+1})} r^{-(n+1)}}{2^{-(2^n)} r^{-n}} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} 2^{-(2^n)} r^{-1} = 0$$

Hence, the series is convergent for |z| > 0.

At z=0 there are infinitely many terms of the Laurent series with nonzero coefficient, and negative exponent. Thus, there is an essential singularity here.

# 3 Problem 8

# 3.1 Question

Let  $U = D(P, r) \setminus \{P\}$ . Prove the following two refined versions of Riemann's Theorem

- 1. If f is holomorphic on U and  $\lim_{z\to P}(z-P)\cdot f(z)=0$ , then f continues holomorphically across P (to all of U).
- 2. If f is holomorphic on U and if

$$\int_{U} |f(z)|^2 dx dy < \infty,$$

then f extends holomorphically across P.

[Hint: First show that if F is a holomorphic function on  $D(Q, \epsilon)$ , then

$$|F(Q)|^2 \le \frac{1}{\pi \epsilon^2} \int_{D(Q,\epsilon)} |F(z)|^2 dx dy$$

by using the Cauchy integral formula for  $F^2$  and writing the integral in polar coordinates centered at Q; cf. Exercise 33 in Chapter 3. In detail, observe that

$$\int_{D(Q,\epsilon)} |F(z)|^2 dx dy = \int_0^{\epsilon} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |F^2(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \right) r dr$$

$$\geq \int_0^{\epsilon} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} F^2(re^{i\theta}) d\theta \right| r dr$$

$$= \int_0^{\epsilon} |2\pi F^2(Q)| r dr$$

$$= \pi \epsilon^2 |F^2(Q)|.$$

Use this to show that F as in the statement of the problem has at most a simple pole or removable singularlity at P (not an essential singularity). Then show that  $\int |F|^2 = +\infty$  if F has a pole.]

#### 3.2 Answer

1. Let  $f = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_j x^j$  be the Laurent series of f. The function z - pf(z) has series with coefficients  $b_i = a_{i-1}$ . Finally, by our classification of laurent series, together with the fact that the limit at P is 0, we have that  $b_i = 0$  for  $i \leq 0 \Rightarrow a_i = 0$  for i < 0, and we have the desired result.

# 4 Problem 9

# 4.1 Question

Prove that if  $f: D(P,r) \setminus \{P\} \to \mathbb{C}$  has an essential singularity at P, then for each positive integer N there is a sequence  $\{z_n\} \subseteq D(P,r) \setminus \{P\}$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = P$  and

 $|(z_n - P)^N \cdot f(z_n)| \ge N.$ 

[Informally, we can say that f "blows up" faster than any positive power of 1/(z-P) along some sequence converging to P.]

### 4.2 Answer

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then, there exists N such that for all sequences  $\{z_n\}$  converging to P,

 $|(z_n - P)^N \cdot f(z_n)| < N.$ 

So, consider the function  $g = f(z)(z_n - P)^N$ . This is bounded on our region by assumption, and therefore has a removable singularity. However, this means that the Laurent series has no nonzero negative power terms, and thus  $f(z) = g(z)(z - P)^{-k}$  has all but finitely many negative power terms zero, a contradiction, since this would mean that f has a pole.  $\square$ 

### 5 Problem 13

#### 5.1 Question

Calculate the annulus of convergence (including any boundary points) for each of the following Laurent series:

1.

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-j} z^j$$

2.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 4^{-j} z^j + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} 3^j z^j$$

3.

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} z^j/j^2$$

4.

$$\sum_{j=-\infty, j\neq 0}^{\infty} z^j/j^j$$

5.

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{10} z^j / |j|! \quad (0! = 1)$$

6.

$$\sum_{j=-20}^{\infty} j^2 z^j$$

### 5.2 Answer

1.

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-j} z^j = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (\frac{z}{2})^j$$

Converges for  $0 \le |z| < 2$ : that is, on D(0,2).

2. The series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 4^{-j} z^j$$

converges on  $0 \le |z| < 4$ , while the series

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} 3^j z^j$$

converges for |z| > 1/3. Thus, taking these together, we have convergence on A(0,1/3,4), but nowhere on the boundary.

3. We use the root test

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} j^{-2/j} = 1$$

so, the positive part of the series converges on D(0,1). However, the negative part converges on  $A(0,1,\infty)$ , so if there is any convergence it must be on |z|=1. There is convergence for all such, as

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 1/j^2 = 2\sum_{1}^{\infty} 1/j^2$$

Hence the answer is just A(0,1,1).

4. If we split the sum, considering it as

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{1} z^{j}/j^{J} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z^{j}/j^{J}$$

it is clearly divergent, as the first piece converges, whereas the second does not.

5. We can discard the (finitely many) terms with j > 0. Then, find the radius of convergence in 1/z by the root test

$$1/\limsup_{j\to\infty} \sqrt[j]{|j|!} = 0$$

Thus, it converges nowhere.

6. We just discard negative terms and apply the root test to get

$$1/\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[j]{j^2} = 1$$

So, we have convergence on D(0,1). Clearly there is no convergence for |z|=1.

# 6 Problem 15

#### 6.1 Question

Make the discussion of pp. 117-118 completely explicit by doing the following proofs and continuing with Exercise 16:

- 1. Prove that if f is holomorphic on  $D(P,r)\setminus\{P\}$  and f has a pole at P, then 1/f has a removable singularity at P with the "filled in" value of 1/f at P equal to 0.
- 2. Let k be the order of the zero of 1/f at P. Prove that  $(z-P)^k f$  has a removable singularity at P.
- 3. Conversely show that if g is holomorphic on  $D(P,r) \setminus \{P\}$ , if g is not bounded, and if there is a  $m < 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(z-P)^m g$  is bounded, then g has a pole at P. Prove that the least such m is precisely the order of the pole.

#### 6.2 Answer

1. The function 1/f is holomorphic on some disk around P, except potentially at P, since f is.

Since f has a removable singularity at P, for any n > 0 there exists some  $\epsilon > 0$  such that |f(x)| > n for all  $|x - P| < \epsilon$ . Hence, taking 1/f we get convergence to 0. Also, observe that 1/f is bounded in modulus (by 1/n) on this disk of radius  $\epsilon$ . Thus, by Riemann's Theorem, there is a removable singularity, and the "filled in" value is 0 since this is the limit we computed.

- 2. By definition, f has a pole of order k. Then  $f(z-P)^k$  has a Laurent series expansion with coefficients  $a_j = 0$  for all j < 0, and thus has a removable singularity as claimed.
- 3. If the assumption is satisfied then  $(z-P)^m g$  has a removable singularity by the Riemann removable singularity theorem. Hence, it has a Laurent series expansion with  $a_j = 0$  for all j < 0. Dividing by  $(z-P)^m$  we have a Laurent series for g which has  $a_j = 0$  for all  $-\infty < j < -k$ . This is the Laurent series of a function with a pole of order k or less.

If we use the minimal k, we get precisely the order.

# 7 Problem 18

### 7.1 Question

Let  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  be a nonconstant entire function. Define g(z) = f(1/z). Prove that f is a polynomial if and only if g has a pole at 0. In other words, f is transcendental (nonpolynomial) if and only if g has an essential singularity at 0.

#### 7.2 Answer

Clearly, if f is a polynomial, g has a pole at zero. In particular,

*Proof.* If f is a polynomial, its modulus diverges at infinity. Since,  $f(\epsilon) = f(1/\epsilon)$ , and  $1/\epsilon \to \infty$  as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , this suffices to show that g has a pole at 0.

Conversely, if g has a pole at 0, then f is a polynomial.

*Proof.* Note that since f is entire and holomorphic, it has a Taylor series which converges everywhere. Moreover, our definition of g means that its Laurent series has coefficients  $a_j = b_{-j}$  for  $b_j$  the Taylor series coefficients of f.

Since g has a pole, it has  $a_j = 0$  for all  $-\infty < j < -k$ . Moreover, as it arises from a Taylor series as explained above, it has  $a_j = 0$  for j > 0. Thus, only finitely many coefficients for the Taylor series of f are nonzero, and f is a polynomial.

# 8 Problem 34a

# 8.1 Question

Use the calculus of residues to compute the following integral:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\partial D(0,5)} f(z) dz$$

where

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(z+1)(z+2i)}.$$

#### 8.2 Answer

The function f is holomorphic on  $D(0,5) \setminus \{-1,-2i\}$ . Thus, the integral is by the residue theorem

$$\operatorname{Res}(-1) \cdot \operatorname{Ind}(-1) + \operatorname{Res}(-2i) \cdot \operatorname{Ind}(-2i) = \operatorname{Res}(-1) + \operatorname{Res}(-2i)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{-1+2i} + \frac{-2i}{-2i+1}$$

$$= 1$$

where the second equality comes from Prop 4.5.6.

# 9 Problem 34d

#### 9.1 Question

Use the calculus of residues to compute the following integral:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz$$

where

$$f(z) = \frac{e^z}{z(z+1)(z+2)}$$

and  $\gamma$  is the negatively (clockwise) oriented triangle with vertices  $1 \pm i$  and -3.

### 9.2 Answer

Our f is holomorphic on {interior of  $\gamma$ } \  $\{0, -1, -2\}$ . Thus, by the residue theorem the integral is just

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}(0) \cdot \operatorname{Ind}(0) + \operatorname{Res}(-1) \cdot \operatorname{Ind}(-1) \\ + \operatorname{Res}(2) \cdot \operatorname{Ind}(2) &= \operatorname{Res}(0) + \operatorname{Res}(-1) + \operatorname{Res}(-2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{2e^2} \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2e^2} - \frac{2e}{2e^2} + \frac{1}{2e^2} \end{aligned}$$

Where again the second equality comes from Prop 4.5.6.

# 10 Problem 34g

### 10.1 Question

Use the calculus of residues to compute the following integral:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz$$

where

$$f(z) = \frac{\sin z}{z(z+2i)^3}$$

and  $\gamma$  is as in Figure 4.12.

#### 10.2 Answer

Our f is holomorphic on {interior of  $\gamma$ } \ {0}. Thus, by the residue theorem the integral is just

$$Res(0) \cdot Ind(0) = \frac{\sin 0}{(2i)^3}$$
$$= 0$$

Where again the second equality comes from Prop 4.5.6.

# 11 Problem 47

# 11.1 Question

Use the calculus of residues to compute the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos x}{1 + x^4} dx.$$

#### 11.2 Answer

Let's choose  $\gamma_R^1$  and  $\gamma_R^2$  as in Figure 4.4. That is,  $\gamma_R^1$  is a straight line from -R to R, and  $\gamma_R^2$  is the upper half of the circle centered at the origin and passing through these two points.

Integrating

$$\oint_{\gamma_R^1 + \gamma_R^2} \frac{\cos x}{1 + z^4}$$

we have by the residue theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}(e^{\pi i/4}) \cdot \operatorname{Ind}(e^{\pi i/4}) + \operatorname{Res}(e^{3\pi i/4}) \cdot \operatorname{Ind}(e^{3\pi i/4}) &= \operatorname{Res}(e^{\pi i/4}) + \operatorname{Res}(e^{3\pi i/4}) \\ &= \frac{\cos e^{\pi i/4}}{1 \cdot i \cdot (1+i)} + \frac{\cos e^{3\pi i/4}}{-1 \cdot i \cdot (-1+i)} \\ &= \frac{\cos e^{\pi i/4}}{i-1} + \frac{\cos e^{3\pi i/4}}{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

The integral over  $\gamma_R^2$  is bounded above by  $(\pi R)/(1+R^4)$ , which goes to 0 in the limit. Therefore, the value computed above is the integral of just the portion we want.

# 12 Problem 52

### 12.1 Question

Use the calculus of residues to compute the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{p(x)} dx$$

where p(x) is any polynomial with no zeros on the nonnegative real axis.

# 12.2 Answer

We just use contours that go from 0 to R along the real axis, and from 0 to R along a circle in the 1st quadrant.

The total integral is given by the residue theorem to be

 $2\pi i$ 

Clearly the contour away from the real line has integral zero, hence this is the answer we want.