

**INVESTIGATION OF TURKEY'S CARBON DIOXIDE PROBLEM
BY NUMERICAL MODELING**

ALİ CAN

JANUARY 2006

INVESTIGATION OF TURKEY'S CARBON DIOXIDE PROBLEM
BY NUMERICAL MODELING

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO
THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NATURAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES
OF
MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

BY

ALİ CAN

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
IN
ENGINEERING SCIENCES

JANUARY 2006

Approval of the Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences

Prof.Dr.Canan ÖZGEN
Director

I certify that this thesis satisfies all the requirements as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Prof.Dr. Turgut TOKDEMİR
Head of Department

This is to certify that we have read this thesis and that in our opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Prof. Dr. Aysel T. ATIMTAY
Co-Supervisor

Prof.Dr.Turgut TOKDEMİR
Supervisor

Examining Committee Members

Prof.Dr.Kahraman ÜNLÜ (METU, Env.E.)

Prof.Dr.Turgut TOKDEMİR (METU, E.S.)

Prof.Dr.Aysel T. ATIMTAY (METU, Env.E.)

Assoc.Prof.Dr.Ahmet N. ERASLAN (METU, E.S.)

Assist.Prof.Dr.Ayşegül LATİFOĞLU (Hac.Unv., Env.E.)

I hereby declare that all information in this document has been obtained and presented in accordance with academic rules and ethical conduct. I also declare that, as required by these rules and conduct, I have fully cited and referenced all material and results that are not original to this work.

Name, Last name : Ali CAN

Signature :

ABSTRACT

INVESTIGATION OF TURKEY’S CARBON DIOXIDE PROBLEM BY NUMERICAL MODELING

CAN , Ali

Ph.D., Department of Engineering Sciences

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Turgut TOKDEMİR

Co-Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Aysel ATIMTAY

January 2006, 238 pages

CO₂ emission is very important, because it is responsible for about 60% of the “Greenhouse Effect”. The major objectives of this study were to prepare a CO₂ emission inventory of Turkey based on districts and provinces by using the fuel consumption data with respect to its sources, to find the CO₂ uptake rate of forests in Turkey based on provinces and districts, and to estimate the ground level concentrations of CO₂ across Turkey using U.S. EPA’s ISCLT3 model for the preparation of ground level concentration maps. The basic sources of the CO₂ emission were taken as households, manufacturing industries, thermal power plants and road vehicles. The sinks of the CO₂ were forests. The CO₂ uptake by forests was calculated using the annual increment of forest biomass.

The results of the CO₂ emission inventory conducted in this study between the years 1990 and 2003 showed that the CO₂ emission in 1990 was 142.45 million tones/year and the highest emission was calculated in 2000 with a value of 207.97 million tones/year.

The regional distribution of CO₂ emissions showed that the Marmara Region emits the highest regional CO₂ emission throughout the years with an

average value of 54.76 million tones/year. It was also concluded that Marmara and Aegean Regions are responsible for half of the CO₂ emission of Turkey.

The results of the CO₂ uptake calculations showed that the CO₂ uptake of forests in the coastal zone was higher than that in the inland zone. The CO₂ uptake in the Central Anatolia, Eastern Anatolia and South-Eastern Anatolia regions were 2.6, 1.9 and 1.1 million tons/year, respectively. The maximum CO₂ uptake is in the Black Sea region with a value of 16.4 million tons/year.

The highest ground level CO₂ concentrations without any sink effect were always obtained in the Marmara Region. However, the forest areas in this region decrease the concentrations considerably.

The dispersion model performance is determined highly without the result of the year 2002.

Keywords: Emission Inventory, Sink, Source, ISCLT3 Dispersion Model, IPCC Methods, CO₂ Emission, CO₂ Uptake

ÖZ

TURKİYE’DEKİ KARBON DİOKSİT PROBLEMİNİN SAYISAL MODELLEME İLE İNCELENMESİ

CAN , Ali

Doktora, Mühendislik Bilimleri Bölümü

Tez Yöneticisi: Prof.Dr. Turgut TOKDEMİR

Ortak Tez Yöneticisi: Prof.Dr. Aysel ATİMTAY

Ocak 2006, 238 sayfa

CO₂ emisyonu, Sera Gazı Etkisinin yaklaşık %60’ına sebep olmasından dolayı oldukça önemlidir. Bu çalışmanın en önemli hedefi ise, emisyon kaynaklarına göre yakıt tüketimlerini kullanarak il ve ilçe düzeyinde Türkiye CO₂ emisyon envanterini hazırlamak, il ve ilçe düzeyinde Türkiye ormanlarının CO₂ soğurmasını bulmak ve U.S. EPA ISCLT3 modeli kullanarak Türkiye’deki yer seviyesi CO₂ konsantrasyonu, konsantrasyon haritaları hazırlayabilmek için tahmin etmektir. CO₂’in en önemli kaynakları, haneler, imalat sanayii, termik santraller ve ulaşım araçları olarak ele alınmıştır. CO₂’i soğurma mekanizmaları ise ormanlardır. Ormanlardaki CO₂ soğurması yıllık biokütle artışları kullanılarak hesaplanmıştır.

Bu çalışmadaki, 1990 ve 2003 yılları arasına ait CO₂ emisyon envanter sonuçları, en düşük CO₂ emisyon değerinin 1990 yılında 142.45 milyon ton ve en yüksek değer ise 2000 yılında 207.97 milyon ton olarak hesaplandığını göstermiştir.

Yıllar itibariyle en yüksek bölgesel CO₂ emisyonu, Marmara Bölgesinden ortalama 54.76 milyon ton/yıl olarak yayılmıştır. Ayrıca, Marmara ve Ege Bölgelerinde, Türkiye CO₂ emisyonunun yarısının atıldığı da tespit edilmiştir.

CO₂ soğurma hesaplarından elde edilen sonuçlara göre, kıyı bölgelerde ormanlar tarafından soğurulan CO₂, iç bölgelere göre daha yüksektir. İç Anadolu, Doğu Anadolu ve Güneydoğu Anadolu Bölgelerinde, CO₂ sırasıyla 2.6, 1.9 ve 1.1 milyon ton/yıl olarak soğurulmuştur. Karadeniz Bölgesinde CO₂ soğurması 16.4 milyon ton/yıl olarak maksimumdur.

Yer seviyesindeki soğurma olmaksızın, en yüksek CO₂ konsantrasyonu Marmara Bölgesinde elde edilmiştir. Ormanlar konsantrasyonu önemli ölçüde düşürmüştür.

Model dağılım performansının 2002 yılı verisi olmaksızın daha yüksek olduğu tespit edilmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Emisyon Envanteri, Soğurma, Kaynak, ISCLT3 Dağılım Modeli, IPCC Metodu, CO₂ Emisyonu, CO₂ Konsantrasyonu

To My Parents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to acknowledge my deep sense of profound gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Turgut TOKDEMİR for his illuminating and inspiring guidance and continuous encouragement throughout the course of the study.

I am deeply grateful to my co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Aysel ATIMTAY for her endless encouragement, supervision, suggestions, comments and sincere guidance.

Deep appreciations are extended to Prof. Dr. Kahraman ÜNLÜ and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmet ERASLAN for being in my thesis review committee and for constructive criticism and valuable suggestions throughout the course of this study. Thanks are also extended to Assist.Prof.Dr.Ayşegül LATİFOĞLU from Hacettepe University.

I am beholden to my father, Bayram CAN, my mother, Esma CAN, my sister and sister in law, Aygöl and Tanju ERDİ, and my brother and brother in law, Aytaç and Hazel CAN for their encouragement and support.

I would like to thank all my real friends in the Environmental Engineering Department and in the Engineering Science Departments.

I am grateful to my friend, Sevil UYGUR for her providing me his continuous help and valuable suggestions during this study.

Special thanks are due to Cenk BALÇIK and Cihan DÜNDAR for their endless support.

I extend my sincere thanks to my colleagues in the State Institute of Statistics.

The whole remains incomplete, if I do not record my sincerest thanks to two special people, Bengisu ERDİ and Bertan CAN for their endless support during this study.

I am thankful to Dr. Muhammad Tahir CHAUDHARY for his encouragement.

Finally, I wish to express my sincere thanks to Zehra ÜNAL for her valuable support.

PREVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PLAGIARISM	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ÖZ	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. General	1
1.2. The Objectives of the Study	6
1.3. The Scope of the Study	6
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	8
2.1. CO ₂ Measurement Stations	8
2.2. Previous Studies on CO ₂ Source	12
2.3. Previous Studies on CO ₂ Sinks	17
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	20
3.1. General	20
3.2. Emission Inventories	20
3.2.1. Construction of the Database	21
3.2.2. GIS Techniques	24
3.2.3. Statistical Methods	25
3.2.4. IPCC Methods	27
3.3. Uptake Inventories	30
3.4. Dispersion Model	32
3.4.1. ISCLT3 Model	32

3.4.2. Inputs to ISCLT3 Model	34
3.4.3. ISCLT3 Special Features	36
3.4.4. Methodology Followed in Calculating the Concentration at Receptor Points.....	36
3.4.5. ISCLT3 Model Evaluations	37
3.5. Meteorological Data of Turkey	41
3.5.1. Meteorological Data Required by ISCLT3 Model	43
3.5.2. Meteorological Data Processing	44
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	45
4.1. Results of Emission Inventories	45
4.1.1. CO ₂ Emission Inventories	45
4.1.1.1. Industries	51
4.1.1.2. Households	54
4.1.1.3. Thermal Power Plants	58
4.1.1.4. Road Vehicles	59
4.1.2. CO ₂ Uptake Inventories	63
4.1.2.1. Forest	64
4.1.2.2 Other	68
4.1.3. Uncertainties of Emission Inventories	69
4.2. Results of Dispersion Modelling	75
4.2.1. Dispersion of CO ₂ Without Sink Effect	75
4.2.1.1. Industries	82
4.2.1.2. Households	84
4.2.1.3. Thermal Power Plants	87
4.2.1.4. Road Vehicles	89
4.2.2. Dispersion of CO ₂ With Sink Effect	93
4.2.2.1. Industries	100
4.2.2.2. Households	102
4.2.2.3. Thermal Power Plants	103
4.2.2.4. Road Vehicles	106
4.2.3. Evaluations of Model Results	108

4.2.4. Sensitivity Analyses for Dispersion Modelling	112
5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE	
RECOMMENDATIONS	115
5.1. Conclusions	115
5.2. Future Recommendations	117
5.2.1. Reduction of CO ₂ Emissions	117
5.2.1.1. Different Scenarios	120
5.2.2. Using Renewable Energy	123
5.2.3. Future Studies	124
REFERENCES	126
APPENDICES	135
A. CONCENTRATION MAPS	135
B. EXAMPLES OF THE IPCC CALCULATIONS	140
C. DIGITIZED SCALED MAPS	153
D. GAUSSIAN DIPERSION MODEL	157
E. STARDATA COMPUTER PROGRAM	163
F. ISCLT3 MODEL INPUTS	167
G. WIND ROSES	185
H. THE MAPS OF THE INVENTORY RESULT	201
I. THE REGIONAL CO ₂ EMISSION	212
J. STATISTICAL TABLES AND RESULTS	220
K. GROUND LEVEL CO ₂ CONCENTRATION MAPS	226
L. NORMALIZED SENSITIVITY ANALYSES	235
CURRICULUM VITAE	237

LIST OF TABLES

Table

1.1	Sources, Sinks and Characteristics of Greenhouse Gases	3
2.1	CO ₂ Measurement Stations around Turkey	9
3.1	Digitized scaled maps	25
3.2	TOE Factors and Calorific Values of Fuels	29
3.3	Carbon Emission Factor	29
3.4	Fraction of Carbon Oxidized	30
3.5	Factors for the estimation of biomass	31
3.6	Pasquill Stability Classes	35
3.7	Critical z values	40
3.8	EPA standards for the mixing height	42
3.9	Annual minimum and maximum averages of meteorological parameters over Turkey for 1995	43
4.1	Regional total CO ₂ emission between the years 1990-2010	51
4.2	Regional CO ₂ emission from industries between the years 1990-2010	53
4.3	Regional CO ₂ emission from households between the years 1990-2010	57
4.4	Regional CO ₂ emission from power plants between the years 1990-2010	60
4.5	Regional CO ₂ emission from road vehicles between the years 1990-2010	63
4.6	Distribution of the forest area within geographical regions and regional CO ₂ uptake	67
4.7	Correlations between emission series of districts, provinces and regions	70
4.8	Results of the K-Mean Cluster Analysis	110
4.9	Cases and Results of the Cronbach Alfa Reliability Analysis ...	111
4.10	Correlation Coefficients and Covariance between series	111

4.11	Results of the Mann-Kendall Rank Correlation	112
B.1	Fuel consumption calculations of road vehicles in Çankaya district for the years 1990-2010	141
B.2	Amount of fuel consumed and the number of households in the regions	142
B.3	Calculation of district's energy consumptions for the manufacturing Industries	142
B.4	Normalized energy consumption factor of manufacturing industries in Ankara	143
B.5	Energy consumption of manufacturing industries in Ankara ...	143
B.4	Example of CO ₂ emission calculations for thermal power plants	144
B.7	Example of CO ₂ emission calculations for road vehicles	145
B.8	Example of CO ₂ emission calculations for households	146
B.9	Number of manufacturing industries with respect to its size in Ankara's districts	147
B.10	Example of CO ₂ emission calculations for manufacturing industries	148
B.11	Forest biomass and its increment in Ankara	149
B.12	Calculation of CO ₂ uptake by forest in Ankara	150
B.13	Land cover of Ankara's districts according to its types	151
B.14	Total CO ₂ uptake of forest in Ankara according to its types	151
B.15	CO ₂ uptake in forest area of Ankara's districts	152
F.1	STARDATA input file of ISCLT3 Model for province Ankara .	184
J.1	T-Table	221
J.2	Mean, standard deviation and standard error of the annual CO ₂ emissions	222
J.3	Results of the uncertainty analysis	223
L.1	Sensitivities at two receptors according to the percent changes of the each variable in the ISCLT3 model	236

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		
1.1	The Greenhouse Effect	2
1.2	Atmospheric CO ₂ Concentration	4
1.3	Schematic Diagram of the Carbon Cycle	5
2.1	Map of CO ₂ Measurement Stations around Turkey	9
2.2	CO ₂ Concentration Map by using the Kriging Method	10
2.3	Yearly average CO ₂ Concentration at Mauna Loa, Hawaii ...	10
2.4	Average CO ₂ Concentration over Turkey between 1995 and 2002	11
2.5	The Earth's CO ₂ Emission	12
2.6	Countries emitting highest amount of CO ₂	13
2.7	CO ₂ Emission of European Countries	14
2.8	CO ₂ Emission of Turkey	15
2.9	CO ₂ Emission Sources for Turkey	16
2.10	CO ₂ Emission of Turkey	16
2.11	CO ₂ Uptake of Forest in Turkey between 1973 and 1997	17
2.12	CO ₂ Storage of Forest in Turkey	18
2.13	CO ₂ Flux	19
3.1	Receptor map	35
3.2	Normal distribution for testing the randomness (two way test)	40
3.3	Annual temperature variations of Turkey for 1995	42
3.4	Wind roses of Ankara, İzmir and İstanbul province for 1995 ..	44
4.1	Annual CO ₂ emission trend	46
4.2	CO ₂ emission from provinces for 2003	47
4.3	CO ₂ emission from district for 2003	48
4.4	Regional CO ₂ emission from the sources for 2003	48
4.5	Regional CO ₂ emission trend	49
4.6	Industrial CO ₂ emission from provinces for 2003	52

4.7	Industrial CO ₂ emission from districts for 2003	53
4.8	Annual CO ₂ emission trend of industries	54
4.9	CO ₂ emission of households from provinces for 2003	55
4.10	CO ₂ emission of households from districts for 2003	56
4.11	Annual CO ₂ emission trend of households	57
4.12	CO ₂ emission of thermal power plants from provinces for 2003	58
4.13	CO ₂ emission of thermal power plants from districts for 2003	59
4.14	Annual CO ₂ emission trend of thermal power plants	60
4.15	CO ₂ emission of road vehicles from provinces for 2003	61
4.16	CO ₂ emission of road vehicles from districts for 2003	61
4.17	Annual CO ₂ emission trend of road vehicles	62
4.18	Forest cover of Turkey	65
4.19	CO ₂ uptake of the provinces	65
4.20	CO ₂ uptake of the districts	66
4.21	Regional CO ₂ uptake	67
4.22	Mean CO ₂ emission from districts, provinces and regions	71
4.23	Uncertainty interval of the districts, provinces and regions ...	73
4.24	Total ground level CO ₂ concentrations without uptake in 1990	77
4.25	Total ground level CO ₂ concentrations without uptake in 1995	78
4.26	Total ground level CO ₂ concentrations without uptake in 2000	79
4.27	Total ground level CO ₂ concentrations without uptake in 2004	80
4.28	Average ground level CO ₂ concentrations over Turkey	82
4.29	Number of the industries according to its size in Turkey	83
4.30	Regional populations for 1990 and 2000	86
4.31	Registered road vehicles between 1990 and 2003	92
4.32	Total ground level CO ₂ concentrations with uptake in 1990 ..	94
4.33	Total ground level CO ₂ concentrations with uptake in 1995 ..	95
4.34	Total ground level CO ₂ concentrations with uptake in 2000 ..	96
4.35	Total ground level CO ₂ concentrations with uptake in 2004 ..	97
4.36	Average ground level CO ₂ concentrations with CO ₂ uptake	

	over Turkey	99
4.37	Vertical profiles of CO ₂ concentration	108
4.38	Standardization of the values	109
4.39	Selected two-receptor points for observing CO ₂ concentration variations	113
5.1	CO ₂ emission per capita in Turkey	118
5.2	CO ₂ emission per capita in Europe in 2001	119
5.3	Future Population of Turkey	119
5.4	Future CO ₂ Emission assumptions	120
5.5	Future CO ₂ Emission assumptions	122
5.6	Usage rate of renewable energy between 1995 and 2004	123
A.1	CO ₂ Concentration cap of Turkey in 1995	136
A.2	CO ₂ Cconcentration Map of Turkey in 1994	136
A.3	CO ₂ Cconcentration Map of Turkey in 1994	137
A.4	CO ₂ Concentration Map of Turkey in 1998	137
A.5	CO ₂ Concentration Map of Turkey in 1999	138
A.6	CO ₂ Concentration Map of Turkey in 2000	138
A.7	CO ₂ Concentration Map of Turkey in 2001	139
A.8	CO ₂ Concentration Map of Turkey in 2002	139
C.1	Provinces in Turkey	154
C.2	Districts in Turkey	154
C.3	Lakes in Turkey	155
C.4	Forests in Turkey	155
C.5	Roads in Turkey	156
C.6	Thermal power plants in Turkey	156
D.1	Material Balance	157
D.2	Profile of pollution across a plume	159
D.3	Coordinate system and nomenclature for the Gaussian plume idea	160
G.1	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 1990	186

G.2	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 1995	192
G.3	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 1994	193
G.4	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 1994	194
G.5	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 1998	195
G.6	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 1999	196
G.7	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 2000	197
G.8	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 2001	198
G.9	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 2002	199
G.10	Annual frequency distribution of wind speeds in provinces, 2004	200
H.1	CO ₂ emission of districts for 1990	202
H.2	CO ₂ emission of districts for 1995	203
H.3	CO ₂ emission of districts for 2000	204
H.4	CO ₂ emission of districts for 2005	205
H.5	CO ₂ emission of districts for 2010	206
H.6	CO ₂ emission of provinces for 1990	207
H.7	CO ₂ emission of provinces for 1995	208
H.8	CO ₂ emission of provinces for 2000	209
H.9	CO ₂ emission of provinces for 2005	210
H.10	CO ₂ emission of provinces for 2010	211
I.1	CO ₂ emission from different sources between 1990-2010	213
K.1	Ground level CO ₂ concentration of industries without uptake in 1990 and 2004	227

K.2	Ground level CO ₂ concentration of households without uptake in 1990 and 2004	228
K.3	Ground level CO ₂ concentration of thermal power plants without uptake in 1990 and 2004	229
K.4	Ground level CO ₂ concentration of road vehicles without uptake in 1990 and 2004	230
K.5	Ground level CO ₂ concentration of industries with uptake in 1990 and 2004	231
K.6	Ground level CO ₂ concentration of households with uptake in 1990 and 2004	232
K.7	Ground level CO ₂ concentration of thermal power plants with uptake in 1990 and 2004	233
K.8	Ground level CO ₂ concentration of road vehicles with uptake in 1990 and 2004	234

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

α	Cronbach Alfa value
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Degrees Celsius
Δh	Plume rise
$\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z$	Dimensions of a unit cube
μm	Micrometer
$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	Micrograms per meter cube
η_s	Total number of industries according to its size
η_d	Number of the industries in districts according to its size
η_p	Total number of industries in provinces according to its size
%	Percent
σ^{xy}	Correlation Coefficient
σ_y, σ_z	Standard Deviations
ψ_d	Number of households in district d
ψ_p	Number of households in province p
$C(x,y,z)$	Concentration of pollutant at location x,y,z
B	Volume of biomass
Ca_p	Number of car in province p
Ca_t	Total number of cars
$\text{cov}(x,y)$	Covariance between x and y
CFCs	Chlorofluorocarbons
CH_4	Methane
CS	Carbon Storage
CO_2	Carbon dioxide
CO	Carbon monoxide
D	Dry biomass density
df	Degrees of freedom
d_{ij}	Distance coefficient between two cases

E(t)	Distribution mean of Mann-Kendall Rank Correlation Test
ef	Energy consumption factor of the industries according to its size
ef _p	Energy consumption factor of the industries in provinces according to its size
ef _{pn}	Normalized energy consumption factor of the industries in provinces according to its size
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
fc _p	Total fuel consumption in provinces
fc _d ^t	Fuel consumption in the industries in districts according to its size
f _{di}	Fuel consumption in district d according to fuel type i
f _d	Fuel consumption of households in district d
f _i	Fuel consumption by car according to fuel type <i>i</i>
f _r	Fuel consumption factor of region r per households
GAW	Global Atmosphere Watch
GCP	Global Carbon Projects
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
h	Physical height of stack
H	Effective stack height
HFCs	Hydrofluorocarbons
I	Annual biomass increment
IEA	International Energy Agency
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISCLT3	Industrial Source Complex Long-Term Model, version 3
k	Total number of items
K; K _x ; K _y ; K _z	Turbulent Diffusion Coefficients
Kcal	Kilocalorie
kg	Kilogram
m ³	Metercube
m/s	Meter per second (speed)
MOE	Ministry of Energy

MOEF ^{*(1)}	Ministry of Environment and Forestry
MOF ^{*(1)}	Ministry of Forestry
MW	Megawatts
N	Sample size
NMVOC	Non-methane Volatile Organic Carbon
N ₂ O	Nitrous oxide
NO _x	Nitrogen oxides
PFCs	Perfluorocarbons
ppm	Parts per million
ppmv	Parts per million by volume
r	Average correlation between pairs of items
RF	Root Factor
SIS ^{*(2)}	State Institute of Statistics
Q	Pollutant Emission Rate
SEM	Standard Error of Mean
S _y , S _x	Standard Deviation of Series
SF ₆	Sulfurhexafluoride
SO ₂	Sulfur dioxide
TB	Total biomass including roots
tC	Tones carbon
TEGTC	Turkish Electricity Generation Transmission Corporation
t _{α,df}	Student t-table value
TJ	Tetajoule
TOE	Tones of Oil Equivalent
ONC	Optimum number of cluster
u	Horizontal wind speed
u(t)	Mann-Kendall Rank Correlation value
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
Up	CO ₂ uptake
VOC	Volatile Organic Carbon