← Project evaluation «Day 08. Spring»

Git project

ssh://git@repos-ssh.21-school.ru:2289/students/Day_08.

Go to GitLab

Student

java1pshagwel 0 level

About

Introduction

The methodology of School 21 makes sense only if peer-to-peer assessments are done seriously. This document will help you to do it properly.
- Please stay courteous, polite, respectful, and constructive in all communications during this assessment. The bond of trust between community 21 and you depends on it.
- Highlight possible malfunctions of the work done by the person and take the time to discuss and debate it.
- Keep in mind that sometimes there can be differences in interpretation of the tasks and the scope of features. Please stay open-minded to the vision of the other.

- Evaluate only the files that are on the GIT repository of the student or group.
- Doublecheck that the GIT repository is the one corresponding to the student or the group, as well as to the project.
- Meticulously check that nothing malicious has been used to mislead you and have you assessed something except the content of the official repository.
- If you have not finished the project yet, it is compulsory to read the entire instruction before starting the review.
- Use the special flags in the scale to report an empty or non-functional solution, as well as a case of cheating. In these cases, the assessment is completed and the final grade is 0 (or, in a case of cheating, -42). However, except for a case of cheating, you are encouraged to continue reviewing the project to identify the problems that

caused the situation in order to avoid them for the next assessment.
- You must stop giving points from the first wrong exercise even if the following exercises are correct.

Preliminaries

Respect the rules:

- The repository contains the work of the student (or group).
- The student is able to explain their work at any time during the assessment.
- The general rules and the rules of the day are respected throughout the assessment.

Нет ✓ Да

MAIN PART

Exercise 00 – Spring Context

- Does solution contain all specified classes?
- Do the structure and cohesion in classes comply with the specified UML?
- Does context.xml contain beans for all components?
- Is prefix defined as an argument of a bean in context.xml?
- Does program work properly when a standard class call is used instead of context.xml? (see example in the task)

Exercise 01 – JdbcTemplate

- Does project architecture comply with architecture described in the task?
- Is there db.properties file containing the specified connection parameters?
- Are all UsersRepository classes/methods specified in the task implemented correctly?
- Are two DataSource beans described in context.xml?
- Is there a findAll check in Main for both repositories? Does it work correctly?

Нет ✓ Да

Exercise 02 – AnnotationConfig

- Does project structure comply with the task?
- Is context.hml file missing?
- Are annotation use requirements met for context configuration?
- Does signUp method return a random password and save information in database each time?
- Is test that uses an in-memory database available and working correctly?

Нет ✓ Да

Feedback

Fails

Leaks | Forbidden functions | Crash | Empty work | Cheat | Invalid compilation | Norme

Comment

Leave a comment...

Evaluate

Type of project	Personal
Duration	30 min
Passed checks	0/2

Git project

About

Preliminaries

MAIN PART

Feedback