

Developing MicroXL, a TensorFlow Recommendation Model

for the 2025 NUS Datathon

Shane Bharathan, Gan Xinyee, Ng Jing Xuan, Evie
Low

1 Appendix

Input Shapes

- **var_1 ID:**
 $var_1_id \in \mathbb{R}^B$ (a vector of B integers)
- **var_2 ID:**
 $var_2_id \in \mathbb{R}^B$ (a vector of B integers)
- **var_1 Metadata Features:**
 $var_1_features \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times F_c}$
- **var_2 Metadata Features:**
 $var_2_features \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times F_p}$

var_1 Branch Processing

Step 2.1: Embedding for var_1 ID

- **Operation:** The var_1 ID is passed through an embedding layer.
- **Embedding Details:** The weight matrix is

$$E_c \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{num_var}_1 s \times (d/2)},$$

where for example if $d = 64$, then the output dimension is 32.

- **Input/Output Shapes:**

$$\text{Input: } (B,) \implies e_c \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 32}.$$

Step 2.2: Dense Transformation for var_1 Metadata

- **Operation:** The var_1 metadata features $x_c \in \mathbb{R}^{F_c}$ are passed through a Dense layer with ReLU activation.
- **Dense Layer Details:** The transformation is given by:

$$f_c(x_c) = \text{ReLU}(W_c x_c + b_c),$$

where

$$W_c \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times F_c}, \quad b_c \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For $d = 64$, this layer produces 64 neurons.

- **Input/Output Shapes:**

$$\text{Input: } var_1_features \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times F_c} \implies f_c(x_c) \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 64}.$$

Step 2.3: Concatenation on the var_1 Side

- **Operation:** Concatenate the var_1 ID embedding e_c and the transformed metadata $f_c(x_c)$ along the feature dimension.
- **Shape Calculation:** The embedding contributes 32 dimensions and the dense output contributes 64 dimensions, giving:

$$32 + 64 = 96 \text{ dimensions.}$$

- **Output Shape:**

$$u_c = \text{concat}(e_c, f_c(x_c)) \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 96}.$$

Step 2.4: Final Projection for var_1

- **Operation:** The concatenated var_1 vector u_c is passed through a final Dense layer with ReLU activation to project it into a d -dimensional space.
- **Projection Details:** The projection is given by:

$$z_c = \text{ReLU}(W_f u_c + b_f),$$

where

$$W_f \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 96}, \quad b_f \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For $d = 64$, this maps the 96-dimensional input to 64 neurons.

- **Input/Output Shapes:**

$$\text{Input: } u_c \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 96} \implies z_c \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 64}.$$

var_2 Branch Processing

Step 3.1: Embedding for var_2 ID

- **Operation:** The var_2 ID is passed through its embedding layer.
- **Embedding Details:** The weight matrix is

$$E_p \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{num.}var_2 \times (d/2)},$$

which for $d = 64$ gives an output dimension of 32.

- **Input/Output Shapes:**

$$\text{Input: } (B,) \implies e_p \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 32}.$$

Step 3.2: Dense Transformation for var_2 Metadata

- **Operation:** The var_2 metadata features $x_p \in \mathbb{R}^{F_p}$ are passed through a Dense layer with ReLU activation.
- **Dense Layer Details:** The transformation is:

$$f_p(x_p) = \text{ReLU}(W_p x_p + b_p),$$

where

$$W_p \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times F_p}, \quad b_p \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For $d = 64$, this yields 64 neurons.

- **Input/Output Shapes:**

$$\text{Input: } var_2_features \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times F_p} \implies f_p(x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 64}.$$

Step 3.3: Concatenation on the var_2 Side

- **Operation:** Concatenate the var_2 ID embedding e_p and the transformed metadata $f_p(x_p)$.
- **Shape Calculation:** The embedding contributes 32 dimensions and the dense output contributes 64 dimensions:

$$32 + 64 = 96 \text{ dimensions.}$$

- **Output Shape:**

$$u_p = \text{concat}(e_p, f_p(x_p)) \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 96}.$$

Step 3.4: Final Projection for var_2

- **Operation:** The concatenated var_2 vector u_p is passed through the same final Dense layer (shared) with ReLU activation.
- **Input/Output Shapes:**

$$\text{Input: } u_p \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 96} \implies z_p \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 64}.$$

Interaction and Output

Step 4.1: Element-wise Multiplication

- **Operation:** Perform element-wise multiplication between the projected var_1 and var_2 vectors.
- **Input Shapes:** Both z_c and z_p are in $\mathbb{R}^{B \times 64}$.
- **Output:**

$$m = z_c \odot z_p \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 64}.$$

Step 4.2: Dot Product (Reduction over Features)

- **Operation:** Sum the elements of m over the feature dimension to compute the dot product:

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{64} m_i.$$

- **Output Shape:**

$$s \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 1}.$$

Step 4.3: Sigmoid Activation

- **Operation:** Apply the sigmoid function to s to obtain a probability:

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 1}.$$

Summary of the Mathematical Flow

Embedding Lookups:

$$\begin{aligned} e_c &= E_c(c) \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{d}{2}}, \\ e_p &= E_p(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{d}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Feature Transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} f_c(x_c) &= \text{ReLU}(W_c x_c + b_c) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ f_p(x_p) &= \text{ReLU}(W_p x_p + b_p) \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Concatenation:

$$\begin{aligned} u_c &= \begin{pmatrix} e_c \\ f_c(x_c) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{3d}{2}}, \\ u_p &= \begin{pmatrix} e_p \\ f_p(x_p) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{3d}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Final Projection:

$$\begin{aligned} z_c &= \text{ReLU}(W_f u_c + b_f) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ z_p &= \text{ReLU}(W_f u_p + b_f) \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Interaction & Output:

$$\hat{y} = \sigma\left(z_c^\top z_p\right) \in (0, 1).$$

This formulation shows how the model jointly leverages learned ID embeddings and transformed meta-data to produce a score via a dot product in a common latent space. Each component (embeddings, dense layers, and the final projection) plays a role in learning a representation that makes the dot product

$$z_c^\top z_p$$

indicative of the likelihood of a match between a var_1 and a var_2 .

For further clarity, below is a sample implementation with real values in the next section.

Synthetic Example of the Model Transformation

Assumptions:

- Embedding size: $d = 4 \Rightarrow$ ID embeddings are of size 2.
- Batch size: $B = 3$.
- Unique var_1 s: 5; unique var_2 : 7.
- var_1 and var_2 metadata features are 3-dimensional.

1. var_1 Branch

(a) var_1 Embedding Matrix E_c (shape 5×2):

$$E_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.4 \\ 0.5 & 0.6 \\ 0.7 & 0.8 \\ 0.9 & 1.0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose our batch has var_1 IDs (using 1-indexing):

$$\text{IDs} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the corresponding var_1 embeddings are:

$$E_c^{\text{batch}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.4 \\ 0.7 & 0.8 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) var_1 Metadata Features: Assume the raw features (each row is one sample) are

$$X_c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) var_1 Dense Transformation: We use a weight matrix W_c (shape 4×3) and zero bias:

$$W_c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for each sample x_c , the transformation is:

$$f_c(x_c) = \text{ReLU}(W_c x_c + b_c).$$

This gives, for each sample:

$$f_c((1, 2, 3)^\top) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 + 2 + 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_c((4, 5, 6)^\top) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 4 + 5 + 6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 15 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_c((7, 8, 9)^\top) = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 7 + 8 + 9 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 24 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Stacking these results, we have:

$$F_c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 15 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 & 24 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(d) Concatenation: For each example, we concatenate the 2-dimensional embedding with the 4-dimensional dense output to obtain a 6-dimensional vector:

$$u_c = \begin{pmatrix} \text{embedding} \\ \text{dense output} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, for our batch:

$$U_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 0.7 & 0.8 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 15 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 24 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(e) Final Projection (*var₁* Side): We use a final dense layer with weight matrix W_f (shape 4×6) and zero bias:

$$W_f = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $z_c = \text{ReLU}(W_f u_c)$. Computing for each example:

Example 1: $u_c^{(1)} = (0.3, 0.4, 1, 2, 3, 6)^\top$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Row 1:} & \quad 0.5(0.3) + 0.5(0.4) & = 0.15 + 0.20 = 0.35, \\ \text{Row 2:} & \quad 0.5(1) + 0.5(2) & = 0.5 + 1.0 = 1.5, \\ \text{Row 3:} & \quad 0.5(3) + 0.5(6) & = 1.5 + 3.0 = 4.5, \\ \text{Row 4:} & \quad 0.25(0.3 + 0.4 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 6) & = 0.25(12.7) = 3.175. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$z_c^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.35 \\ 1.5 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.175 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 2: $u_c^{(2)} = (0.7, 0.8, 4, 5, 6, 15)^\top$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Row 1:} & \quad 0.5(0.7) + 0.5(0.8) & = 0.35 + 0.40 = 0.75, \\ \text{Row 2:} & \quad 0.5(4) + 0.5(5) & = 2 + 2.5 = 4.5, \\ \text{Row 3:} & \quad 0.5(6) + 0.5(15) & = 3 + 7.5 = 10.5, \\ \text{Row 4:} & \quad 0.25(0.7 + 0.8 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 15) & = 0.25(31.5) = 7.875. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$z_c^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.75 \\ 4.5 \\ 10.5 \\ 7.875 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 3: $u_c^{(3)} = (0.1, 0.2, 7, 8, 9, 24)^\top$

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\text{Row 1:} & 0.5(0.1) + 0.5(0.2) & = 0.05 + 0.10 = 0.15, \\
\text{Row 2:} & 0.5(7) + 0.5(8) & = 3.5 + 4 = 7.5, \\
\text{Row 3:} & 0.5(9) + 0.5(24) & = 4.5 + 12 = 16.5, \\
\text{Row 4:} & 0.25(0.1 + 0.2 + 7 + 8 + 9 + 24) & = 0.25(48.3) = 12.075.
\end{array}$$

Thus,

$$z_c^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.15 \\ 7.5 \\ 16.5 \\ 12.075 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Stacking these, the var_1 final representation is:

$$Z_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0.35 & 1.5 & 4.5 & 3.175 \\ 0.75 & 4.5 & 10.5 & 7.875 \\ 0.15 & 7.5 & 16.5 & 12.075 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. var_2 Branch

(a) var_2 Embedding Matrix E_p (shape 7×2):

$$E_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.3 \\ 0.2 & 0.4 \\ 0.3 & 0.5 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \\ 0.5 & 0.7 \\ 0.6 & 0.8 \\ 0.7 & 0.9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose our batch has var_2 IDs:

$$\text{IDs} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the corresponding var_2 embeddings are:

$$E_p^{\text{batch}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.5 \\ 0.7 & 0.9 \\ 0.5 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) var_2 Metadata Features: Assume the features are

$$X_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) var_2 Dense Transformation: Using the same dense layer as for var_1 s,

$$W_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get:

$$f_p((1, 0, 1)^\top) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_p((0, 1, 0)^\top) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$f_p((1, 1, 1)^\top) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$F_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(d) Concatenation: The concatenated var_2 vectors are:

$$U_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.5 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0.7 & 0.9 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0.5 & 0.7 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(e) Final Projection (var_2 Side): Again, using the same W_f (and zero bias), we compute:

Example 1: $u_p^{(1)} = (0.3, 0.5, 1, 0, 1, 2)^\top$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Row 1:} & 0.5(0.3) + 0.5(0.5) & = 0.15 + 0.25 = 0.4, \\ \text{Row 2:} & 0.5(1) + 0.5(0) & = 0.5 + 0 = 0.5, \\ \text{Row 3:} & 0.5(1) + 0.5(2) & = 0.5 + 1 = 1.5, \\ \text{Row 4:} & 0.25(0.3 + 0.5 + 1 + 0 + 1 + 2) & = 0.25(4.8) = 1.2. \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$z_p^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 2: $u_p^{(2)} = (0.7, 0.9, 0, 1, 0, 1)^\top$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Row 1:} & 0.5(0.7) + 0.5(0.9) & = 0.35 + 0.45 = 0.8, \\ \text{Row 2:} & 0.5(0) + 0.5(1) & = 0 + 0.5 = 0.5, \\ \text{Row 3:} & 0.5(0) + 0.5(1) & = 0 + 0.5 = 0.5, \\ \text{Row 4:} & 0.25(0.7 + 0.9 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 1) & = 0.25(3.6) = 0.9. \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$z_p^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 3: $u_p^{(3)} = (0.5, 0.7, 1, 1, 1, 3)^\top$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Row 1:} & 0.5(0.5) + 0.5(0.7) & = 0.25 + 0.35 = 0.6, \\ \text{Row 2:} & 0.5(1) + 0.5(1) & = 0.5 + 0.5 = 1, \\ \text{Row 3:} & 0.5(1) + 0.5(3) & = 0.5 + 1.5 = 2, \\ \text{Row 4:} & 0.25(0.5 + 0.7 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 3) & = 0.25(7.2) = 1.8. \end{array}$$

Thus,

$$z_p^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1.8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Stacking these, we have:

$$Z_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 0.5 & 1.5 & 1.2 \\ 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.9 \\ 0.6 & 1 & 2 & 1.8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. Interaction & Final Output

For each example i we compute the dot product:

$$s_i = z_c^{(i)} \cdot z_p^{(i)}.$$

Example 1:

$$s_1 = 0.35 \cdot 0.4 + 1.5 \cdot 0.5 + 4.5 \cdot 1.5 + 3.175 \cdot 1.2 \approx 0.14 + 0.75 + 6.75 + 3.81 = 11.45.$$

Example 2:

$$s_2 = 0.75 \cdot 0.8 + 4.5 \cdot 0.5 + 10.5 \cdot 0.5 + 7.875 \cdot 0.9 \approx 0.6 + 2.25 + 5.25 + 7.0875 = 15.1875.$$

Example 3:

$$s_3 = 0.15 \cdot 0.6 + 7.5 \cdot 1 + 16.5 \cdot 2 + 12.075 \cdot 1.8 \approx 0.09 + 7.5 + 33 + 21.735 = 62.325.$$

Finally, applying the sigmoid function:

$$\hat{y}_i = \sigma(s_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-s_i}},$$

we have, for these large positive s_i , approximately:

$$\hat{y} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Summary: The overall transformation for our batch is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}_1 \text{ branch: } & E_c^{\text{batch}} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}, \quad F_c \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4}, \quad U_c \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 6}, \quad Z_c \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4}, \\ \text{var}_2 \text{ branch: } & E_p^{\text{batch}} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}, \quad F_p \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4}, \quad U_p \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 6}, \quad Z_p \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 4}, \end{aligned}$$

and the final output is obtained by computing the row-wise dot product:

$$\hat{y}_i = \sigma\left(z_c^{(i)} \cdot z_p^{(i)}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

In our synthetic example the dot var_2 are large and hence the sigmoid yields outputs very close to 1.