Homework6

Fareha Sameen

11/9/2020

```
Group: Neshma and Hertz
attach(acs2017_ny)
model_v1 <- lm(INCWAGE ~ AGE)</pre>
model_v2 <- lm(acs2017_ny$INCWAGE ~ acs2017_ny$AGE)</pre>
model_v3 <- lm(INCWAGE ~ AGE, data = acs2017_ny)</pre>
model_logit1 <- glm(LABFORCE ~ AGE, family = binomial, data = acs2017_ny)</pre>
# In this logit model, we check to see the status of women with ages between 25 and 55 who are in the 1
acs2017_ny$LABFORCE <- as.factor(acs2017_ny$LABFORCE)</pre>
levels(acs2017_ny$LABFORCE) <- c("NA","Not in LF","in LF")</pre>
acs2017_ny$age_bands <- cut(acs2017_ny$AGE,breaks=c(0,25,35,45,55,65,100))
table(acs2017_ny$age_bands,acs2017_ny$LABFORCE)
pick\_use1 <- (acs2017\_ny\$AGE > 25) & (acs2017\_ny\$AGE <= 55)
dat_use1 <- subset(acs2017_ny, pick_use1)</pre>
             NA Not in LF in LF
                     11717 13256
  (0,25]
           31680
  (25,35]
               0
                      4271 20523
               0
                      4064 18924
  (35,45]
  (45,55]
               0
                      5406 21747
  (55,65]
               0
                      10563 18106
  (65,100]
               0
                      28701 5880
dat_use1$LABFORCE <- droplevels(dat_use1$LABFORCE)</pre>
# The product of this shows how may women in different age groups are in the labor force and how many a
model_logit1 <- glm(LABFORCE ~ AGE + I(AGE^2) + female + AfAm + Asian + race_oth + Hispanic
            + educ_hs + educ_somecoll + educ_college + educ_advdeg
            + MARST,
            family = binomial, data = dat_use1)
summary(model_logit1)
Call:
glm(formula = LABFORCE ~ AGE + I(AGE^2) + female + AfAm + Asian +
    race_oth + Hispanic + educ_hs + educ_somecoll + educ_college +
    educ_advdeg + MARST, family = binomial, data = dat_use1)
Deviance Residuals:
    Min
              1Q
                  Median
                                 3Q
                                         Max
```

```
-2.5832 0.3544 0.4898
                         0.6531
                                  1.4508
Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)
             0.4685228 0.2448988
                                 1.913 0.055732 .
             0.0234645 0.0120812 1.942 0.052109 .
AGE
I(AGE^2)
            -0.0003617 0.0001469 -2.463 0.013782 *
            -0.6718196  0.0204038  -32.926  < 2e-16 ***
female
AfAm
            Asian
            race_oth
            -0.0836070 0.0331696 -2.521 0.011716 *
             0.1499195 0.0312545
                                 4.797 1.61e-06 ***
Hispanic
educ_hs
             0.9072897  0.0309561  29.309  < 2e-16 ***
educ_somecoll 1.4703761 0.0349971 42.014 < 2e-16 ***
             1.9526149 0.0370063 52.764 < 2e-16 ***
educ_college
educ_advdeg
             2.3771878  0.0436527  54.457  < 2e-16 ***
            MARST
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 71408 on 74934 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 64991 on 74922 degrees of freedom
AIC: 65017
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
# In this we're using education and marital status as variables to see how education and marital status
# We used this to see the change in results without variables such as education, race, and marital stat
model_logit2 <- glm(LABFORCE ~ AGE, family = binomial, data = dat_use1)</pre>
summary(model_logit2)
glm(formula = LABFORCE ~ AGE, family = binomial, data = dat_use1)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min
            1Q
                 Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-1.9021
        0.6032
                 0.6259
                         0.6520
                                  0.6735
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 1.864808
                      0.044962 41.475
                                       <2e-16 ***
AGE
          -0.009033
                      0.001064 -8.489
                                       <2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***, 0.001 '**, 0.01 '*, 0.05 '., 0.1 ', 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
```

Null deviance: 71408 on 74934 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 71336 on 74933 degrees of freedom

AIC: 71340

```
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
# The follwing show model_logit3,4,5 through which we tried seeing the impact of different variables on
model_logit3 <- glm(LABFORCE ~ AGE + I(AGE^2) + female + AfAm + Asian + race_oth + Hispanic + educ_hs +
summary(model_logit3)
Call:
glm(formula = LABFORCE ~ AGE + I(AGE^2) + female + AfAm + Asian +
   race_oth + Hispanic + educ_hs + educ_somecoll + educ_college +
   educ_advdeg + FAMSIZE, family = binomial, data = dat_use1)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min
            1Q
                 Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-2.6280
         0.3457
                 0.4955
                         0.6553
                                 1.4369
Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
            (Intercept)
AGE
             0.0325788 0.0120427
                                 2.705 0.006825 **
            I(AGE^2)
female
            -0.6745928   0.0204295   -33.021   < 2e-16 ***
            AfAm
Asian
            -0.0957544 0.0332063 -2.884 0.003931 **
race_oth
Hispanic
             0.1218593 0.0312831
                                  3.895 9.8e-05 ***
educ hs
             0.9266151 0.0309441 29.945 < 2e-16 ***
educ_somecoll 1.5032480 0.0349743 42.982 < 2e-16 ***
             2.0028058 0.0370521 54.054 < 2e-16 ***
educ_college
educ_advdeg
             2.4468515  0.0436390  56.070  < 2e-16 ***
FAMSIZE
             0.0664596  0.0058801  11.302  < 2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 71408 on 74934 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 65053 on 74922 degrees of freedom
AIC: 65079
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
model_logit4 <- glm(LABFORCE ~ AGE + I(AGE^2) + female + AfAm + Asian + race_oth + Hispanic + educ_hs +
summary(model_logit4)
Call:
glm(formula = LABFORCE ~ AGE + I(AGE^2) + female + AfAm + Asian +
   race_oth + Hispanic + educ_hs + educ_somecoll + educ_college +
   educ_advdeg + MARST + FAMSIZE, family = binomial, data = dat_use1)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min
            1Q
                 Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-2.6024
        0.3462
                 0.4904
                         0.6533
                                 1.4693
Coefficients:
```

Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|) 0.3905680 0.2451999 1.593 0.11119

0.0173019 0.0121191 1.428 0.15339

(Intercept)

AGE

```
I(AGE^2)
            -0.0002714 0.0001475 -1.840 0.06580 .
            -0.6803756  0.0204615  -33.252  < 2e-16 ***
female
AfAm
            -0.2308655 0.0280294 -8.237 < 2e-16 ***
Asian
            race oth
            0.1362644 0.0313217
                                 4.350 1.36e-05 ***
Hispanic
             0.9118417 0.0309869 29.427 < 2e-16 ***
educ hs
educ_somecoll 1.4796793 0.0350513 42.215 < 2e-16 ***
             1.9729277 0.0371666 53.083 < 2e-16 ***
educ_college
educ_advdeg
             2.4022062 0.0438382 54.797 < 2e-16 ***
MARST
            6.832 8.38e-12 ***
FAMSIZE
             0.0425891 0.0062339
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 71408 on 74934 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 64943 on 74921 degrees of freedom
AIC: 64971
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
model logit5 <- glm(LABFORCE ~ AGE + I(AGE^2) + female + AfAm + Asian + race oth + Hispanic + educ hs +
summary(model_logit5)
detach(acs2017 ny)
Call:
glm(formula = LABFORCE ~ AGE + I(AGE^2) + female + AfAm + Asian +
   race_oth + Hispanic + educ_hs + educ_somecoll + educ_college +
   educ_advdeg + MARST + FAMSIZE + RELATE + RELATED, family = binomial,
   data = dat_use1)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min
            1Q
                 Median
                            3Q
                                    Max
-2.6565
        0.3228
                 0.4711
                         0.6318
                                 1.7573
Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
             1.0322603  0.2498763  4.131  3.61e-05 ***
(Intercept)
AGE
             0.0130771 0.0123295
                                1.061 0.28886
I(AGE^2)
            -0.0002720 0.0001500 -1.814 0.06974 .
female
            -0.7592838  0.0210160  -36.129  < 2e-16 ***
AfAm
            -0.1795140 0.0286135 -6.274 3.52e-10 ***
Asian
            race_oth
            -0.0921546  0.0335918  -2.743  0.00608 **
Hispanic
             0.1667801 0.0317853
                                5.247 1.55e-07 ***
educ_hs
             0.8232360 0.0317256 25.949 < 2e-16 ***
educ_somecoll 1.3072221 0.0359129 36.400 < 2e-16 ***
             1.7886759 0.0380032 47.066 < 2e-16 ***
educ_college
             2.1959502  0.0446189  49.216  < 2e-16 ***
educ_advdeg
MARST
             0.0098808 0.0054456
                                 1.814 0.06961 .
```

1.051 0.29339

FAMSIZE

RELATE

RELATED

0.0067252 0.0064006

-0.0846649 0.0882969 -0.959 0.33763

-0.0002771 0.0008608 -0.322 0.74754

Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 71408 on 74934 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 63347 on 74919 degrees of freedom

AIC: 63379

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

#Comparing the outputs, we can tell that the coefficient estimates are different for each variable tested, the standard error, the p and z vlaues also change. It is evident through the results that women with higher education degrees are more likely to be in the labor force. Furthermore, each variable has an effect on the labor force.