# **Reactive Traversal of Recursive Data Types (?!)**

Francisco Sant'Anna Departamento de Informática — PUC-Rio, Brazil fsantanna@inf.puc-rio.br Hisham Muhammad Departamento de Informática — PUC-Rio, Brazil hisham@inf.puc-rio.br

Johnicholas Hines Affiliation email@domain.com

## **ABSTRACT**

We propose a structured mechanism to traverse recursive data types incrementally, in successive reactions to input events. traverse is an iterator-like anonymous block that can be invoked recursively and suspended at any point, retaining the full state and stack frames alive. traverse is designed for the synchronous language Céu, inheriting all of its concurrency functionality and safety properties, such as parallel compositions with orthogonal abortion, static memory management, and bounded reaction time and memory usage. We present two application scenarios that take advantage of recursive and reactive behavior: incremental computation and control-oriented domain specific languages.

## **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

D.3.3 [Programming Languages]: Language Constructs and Features

#### **General Terms**

Design, Languages

## Keywords

Behavior Trees, Domain Specific Languages, Incremental Computation, Logo, Structured Programming

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

...

 $\dots$  Céu [1, 2]

...

```
input void RESET; // declares an external event
2
     var int v = 0;
                         // variable shared by the trails
     par do
                      // 1st trail
4
        loop do
           await 1s;
           _{printf("v = %d\n", v);}
        end
9
     with
10
        loop do
                      // 2nd trail
           await RESET;
11
           v = 0;
12
13
     end
14
```

Figure 1: Introductory example in Céu.

# 2. CÉU

CÉU is a concurrent and reactive language in which the lines of execution, known as trails, react all together continuously and in synchronous steps to external stimuli. The introductory example in Figure 1 defines an input event RESET (line 1), a shared variable  $\mathbf{v}$  (line 2), and starts two trails with the par construct (lines 3-14): the first (lines 4-8) increments variable  $\mathbf{v}$  on every second and prints its value on screen; the second (lines 10-13) resets  $\mathbf{v}$  on every external request to RESET. CÉU is tightly integrated with C and can access libraries of the underlying platform directly by prefixing symbols with an underscore (e.g.,  $\_printf(<...>)$ , in line 7).

In the synchronous model of Céu, a program reacts to an occurring event completely before handling the next. A reaction represents a logical instant in which all trails awaiting the occurring event awake and execute, one after the other, until they await again or terminate. During a reaction, the environment is invariant and does not interrupt the running trails. If multiple trails react to the same event, the scheduler employs lexical order, i.e., the trail that appears first in the source code executes first. For this reason, programs are deterministic even in the presence of side effects in concurrent lines of execution. To avoid infinite execution for reactions, Céu ensures that all loops contain await statements [1].

# 2.1 Recursive Data Types

The data construct in Céu provides a safer alternative to C's struct, union, and enum definitions. A data entry declares either a non-recursive structure containing a set of mutable fields or a tagged union. A tagged union consists of a set of

```
data List with
1
2
         tag NIL;
3
      or
         tag CONS with
5
             var int head;
             var List* tail;
 6
7
         end
      end
8
9
10
     do
         pool List[1] 1st1;
11
          lst1 =new List.CONS(10,
12
                      List.CONS(20,
13
14
                       List.CONS (30.
                        List.NIL()));
15
          _printf("%d, %d\n", lst1:CONS.head,
16
                           lst1:CONS.tail:NIL);
17
             // prints 10, 1
18
19
      end
20
21
     do
         pool List[] 1st2;
22
         lst2 =new List.CONS(10,
23
24
                      List.CONS(20,
                       List.CONS(30,
26
                        List.NIL());
         lst2:CONS.tail =new List.CONS(50, List.NIL());
_printf("%d\n", lst2:CONS.tail:CONS.head);
27
28
             // prints 50 (20 and 30 have been freed)
29
```

Figure 2: A recursive List data type definition (lines 1–8) and uses (lines 10–18 and 20–28).

tag declarations, each of which may be a bare tag or contain mutable fields. If any of the tag declarations refers to the data type being declared, we have a recursive data type. In this case, the first tag of the tagged union must be a bare tag, and it will act as the union's null type: in CÉU, every recursive data type is an option type.

Figure 2 illustrates the recursive List data type, declared as a tagged union. The first tag, NIL (line 2), represents the empty list and is the union's null type. The second tag, CONS, holds a value in its field head and a pointer to the rest of the list in the field tail (lines 4–7).

All memory allocated by Céu constructs is managed by lexically-scoped memory pools. The pool keyword declares a memory pool of a given size and a reference to a root object. In line 11, we declare a pool of List objects of size 1, identified by root reference lst1, scoped by the do block in lines 10–19. The declaration also implicitly initializes the root to the null tag of the associated data type (i.e., List.NIL).

Then, in lines 12–15, we use the <code>=new</code> construct, which performs allocation and assignment: it attempts to dynamically allocate a list of three elements (using three List.CONS constructors in the assignment <code>r-value</code>), inferring the destination memory pool based on the assignment's <code>l-value</code> (i.e. <code>lst1</code>).

Since the pool has size 1, only the allocation of first element succeeds, with the failed allocations returning the null tag for this type (i.e., List.NIL). The print command (line 16) outputs "10, 1": the head of the first element (the operator ':' is equivalent to C's '->') and a true value for the NIL check of the second element.

```
pool List[3] 1 = new List.CONS(1,
2
                            List.CONS(2,
                             List.CONS(3,
3
                              List.NIL()));
     var int sum =
        traverse e in 1 do
8
           if e:NIL then
9
              escape 0;
           else
10
              var int sum_tail = traverse e:CONS.tail;
11
              escape sum_tail + e:CONS.head;
12
           end
13
14
        end:
     printf("sum = %d\n", sum);
15
```

Figure 3: Calculating the sum of a list.

In the second block (lines 21–30), we declare the lst2 pool with an unbounded memory limit (i.e., List[] in line 22). Now, the three-element allocation succeeds (lines 23–26). Then, we mutate the tail of the first element to point to a newly allocated element in the same pool, which also succeeds (line 27). The print command (line 28) outputs "50", displaying the head of the new second element. In the moment of the mutation, the old subtree (containing values "20" and "30") is completely removed from memory. Finally, the end of the block (line 30) deallocates the pool along with all of its elements.

In Céu, recursive data types have a number of restrictions. Given that mutations deallocate whole subtrees, data types cannot represent general graphs: they must represent tree-like structures. Elements in different pools cannot be mixed; and pointers to subtrees (i.e., weak references) must be observed via the watching construct, as they can be invalidated at any time (to be discussed in Section 2.2).

#### 2.2 Traversing Data Types

CÉU introduces a structured mechanism to traverse data types. The traverse construct integrates well with the synchronous execution model, supporting nested control compositions, such as await and all par variations. It also preserves explicit lexical scopes with static memory management

We begin by showing the flavor of the construct through an example. The code in Figure 3 creates a list (lines 1-4) and traverses it to calculate the sum of elements (lines 6-15). The traverse block (line 7) starts with the element e pointing to the root of the list 1. The escape statement (lines 9 and 12) returns a value to be assigned to the sum (line 6). A NIL list has sum=0 (lines 8-9). A CONS list needs to calculate the sum of its tail recursively, invoking traverse again (line 11), which will create a nested instance of the enclosing traverse block (lines 7-14), now with e pointing to the e:CONS.tail. When used without event control mechanisms, as in this simple example, a traverse block is equivalent to an anonymous closure called recursively.

The traverse construct does not simply amount to an anonymous recursive block, however. It is designed to take into account the event system and memory management discipline of the language. As such, it is an abstraction defined in terms of more fundamental Céu features: organisms, which

```
1
     pool List[] 1 = <...>; // 1, 2, 3
2
     var int sum :
        traverse e in 1 do
3
           if e:NIL then
              escape 0;
              watching e do
                  _printf("me = %d\n", e:CONS.head);
                  await 1s;
9
                  var int sum_tail = traverse e:CONS.tail;
10
                  escape sum_tail + e:CONS.head;
11
              end
12
13
14
           end
15
        end:
     _printf("sum = %d\n", sum);
16
```

Figure 4: Calculating the sum of a list, one element each second.

are objects with their own parallel trail of execution, akin to Simula objects; and orthogonal abortion, which handles cancellation of trails maintaining memory consistency [2].

Three aspects make traverse fundamentally different from an anonymous recursive function. First, each traverse call spawns a new anonymous organism, launching a new parallel trail of execution (as opposed to stacking a new frame in the current trail). Second, traversal is declared in terms of a specific memory pool. Therefore, for bounded pools (e.g., List[3] 1), we can infer at compile time the maximum traversal depth. Third, the execution body of a traverse block is implicitly wrapped by a concurrency construct that watches for mutations of the current node. In practice, this means that it reacts consistently if another trail of execution modifies the data structure being traversed.

To illustrate these differences, Figure 4 extends the body of the previous example with reactive behavior. For each recursive iteration, traverse prints the current head (line 8) and awaits 1 second before traversing the tail (lines 9–10). In Céu, all accesses to pointers that cross await statements must be protected with watching blocks [2]. This ensures that if side effects occurring in parallel affect the pointed object, no code uses stale pointers because the whole block is aborted. In the example (lines 7–12), if the list is mutated during that 1 second and the specific element is removed from memory, we simply ignore the whole subtree and return 0.

The traverse construct allows us to enforce bounded execution time, by performing a limited number of steps, each of them a separate synchronous reaction. This can be asserted by verifying that the structure of the recursive steps converge to the base cases, or simply by using a bounded memory pools, which allows us to limit the maximum number of steps to the size of the pool. Enforcing execution limits is an important requirement for constrained and real-time embedded systems, which is the original application domain of CÉU [1].

#### 3. APPLICATIONS

How many applications will we present?

• behavior trees (DSL)

- logo turtle (DSL)
- turtle stream (incremental)
- gray binary gen (incremental)

I suggest gray binary as the last one because it does not use a data type. We could show as an example of flexibility of traverse. BTs before turtle because they are simpler and because the stream example is the most complex and should follow the logo turtle.

..

TODO: small discussion incremental / DSLs and then proceed to each example?

• • •

#### 3.1 Behavior Trees

The term "Behavior Trees" denotes a family of DSLs used for Game AI. The term is loose, because different games use different languages, but generally it indicates an interpreted domain-specific language for creature behavior that includes at least sequence and selection combinators, and which are "ticked" periodically.

The semantics of the sequence combinator can be understood as short-circuit evaluation of a conjunction; the Seq node ticks its left subtree until it finishes, and if it finishes successfully, ticks its right subtree until it finishes. The semantics of the selection combinator can be understood as short-circuit evaluation of an alternation; the Sel node ticks its left subtree until it finishes, and if it did not finish successfully, ticks its right subtree until it finishes.

This skeleton, augmented with leaves that test properties, set properties, perform animations and sounds, and other custom combinators, can be preferable to finite state machines (hierarchical, augmented, or otherwise) for authoring Game AI.

Ceu's parallel features make implementing a parallel combinator for behavior trees much easier.

•••

# 3.1.1 TODO: Standard Behavior Tree COMMENTS:

Most "standard" BTs I found have these "sequence" and "selector" composite nodes. I thought about starting with this one and maybe expanding it with a "parallel/or" further.

Two things to discuss: (1) how the implementation of the interpreter is straightforward; (2) that leaf nodes are not restricted to a "tick" callback and can actually execute arbitrary code in Céu.

## 3.1.2 Parallel Behavior Tree

## 3.2 Logo Turtle

••

```
data BTree with
1
2
        tag NIL;
3
4
        tag SEQ with
           var BTree* first:
5
           var BTree* second;
6
        end
7
8
     or
        tag SEL with
9
10
           var BTree* first;
11
           var BTree* second;
12
        end
13
     or
        tag LEAF with
14
15
           var Leaf leaf;
        end
17
     end
```

Figure 5: A standard behavior tree with *sequence* and *selector* composite nodes.

```
class BTreeInterpreter with
2
       pool BTree[]& btree;
     do
3
        var int ret =
           traverse t in btree do
5
              if t:SEQ then
                 var int ret1 = traverse t:SEQ.first;
                 if ret1 > 0 then
  var int ret2 = traverse t:SEQ.second;
  if ret2 > 0 then
9
10
                       escape ret1+ret2;
11
12
                  end
                  escape 0;
              else/if t:SEL then
15
                 var int ret = traverse t:SEQ.first;
if ret == 0 then
16
17
                    ret = traverse t:SEL.second;
18
                  end
20
                  escape ret;
21
              else/if t:LEAF then
                 var int ret =
22
                     do LeafHandler(t:LEAF.leaf);
23
                  escape ret;
              end
25
           end;
27
        escape ret;
     end
28
29
     pool BTree[] btree = new
30
        BTree.SEQ(
31
           BTree.SEL(
32
33
             BTree.LEAF(Leaf(0)),
              BTree.LEAF(Leaf(1))),
34
           BTree.LEAF(Leaf(2)));
35
36
37
     var int ret = do BTreeTraverse(btree);
     39
```

Figure 6: A straightforward interpreter for the standard behavior tree of Figure 5 and a sample tree to execute.

```
class LeafHandler with
var Leaf& leaf;
do
// TODO: what to show here?
sescape leaf.v;
end
```

Figure 7: A leaf node with complex behavior.

```
data Command with
1
        tag NOTHING;
2
3
        tag ROTATE with
5
            var int angle;
        end
6
7
     or
        tag MOVE with
8
9
           var int pixels;
        end
10
11
12
        tag AWAIT with
13
           var int ms;
        end
14
15
     or
        tag SEQ with
16
17
           var Command* one;
18
           var Command* two;
        end
19
20
     or
        tag REPEAT with var int
21
                        times;
           var Command* command;
24
        end
25
        tag PAROR with
26
27
           var Command* one;
           var Command* two;
28
     end
30
```

Figure 8: DSL for a LOGO turtle.

```
class Interpreter with
        pool Command[]& cmds;
2
        var Turtle& turtle;
3
        traverse cmd in cmds do
6
           watching cmd do
              if cmd:AWAIT then
                 await (cmd:AWAIT.ms) ms;
9
              else/if cmd:ROTATE then
10
                 do TurtleRotate(turtle,
                                  cmd:ROTATE.angle);
12
13
              else/if cmd:MOVE then
14
                 do TurtleMove(turtle,
15
                                cmd:MOVE.pixels);
16
              else/if cmd:PAROR then
                 par/or do
19
20
                    traverse cmd:PAROR.one;
                 with
21
                    traverse cmd:PAROR.two;
22
                 end
25
              else/if cmd:SEQ then
26
                 traverse cmd: SEQ.one;
                 traverse cmd: SEQ.two;
27
28
              else/if cmd:REPEAT then
29
                 loop i in cmd:REPEAT.times do
                    traverse cmd:REPEAT.command;
31
32
                 end
33
              end
34
           end
        end
35
```

Figure 9: The turtle interpreter.

```
var int tot = 4;
var int[] vec = [0, 0, 0, 0];
1
2
3
            every VISIT do
                   _printf("( ");
                  loop i in tot do
    _printf("%d ", vec[i]);
end
 8
9
                  _printf(")\n");
10
11
12
       with
13
14
              \ast Syntax invented for numeric/non—data
             * traversals (not implemented):

* - starts from idx=0

* - memory for at most [N] elements,

* or [] unbounded.
15
16
17
                 - recursive calls receive the next idx
19
20
            traverse idx in [] do
  if idx == tot then
21
22
                        await NEXT;
                        traverse idx + 1;
vec[idx] = 1 - vec[idx];
26
                        traverse idx + 1;
27
                  end
28
29
            end
```

Figure 10: Gray binary generation for tuples of size 4.

## 3.2.1 Command Streaming

# 3.3 Gray Binary Generation

# 4. RELATED WORK

...

# 5. CONCLUSION

••

# Limitations:

- high-order programming
- planar-only recursive data types

# 6. REFERENCES

- [1] F. Sant'Anna et al. Safe System-level Concurrency on Resource-Constrained Nodes. In *Proceedings of* SenSys'13. ACM, 2013.
- [2] F. Sant'Anna et al. Structured Synchronous Reactive Programming with Céu. In *Proceedings of Modularity'15*, 2015. to appear.