Reactive Traversal of Recursive Data Types (?!)

Francisco Sant'Anna Departamento de Informática — PUC-Rio, Brazil fsantanna@inf.puc-rio.br Hisham Muhammad Departamento de Informática — PUC-Rio, Brazil hisham@inf.puc-rio.br

Johnicholas Hines Affiliation email@domain.com

ABSTRACT

We propose a structured mechanism to traverse recursive data types incrementally, in successive reactions to input events. traverse is an iterator-like anonymous block that can be invoked recursively and suspended at any point, retaining the full state and stack frames alive. traverse is designed for the synchronous language Céu, inheriting all of its concurrency functionality and safety properties, such as parallel compositions with orthogonal abortion, static memory management, and bounded reaction time and memory usage. We discuss three applications in the domains of incremental computation and control-oriented DSLs that contain reactive and recursive behavior at the same time.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

D.3.3 [Programming Languages]: Language Constructs and Features

General Terms

Design, Languages

Keywords

Behavior Trees, Domain Specific Languages, Incremental Computation, Logo, Recursive Data Types, Structured Programming, Reactive Programming

1. INTRODUCTION

The facilities offered by a language for constructing data types and values have a direct impact on the nature of algorithms that programmers of that language will write. The design of such facilities, on its turn, must take into account the constraints imposed by the rest of the language. For example, functional languages aim for referential transparency, and for this reason they typically present recursive data types as constructors for immutable data structures. One must then take care when writing algorithms using those structures to avoid excessive memory copying. A means to do that is to use data structures that alleviate the lack

of random access to components and in-place modification, such as the ones presented by Okasaki in [1].

In this paper, we discuss the design of recursive data types and an associated control facility for a language developed under a different set of constraints. Céu [3] is an imperative, concurrent and reactive language in which the lines of execution, known as trails, react all together continuously and in synchronous steps to external stimuli. Being an imperative language, Céu features mutable data. At the same time, however, its design promotes static memory management through lexically-scoped memory pools, and safety guarantees for concurrent pointer manipulation through orthogonal abortion [4]. These features preclude the availability of general mutable records such as C-style structs with arbitrary pointers. Recursive data types in Céu must, therefore, respect the language's memory management guarantees and their use must cope with the synchronous concurrency model.

The solution to this problem is twofold, with data and control aspects to it. For data management, we introduce to the language a data type construct with a set of restrictions on instance constructors and assignment semantics that makes static memory management possible. For handling reactive control, we propose a structured mechanism to traverse recursive data types incrementally, in successive reactions to input events. traverse is an iterator-like anonymous block that can be invoked recursively and suspended at any point, retaining the full state and stack frames alive.

Following the presentation of these constructs and their design, we showcase their use by discussing three applications in the domains of incremental computation and control-oriented DSLs that contain reactive and recursive behavior at the same time.

2. CÉU CONSTRUCTS

In this section, we present the constructs added to CÉU to support recursive data types with reactive traversal. We begin, though, with a short introduction to present the general flavor of the language.

The introductory example in Figure 1 defines an input event RESET (line 1), a shared variable v (line 2), and starts two trails with the par construct (lines 3-14): the first (lines 4-8) increments variable v on every second and prints its value on screen; the second (lines 10-13) resets v on every external

```
input void RESET; // declares an external event
1
                          // variable shared by the trails
2
     var int v = 0;
     par do
3
        loop do
           await 1s;
           v = v + 1;
6
            printf("v = %d\n", v);
8
        end
9
     with
10
        loop do
                         // 2nd trail
           await RESET;
11
12
           v = 0;
        end
13
14
     end
```

Figure 1: Introductory example in Céu.

request to RESET. CÉU is tightly integrated with C and can access libraries of the underlying platform directly by prefixing symbols with an underscore (e.g., _printf(<...>), in line 7).

In the synchronous model of Céu, a program reacts to an occurring event completely before handling the next. A reaction represents a logical instant in which all trails awaiting the occurring event awake and execute, one after the other, until they await again or terminate. During a reaction, the environment is invariant and does not interrupt the running trails. If multiple trails react to the same event, the scheduler employs lexical order, i.e., the trail that appears first in the source code executes first. For this reason, programs are deterministic even in the presence of side effects in concurrent lines of execution. To avoid infinite execution for reactions, Céu ensures that all loops contain await statements [3].

2.1 Recursive Data Types

The data construct in Céu provides a safer alternative to C's struct, union, and enum definitions. A data entry declares either a non-recursive structure containing a set of mutable fields or a tagged union. A tagged union consists of a set of tag declarations, each of which may be a bare tag or contain mutable fields. If any of the tag declarations refers to the data type being declared, we have a recursive data type. In this case, the first tag of the tagged union must be a bare tag, and it will act as the union's null type: in Céu, every recursive data type is an option type.

Figure 2 illustrates the recursive List data type, declared as a tagged union. The first tag, NIL (line 2), represents the empty list and is the union's null type. The second tag, CONS, holds a value in its field head and a pointer to the rest of the list in the field tail (lines 4–7).

All memory allocated by Céu constructs is managed by lexically-scoped memory pools. The pool keyword declares a memory pool of a given size and a reference to a root object. In line 11, we declare a pool of List objects of size 1, identified by root reference lst1, scoped by the do block in lines 10–19. The declaration also implicitly initializes the root to the null tag of the associated data type (i.e., List.NIL).

Then, in lines 12–15, we use the =new construct, which performs allocation and assignment: it attempts to dynami-

```
data List with
2
         tag NIL;
3
      or
         tag CONS with
             var int head;
             var List tail;
 6
7
         end
      end
8
9
10
      do
11
         pool List[1] 1st1;
12
          lst1 =new List.CONS(10.
                      List.CONS(20,
13
14
                       List.CONS(30,
                         List.NIL()));
15
          _printf("%d, %d\n", lst1:CONS.head,
16
                           lst1:CONS.tail:NIL);
17
             // prints 10, 1
19
      end
20
21
      do
         pool List[] 1st2;
22
         lst2 =new List.CONS(10,
23
24
                      List.CONS(20,
25
                       List.CONS(30,
26
                        List.NIL()));
         lst2:CONS.tail =new List.CONS(50, List.NIL());
_printf("%d\n", lst2:CONS.tail:CONS.head);
27
28
             // prints 50 (20 and 30 have been freed)
29
30
```

Figure 2: A recursive List data type definition (lines 1–8) and uses (lines 10–18 and 20–28).

cally allocate a list of three elements (using three List.CONS constructors in the assignment r-value), inferring the destination memory pool based on the assignment's l-value (i.e. lst1).

Since the pool has size 1, only the allocation of first element succeeds, with the failed allocations returning the null tag for this type (i.e., List.NIL). The print command (line 16) outputs "10, 1": the head of the first element (the operator ':' is equivalent to C's '->') and a true value for the NIL check of the second element.

In the second block (lines 21–30), we declare the lst2 pool with an unbounded memory limit (i.e., List[] in line 22). Now, the three-element allocation succeeds (lines 23–26). Then, we mutate the tail of the first element to point to a newly allocated element in the same pool, which also succeeds (line 27). The print command (line 28) outputs "50", displaying the head of the new second element. In the moment of the mutation, the old subtree (containing values "20" and "30") is completely removed from memory. Finally, the end of the block (line 30) deallocates the pool along with all of its elements.

In CÉU, recursive data types have a number of restrictions. Given that mutations deallocate whole subtrees, data types cannot represent general graphs: they must represent tree-like structures. Elements in different pools cannot be mixed; and pointers to subtrees (i.e., weak references) must be observed via the watching construct, as they can be invalidated at any time (to be discussed in Section 2.2).

2.2 Traversing Data Types

```
pool List[3] 1 = new List.CONS(10,
1
2
                            List.CONS(20,
                             List.CONS(30,
3
                               List.NIL()));
     var int sum =
6
7
        traverse e in 1 do
8
           if e:NIL then
9
              escape 0;
           else
10
              var int sum_tail = traverse e:CONS.tail;
11
              escape sum_tail + e:CONS.head;
12
           end
13
14
        end:
     printf("sum = %d\n", sum);
15
           // prints 60
16
```

Figure 3: Calculating the sum of a list.

```
pool List[] 1 = <...>; // 10, 20, 30
2
     var int sum :
        traverse e in 1 do
3
4
           if e:NIL then
5
              escape 0;
6
           else
              watching e do
                  _printf("me
                               = %d\n", e:CONS.head);
                  await 1s;
10
                  var int sum_tail = traverse e:CONS.tail;
11
                  escape sum_tail + e:CONS.head;
              end
12
              escape 0;
13
14
15
        end:
     _printf("sum = %d\n", sum);
```

Figure 4: Calculating the sum of a list, one element each second.

Céu introduces a structured mechanism to traverse data types. The traverse construct integrates well with the synchronous execution model, supporting nested control compositions, such as await and all par variations. It also preserves explicit lexical scopes with static memory management.

We begin by showing the flavor of the construct through an example. The code in Figure 3 creates a list (lines 1-4) and traverses it to calculate the sum of elements (lines 6-15). The traverse block (line 7) starts with the element e pointing to the root of the list 1. The escape statement (lines 9 and 12) returns a value to be assigned to the sum (line 6). A NIL list has sum=0 (lines 8-9). A CONS list needs to calculate the sum of its tail recursively, invoking traverse again (line 11), which will create a nested instance of the enclosing traverse block (lines 7-14), now with e pointing to the e:CONS.tail. When used without event control mechanisms, as in this simple example, a traverse block is equivalent to an anonymous closure called recursively.

The traverse construct does not simply amount to an anonymous recursive block, however. It is designed to take into account the event system and memory management discipline of the language. As such, it is an abstraction defined in terms of more fundamental Céu features: organisms, which are objects with their own parallel trail of execution, akin to Simula objects; and orthogonal abortion, which handles cancellation of trails maintaining memory consistency [4].

Three aspects make traverse fundamentally different from an anonymous recursive function. First, each traverse call spawns a new anonymous organism, launching a new parallel trail of execution (as opposed to stacking a new frame in the current trail). Second, traversal is declared in terms of a specific memory pool. Therefore, for bounded pools (e.g., List[3] 1), we can infer at compile time the maximum traversal depth. Third, the execution body of a traverse block is implicitly wrapped by a concurrency construct that watches for mutations of the current node. In practice, this means that it reacts consistently if another trail of execution modifies the data structure being traversed.

To illustrate these differences, Figure 4 extends the body of the previous example with reactive behavior. For each recursive iteration, traverse prints the current head (line 8) and awaits 1 second before traversing the tail (lines 9–10). In CÉU, all accesses to pointers that cross await statements must be protected with watching blocks [4]. This ensures that if side effects occurring in parallel affect the pointed object, no code uses stale pointers because the whole block is aborted. In the example (lines 7–12), if the list is mutated during that 1 second and the specific element is removed from memory, we simply ignore the whole subtree and return 0

The traverse construct allows us to enforce bounded execution time, by performing a limited number of steps, each of them a separate synchronous reaction. This can be asserted by verifying that the structure of the recursive steps converge to the base cases, or simply by using a bounded memory pools, which allows us to limit the maximum number of steps to the size of the pool. Enforcing execution limits is an important requirement for constrained and real-time embedded systems, which is the original application domain of CÉU [3].

3. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we present three applications that explore the reactive and incremental nature of the traverse construct. We start with standard Behavior Trees used in video games for AI modeling [?], and extend them with parallel compositions. Then, we show a Logo Turtle [?] that can execute commands in parallel (e.g., move and rotate) and also incorporates a dynamic queue of commands issued concurrently with the running program. Finally, we implement Gray code generation [?] to illustrate how traverse can also be used for more general recursive algorithms without an associated data type.

How many applications will we present?

- behavior trees (DSL)
- logo turtle (DSL)
- turtle stream (incremental)
- gray code gen (incremental)

I suggest gray code as the last one because it does not use a data type. We could show as an example of flexibility of traverse. BTs before turtle because they are simpler and because the stream example is the most complex and should follow the logo turtle.

```
data BTree with
1
2
        tag NIL;
3
     or
        tag SEQ with
5
            var BTree first;
6
            var BTree second;
7
        end
8
     or
9
        tag SEL with
10
            var BTree first;
            var BTree second;
11
12
        end
13
        tag LEAF with
14
15
            var Leaf leaf;
        end
16
17
```

Figure 5: A standard behavior tree with sequence and selector composite nodes.

3.1 Behavior Trees

The term *Behavior Trees* denotes a family of DSLs used for Game AI [?]. The term is loose, because different games use different languages, but generally it indicates an interpreted domain-specific language for creature behavior that includes at least sequence and selection combinators, and which are "ticked" periodically [?].

The semantics of the sequence combinator can be understood as short-circuit evaluation of a conjunction; the SEQ node ticks its left subtree until it finishes, and if it finishes successfully, ticks its right subtree until it finishes. The semantics of the selection combinator can be understood as short-circuit evaluation of an alternation; the SEL node ticks its left subtree until it finishes, and if it did not finish successfully, ticks its right subtree until it finishes.

This skeleton, augmented with leaves that test properties, set properties, perform animations and sounds, and other custom combinators, can be preferable to finite state machines (hierarchical, augmented, or otherwise) for authoring Game AI.

Ceu's parallel features make implementing a parallel combinator for behavior trees much easier.

Most "standard" BTs I found have these "sequence" and "selector" composite nodes. I thought about starting with this one and maybe expanding it with a "parallel/or" further.

Two things to discuss: (1) how the implementation of the interpreter is straightforward; (2) that leaf nodes are not restricted to a "tick" callback and can actually execute arbitrary code in Céu.

3.2 Logo Turtle

Our second example is an interpreter for a simple variant of the classic Logo turtle-graphics interpreter [2], which extends the Logo paradigm with a CÉU-like parallel execution construct. In our variant, we can instruct the turtle to move and rotate in parallel, tracing curves. We declare a data type which defines our abstract syntax, with each tag representing one of the supported Logo commands. A tree of nodes

```
class BTreeInterpreter with
2
        pool BTree[]& btree;
3
        var int ret =
           traverse t in btree do
6
              if t:SEQ then
                 var int ret1 = traverse t:SEQ.first;
if ret1 > 0 then
8
                    var int ret2 = traverse t:SEQ.second;
if ret2 > 0 then
9
10
11
                        escape ret1+ret2;
                    end
12
13
                 end
14
                 escape 0;
              else/if t:SEL then
15
                 var int ret = traverse t:SEQ.first;
16
                 if ret == 0 then
17
                     ret = traverse t:SEL.second;
                 end
19
20
                 escape ret;
21
              else/if t:LEAF then
                 var int ret
22
23
                     do LeafHandler(t:LEAF.leaf);
                 escape ret;
25
              end
26
           end:
27
        escape ret;
     end
28
29
30
     pool BTree[] btree = new
        BTree.SEQ(
31
32
           BTree.SEL(
33
              BTree.LEAF(Leaf(0)),
              BTree.LEAF(Leaf(1))),
34
           BTree.LEAF(Leaf(2)));
35
36
37
     rar int ret = do BTreeTraverse(btree);
38
     39
```

Figure 6: A straightforward interpreter for the standard behavior tree of Figure 5 and a sample tree to execute.

```
class LeafHandler with
var Leaf& leaf;

do
// TODO: what to show here?
escape leaf.v;
end
```

Figure 7: A leaf node with complex behavior.

```
data Command with
1
         tag NOTHING;
2
3
     or
         tag SEQ with
4
5
            var Command one;
6
            var Command two;
7
         end
8
     or
9
         tag REPEAT with
10
            var int
                         times;
            var Command command;
11
12
         end
13
         tag MOVE with
14
            var int pixels;
15
        end
16
17
     or
         tag ROTATE with
18
19
            var int angle;
         end
20
21
     or
         tag AWAIT with
22
23
            var int ms:
24
         end
25
         tag PAROR with
26
27
            var Command one;
            var Command two;
28
        end
29
```

Figure 8: DSL for a Logo turtle.

represents a program, and the interpreter is implemented as a traversal of this tree. The aim of this example is to demonstrate parallel traversal.

Figure 8 presents the data type Command, which specifies the abstract syntax of our Logo variant. As in traditional Logo, commands can be listed in sequence to be executed one after the other (represented through a chain of SEQ nodes), and commands can be repeated a number of times (denoted through a REPEAT node). Our variant includes MOVE and RO-TATE nodes to move the turtle, but these are specified differently from traditional Logo: here, they take as arguments the speed at which they should affect the turtle. For example, a Command.MOVE(50) node directs the turtle to move at the speed of 50 pixels per second, indefinitely. The only way to make the turtle stop moving or rotating is through two Céu-like extensions added to our Logo variant: AWAIT and PAROR. AWAIT simply awaits a given number of milliseconds. PAROR, modeled after the Céu construct par/or, launches two commands in parallel, and aborts both of them as soon as one of them finishes. For example, the following construct would make the turtle move along a semicircle:

```
Command.PAROR(
Command.AWAIT(1000),
Command.PAROR(
Command.MOVE(50),
Command.ROTATE(180)))
```

Figure 9 depicts the interpreter. It is implemented as the Interpreter organism (declared with the class keyword). It holds as attributes a reference to the AST of commands (cmds, line 2) and a reference to a Turtle object which implements the UI. The execution body of the organism con-

```
class Interpreter with
2
       pool Command[]* cmds;
3
       var Turtle&
                        turtle;
       traverse cmd in cmds do
         watching cmd do
           if cmd:SEO then
             traverse cmd: SEO. one:
8
              traverse cmd: SEQ.two;
9
10
           else/if cmd:REPEAT then
11
              loop i in cmd:REPEAT.times do
12
                traverse cmd:REPEAT.command;
13
14
              end
15
           else/if cmd:MOVE then
16
             do TurtleMove(turtle,
17
                        cmd:MOVE.pixels);
19
20
           else/if cmd:ROTATE then
21
             do TurtleRotate(turtle,
                         cmd:ROTATE.angle);
22
23
           else/if cmd:AWAIT then
25
              await (cmd:AWAIT.ms) ms;
26
           else/if cmd:PAROR then
27
             par/or do
28
                traverse cmd: PAROR.one;
29
30
31
                traverse cmd: PAROR.two;
32
             end
33
           end
34
         end
35
       end
36
     end
```

Figure 9: The turtle interpreter.

tains the traverse construct which runs the interpreter (lines 5–35).

We have then a test for each kind of tag in the data type. In lines 7–9, SEQ is handled by traversing each of its child commands, in sequence: the second invocation of traverse in that block (line 9) only runs after the first one (line 8) finishes. In lines 11–14, REPEAT is handled by traversing its command the specified number of times.

MOVE is handled in lines 16–18 by spawning a new organism called TurtleMove, which launches a separate trail of execution. The implementation of TurtleMove (not shown) updates the coordinates of the turtle instance it got as a parameter in its constructor. The implementation of ROTATE (lines 20–22) is similar.

In lines 24–25, AWAIT is implemented by simply causing the current trail of execution of the interpreter to await the given amount of time. Finally, PAROR (lines 27–32) uses the par/or construct to traverse both subcommands at the same time. As per the semantics of par/or, as soon as one of the subtrees terminate its execution, the other one will be aborted.

Note that the entire interpreter block is surrounded by a watching construct (line 6). The Céu compiler enforces the presence of a guard, due to the use of the cmd pointer in code that spans multiple reactions. This ensures clean abortion in case the AST being interpreted is mutated by code running in another trail.

```
var int[4] bits = [0, 0, 0, 0];
1
2
3
     par/or do
         every VISIT do
 5
             _printf("( ");
            loop i in $$bits do // $$ is the array size
   _printf("%d ", bits[i]);
end
 6
 8
             _printf(")\n");
9
10
         end
11
         traverse idx in [$$bits] do
12
             if idx == $$bits then
13
14
                await NEXT;
             else
15
                traverse idx + 1;
16
                bits[idx] = 1 - bits[idx];
17
                traverse idx + 1;
19
             end
20
         end
21
      end
```

Figure 11: Generator for 4-bit Gray code.

3.2.1 Enqueuing Commands

All examples so far create a fixed tree that does not vary during traversal. Figure 10 extends the Turtle application with a queue of pending commands to execute after the running commands terminate. We define a new Queue data type in (CODE-1): ROOT has a running subtree with the running commands, a waiting queue of pending commands to execute, and a tmp node that allows in-place manipulation of the tree. Given that all newly allocated nodes must reside in a pool, the tmp node represents a pointer TODO. ITEM represents a queue item and contains a cmd subtree with the command to execute, and a prv queue item pointing to an older item that should execute first (i.e., the queue is in reverse order). TODO...

3.3 Gray Code Generation4. RELATED WORK

What to compare against???? Found this: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1104.2293.pdf Follow the references.

5. CONCLUSION

We presented a new construct for traversing recursive data types incrementally, in the context of Céu, an imperative reactive language with synchronous concurrency. The traverse construct encapsulates an idiom for performing recursive traversal by handling each step as a separate trail of execution. This allows parallel traversal using the language's concurrency features, while maintaining its safety properties.

This kind of traversal can be performed in Céu through the use of organisms (pooled objects which launch their own execution trails) and orthogonal abortion via the watching construct. Combining these features to traverse a recursive data structure correctly, however, is not straightforward. Recursing in a way such that parallel constructs can be composed requires each step of the recursion to be a new execution trail. Ensuring that the traversal will not execute on a stale

subtree in case the structure is modified requires the nodes to be watched in order to perform abortions. Additionally, by presenting a control construct that is tied to a data structure, we can ensure bounded execution time, in line with the Céu philosophy. By dealing with these concerns internally in the traverse statement, we make reactive traversal as easy to perform correctly as a recursive function call.

In the current implementation of recursive data types in Céu, we impose restrictions to the kinds of structures that can be represented. The requirement of a tree hierarchy of ownership and move semantics for assignment of structure fields requires care in the design of algorithms manipulating these structures, as illustrated in Section 3.2.1. This is done to support static memory management with bounded memory pools for allocation and deterministic deallocation. Still, we do not feel that the restrictions are prohibitively limiting. For instance, persistent data structures in functional languages [?] operate under tighter design constraints.

Limitations:

- high-order programming

6. REFERENCES

- C. Okasaki. Purely Functional Data Structures. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1998.
- [2] S. Papert. Mindstorms: Children, Computers, and Powerful Ideas. Basic Books, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1980.
- [3] F. Sant'Anna et al. Safe System-level Concurrency on Resource-Constrained Nodes. In *Proceedings of SenSys'13*. ACM, 2013.
- [4] F. Sant'Anna et al. Structured Synchronous Reactive Programming with Céu. In *Proceedings of Modularity'15*, 2015. to appear.

```
every (cmd, vel, time) in ENQUEUE do
data Queue with
                                    traverse qu in queue do
                               1
  tag NIL;
                                       watching qu do
                                                                                           if _strcmp(cmd, "move") == 0 then
                               3
                                         if qu:ROOT then
                                                                                   3
                                                                                             move_or_rotate = true;
  tag ROOT with
                                           loop do
                                                                                             queue:ROOT.tmp =
    var Queue running;
                               5
                                             {\tt par/and}\ {\tt do}
                                                                                   5
                                                                                                new Queue.ITEM(
                                                                                                     Command.NOTHING(),
Queue.ITEM(
    var Queue waiting;
                                                traverse qu:ROOT.running;
                               6
                                                                                   6
    var Queue tmp;
                                              with
                               7
                                               await qu:ROOT.waiting;
                                                                                                       Command.PAROR (
  end
                               8
                                                                                                        Command.MOVE(vel),
  tag ITEM with
                              10
                                              qu:ROOT.running =
                                                                                   10
                                                                                                         Command.AWAIT(time)),
                                             qu:ROOT.waiting;
qu:ROOT.waiting =
    var Command cmd;
                              11
                                                                                  11
                                                                                                       Queue.NIL()));
                                                                                             queue:ROOT.tmp:ITEM.prv:ITEM.prv =
    var Queue
                 prv;
                              12
                                                                                  12
                                                                                             queue:ROOT.waiting:ITEM.prv;
queue:ROOT.waiting =
                                                new Queue.ITEM(
  end
                              13
                                                                                  13
                                                      Command.NOTHING(),
end
                              14
                                                                                  14
                                                                                               queue:ROOT.tmp;
                              15
                                                      Queue.NIL());
                                                                                  15
                                           end
                                                                                           else
                              17
                                         else/if qu:ITEM then
                                                                                   17
                                           traverse qu:ITEM.prv;
do Interpreter with
this.turtle = turtle;
this.cmds = qu:ITEM.cmd;
                              18
                                                                                  18
                                                                                           end
                              19
                                                                                  19
                                                                                         end
                              20
                                                                                  20
                              21
                                                                                  21
                              22
                                           end;
                                                                                  22
                              23
                                         end
                                                                                   23
                              24
                                      end
                                                                                  24
                              25
                                    end
                                                                                  25
```

CODE-1: The Queue data type

CODE-2: Queue traversal

CODE-3: Command enqueuing

Figure 10: Queue extension for the Turtle DSL of Figures 8 and 9.