Reactive Traversal of Recursive Data Types (?!)

Francisco Sant'Anna
Departamento de Informática
— PUC-Rio, Brazil
fsantanna@inf.puc-rio.br

Hisham Muhammad
Departamento de Informática
— PUC-Rio, Brazil
hisham@inf.puc-rio.br

Johnicholas Hines
Affiliation
email@domain.com

ABSTRACT

We propose a structured mechanism to traverse recursive data types incrementally, in successive reactions to input events. `traverse` is an iterator-like anonymous block that can be invoked recursively and suspended at any point, retaining the full state and stack frames alive. `traverse` is designed for the synchronous language Céu, inheriting all existing concurrency functionality, such as parallel compositions with orthogonal abortion, static memory management, and bounded memory and reaction time. We present two application scenarios that take advantage of recursive and reactive behavior: *incremental computation* and *control-oriented domain specific languages*.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

D.3.3 [**Programming Languages**]: Language Constructs and Features

General Terms

Design, Languages

Keywords

Behavior Trees, Domain Specific Languages, Incremental Computation, Logo, Structured Programming

1. INTRODUCTION

...

... Céu [1, 2]

...

```
1  input void RESET;   // declares an external event
2  var int v = 0;     // variable shared by the trails
3  par do
4     loop do       // 1st trail
5        await 1s;
6        v = v + 1;
7        _printf("v = %d\n", v);
8     end
9  with
10    loop do       // 2nd trail
11       await RESET;
12       v = 0;
13    end
14 end
```

Figure 1: Introductory example in Céu.

2. CÉU

Céu is a concurrent and reactive language in which the lines of execution, known as *trails*, react all together continuously and in synchronous steps to external stimuli. The introductory example in Figure 1 defines an input event `RESET` (line 1), a shared variable `v` (line 2), and starts two trails with the `par` construct (lines 3-14): the first (lines 4-8) increments variable `v` on every second and prints its value on screen; the second (lines 10-13) resets `v` on every external request to `RESET`. Céu is tightly integrated with *C* and can access libraries of the underlying platform directly by prefixing symbols with an underscore (e.g., `_printf(<...>)`, in line 7).

In the synchronous model of Céu, a program reacts to an occurring event completely before handling the next. A reaction represents a logical instant in which all trails awaiting the occurring event awake and execute, one after the other, until they await again or terminate. During a reaction, the environment is invariant and does not interrupt the running trails[1]. If multiple trails react to the same event, the scheduler employs lexical order, i.e., the trail that appears first in the source code executes first. For this reason, programs are deterministic even in the presence of side effects in concurrent lines of execution. To avoid infinite execution for reactions, Céu ensures that all loops contain `await` statements [1].

2.1 Recursive Data Types

[1]The actual implementation enqueues incoming input events to process them in further reactions.

```
1  data List with
2     tag NIL;
3  or
4     tag CONS with
5        var int   head;
6        var List* tail;
7     end
8  end
9
10 do
11    pool List[1] lst1;
12    lst1 =new List.CONS(10,
13                List.CONS(20,
14                 List.CONS(30,
15                  List.NIL())));
16    _printf("%d, %d\n", lst1:CONS.head,
17                      lst1:CONS.tail:NIL);
18       // prints 10, 1
19 end
20
21 do
22    pool List[] lst2;
23    lst2 =new List.CONS(10,
24                List.CONS(20,
25                 List.CONS(30,
26                  List.NIL())));
27    lst2:CONS.tail =new List.CONS(50, List.NIL());
28    _printf("%d\n", lst2:CONS.tail:CONS.head);
29       // prints 50 (20 and 30 have been freed)
30 end
```

Figure 2: A recursive `List` data type definition (lines 1–8) and uses (lines 10–18 and 20–28).

The `data` construct in Céu provides a safer alternative to C's `struct`, `union`, and `enum` definitions. A `data` entry declares either a non-recursive structure containing a set of mutable fields or a tagged union. A tagged union consists of a set of tag declarations, each of which may be a bare tag or contain mutable fields. If any of the tag declarations refers to the data type being declared, we have a recursive data type. In this case, the first tag of the tagged union must be a bare tag, and it will act as the union's null type: in Céu, every recursive data type is an option type.

Figure 2 illustrates the recursive `List` data type, declared as a tagged union. The first tag, `NIL` (line 2), represents the empty list and is the union's null type. The second tag, `CONS`, holds a value in its field `head` and a pointer to the rest of the list in the field `tail` (lines 4–7).

All memory allocated by Céu constructs is managed by lexically-scoped memory pools. The `pool` keyword declares a memory pool of a given size and a reference to a root object. In line 11, we declare a pool of `List` objects of size 1, identified by root reference `lst1`, scoped by the `do` block in lines 10–19. The declaration also implicitly initializes the root to the null tag of the associated data type (i.e., `List.NIL`).

Then, in lines 12–15, we use the `=new` construct, which performs allocation and assignment: it attempts to dynamically allocate a list of three elements (using three `List.CONS` constructors in the assignment *r-value*), inferring the destination memory pool based on the assignment's *l-value* (i.e. `lst1`).

Since the pool has size 1, only the allocation of first element succeeds, with the failed allocations returning the null tag

```
1  pool List[3] l = new List.CONS(1,
2                         List.CONS(2,
3                          List.CONS(3,
4                           List.NIL())));
5
6  var int sum =
7     traverse e in l do
8        if e:NIL then
9           escape 0;
10       else
11          var int sum_tail = traverse e:CONS.tail;
12          escape sum_tail + e:CONS.head;
13       end
14    end;
15 _printf("sum = %d\n", sum);
```

Figure 3: Calculating the *sum* of a list.

for this type (i.e., `List.NIL`). The print command (line 16) outputs "`10, 1`": the `head` of the first element (the operator '`:`' is equivalent to C's '`->`') and a true value for the `NIL` check of the second element.

In the second block (lines 21–30), we declare the `lst2` pool with an unbounded memory limit (i.e., `List[]` in line 22). Now, the three-element allocation succeeds (lines 23–26). Then, we mutate the tail of the first element to point to a newly allocated element in the same pool, which also succeeds (line 27). The print command (line 28) outputs "`50`", displaying the `head` of the new second element. In the moment of the mutation, the old subtree (containing values "`20`" and "`30`") is completely removed from memory. Finally, the end of the block (line 30) deallocates the pool along with all of its elements.

In Céu, `data` types have a number of restrictions. Given that mutations deallocate whole subtrees, data types cannot represent general graphs: they must represent tree-like structures. Elements in different pools cannot be mixed; and pointers to subtrees (i.e., weak references) must be observed via the `watching` construct, as they can be invalidated at any time (to be discussed in Section 2.2).

2.2 Traversing Data Types

Céu introduces a structured mechanism to traverse data types. The `traverse` construct integrates well with the synchronous execution model, supporting nested control compositions, such as `await` and all `par` variations. It also preserves explicit lexical scopes with static memory management.

We begin by showing the flavor of the construct through an example. The code in Figure 3 creates a list (lines 1–4) and traverses it to calculate the sum of elements (lines 6–15). The `traverse` block (line 7) starts with the element `e` pointing to the root of the list `l`. The `escape` statement (lines 9 and 12) returns a value to be assigned to the `sum` (line 6). A `NIL` list has `sum=0` (lines 8-9). A `CONS` list needs to calculate the `sum` of its tail recursively, invoking `traverse` again (line 11), which will create a nested instance of the enclosing `traverse` block (lines 7–14), now with `e` pointing to the `e:CONS.tail`. When used without event control mechanisms, as in this simple example, a `traverse` block is equivalent to an anonymous closure called recursively.

```
1  pool List[] l = <...>;  // 1, 2, 3
2  var int sum =
3     traverse e in l do
4        if e:NIL then
5           escape 0;
6        else
7           watching e do
8              _printf("me = %d\n", e:CONS.head);
9              await 1s;
10             var int sum_tail = traverse e:CONS.tail;
11             escape sum_tail + e:CONS.head;
12          end
13          escape 0;
14       end
15    end;
16 _printf("sum = %d\n", sum);
```

Figure 4: Calculating the *sum* of a list, one element each second.

The `traverse` construct does not simply amount to an anonymous recursive block, however. It is designed to take into account the event system and memory management discipline of the language. As such, it is an abstraction defined in terms of more fundamental Céu features: *organisms*, which are objects with their own parallel trail of execution, akin to Simula objects; and orthogonal abortion, which handles cancellation of trails maintaining memory consistency [2].

Three aspects make `traverse` fundamentally different from an anonymous recursive function. First, each `traverse` call spawns a new anonymous organism, launching a new parallel trail of execution (as opposed to stacking a new frame in the current trail). Second, traversal is declared in terms of a specific memory pool. Therefore, for bounded pools (e.g., `List[3] l`), we can infer at compile time the maximum traversal depth. Third, the execution body of a `traverse` block is implicitly wrapped by a concurrency construct that watches for mutations of the current node. In practice, this means that it reacts consistently if another trail of execution modifies the data structure being traversed.

To illustrate these differences, Figure 4 extends the body of the previous example with reactive behavior. For each recursive iteration, `traverse` prints the current `head` (line 8) and awaits 1 second before traversing the `tail` (lines 9–10). In Céu, all accesses to pointers that cross `await` statements must be protected with `watching` blocks [2]. This ensures that if side effects occurring in parallel affect the pointed object, no code uses stale pointers because the whole block is aborted. In the example (lines 7–12), if the list is mutated during that 1 second and the specific element is removed from memory, we simply ignore the whole subtree and return 0.

The `traverse` construct allows us to enforce bounded execution time, by performing a limited number of steps, each of them a separate synchronous reaction. This can be asserted by verifying that the structure of the recursive steps converge to the base cases, or simply by using a bounded memory pools, which allows us to limit the maximum number of steps to the size of the pool. Enforcing execution limits is an important requirement for constrained and real-time embedded systems, which is the original application domain of Céu [1].

3. APPLICATIONS

incremental computation, behavior trees, control-dominated DSLs

...

3.1 Incremental Computation

...

- gray binary generation?

...

3.2 Domain Specific Languages

3.2.1 Behavior Trees

The term "Behavior Trees" denotes a family of DSLs used for Game AI. The term is loose, because different games use different languages, but generally it indicates an interpreted domain-specific language for creature behavior that includes at least sequence and selection combinators, and which are "ticked" periodically.

The semantics of the sequence combinator can be understood as short-circuit evaluation of a conjunction; the Seq node ticks its left subtree until it finishes, and if it finishes successfully, ticks its right subtree until it finishes. The semantics of the selection combinator can be understood as short-circuit evaluation of an alternation; the Sel node ticks its left subtree until it finishes, and if it did not finish successfully, ticks its right subtree until it finishes.

This skeleton, augmented with leaves that test properties, set properties, perform animations and sounds, and other custom combinators, can be preferable to finite state machines (hierarchical, augmented, or otherwise) for authoring Game AI.

Ceu's parallel features make implementing a parallel combinator for behavior trees much easier.

...

3.2.2 Logo Turtle

...

4. RELATED WORK

...

5. CONCLUSION

...

6. REFERENCES

[1] F. Sant'Anna et al. Safe System-level Concurrency on Resource-Constrained Nodes. In *Proceedings of SenSys'13*. ACM, 2013.

[2] F. Sant'Anna et al. Structured Synchronous Reactive Programming with Céu. In *Proceedings of Modularity'15*, 2015. to appear.

```
data Command with
    tag NOTHING;
or
    tag ROTATE with
        var int angle;
    end
or
    tag MOVE with
        var int pixels;
    end
or
    tag AWAIT with
        var int ms;
    end
or
    tag SEQUENCE with
        var Command* one;
        var Command* two;
    end
or
    tag REPEAT with
        var int      times;
        var Command* command;
    end
or
    tag PAROR with
        var Command* one;
        var Command* two;
    end
end
```

Figure 5: DSL for a LOGO turtle.

```
class Interpreter with
    pool Command[]& cmds;
    var  Turtle&    turtle;
do
    traverse cmd in cmds do
        watching cmd do
            if cmd:AWAIT then
                await (cmd:AWAIT.ms) ms;

            else/if cmd:ROTATE then
                do TurtleRotate with
                    this.turtle = turtle;
                    this.angle  = cmd:ROTATE.angle;
                end;

            else/if cmd:MOVE then
                do TurtleMove with
                    this.turtle  = turtle;
                    this.pixels = cmd:MOVE.pixels;
                end;

            else/if cmd:PAROR then
                par/or do
                    traverse cmd:PAROR.one;
                with
                    traverse cmd:PAROR.two;
                end

            else/if cmd:SEQUENCE then
                traverse cmd:SEQUENCE.one;
                traverse cmd:SEQUENCE.two;

            else/if cmd:REPEAT then
                loop i in cmd:REPEAT.times do
                    traverse cmd:REPEAT.command;
                end
            end
        end
    end
end
```

Figure 6: The turtle interpreter.