

# The Physics of Event Generators

A. Sznajder

UERJ  
Instituto de Física

January - 2019

# Outline

- 1 Hard Scattering
- 2 Parton Shower
- 3 Hadronization
- 4 Underlying Event

# Course Contents

## 1) Introduction to Statistics for HEP Data Analysis

- Basic concepts of statistics
- Parameter and interval estimation
- Hypothesis testing and goodness of the fit

## 2) The Physics of Event Generators

- Physics processes , Feynman diagrams and cross sections
- Monte Carlo event generator
- Madgraph


## 3) Machine Learning

- Introduction to machine learning: classification and regression
- The multilayer perceptron (MLP)
- Universal approximation , vanishing gradient and deep learning
- Convolutional network, autoencoder and adversarial network

## Bibliography

- M.Seymour , Introduction to Monte Carlo for the LHC , CERN 2003 ,  
<https://indico.cern.ch/event/412017>
- F.Maltoni , Predictive Monte Carlo Tools for the LHC , CERN 2012 ,  
<https://indico.cern.ch/event/181765>
- F.Maltoni , Monte Carlo , CERN 2013 ,  
<https://indico.cern.ch/event/243711>
- B.Webber , QCD and Monte Carlo techniques , CERN 2017,  
<https://indico.cern.ch/event/598530/contributions/2547052>

# CMS Collision Event



# MC Event Generators

The need for MC event generators is related to how we extract predictions (stochastic processes) <sup>1</sup> from fundamental theories QCD and EW and BSM models

## Event Generation

### 1 Hard scattering (where new physics lies)

- high  $Q^2$  scale (short time scale)
- first principles description
- can be improved (NLO, NNLO ...)
- process dependent

### 2 Parton Shower


- Well known QCD physics
- first principles description
- process independent

### 3 Hadronization

- low  $Q^2$  physics (long time scale)
- model based
- process independent

### 4 Underlying Event

- low  $Q^2$  physics
- model based
- energy and process dependent



<sup>1</sup> Tossing a coin is random but given large # trials a pattern emerges: 50% heads or tails ( underlying law or symmetry )

# Cross Section


Most experimental observations in particle physics corresponds to cross section and decay rates measurements. From an experimental point of view the cross section can be defined considering a beam of particles colliding with a target of cross sectional area  $\sigma$

## Cross section

The number of collisions  $dN$  observed in an time interval  $dt$  is given by

$$dN = \rho\sigma(vdt) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma = \frac{W}{F} \quad (1)$$

, where  $\sigma$  is the cross section ,  $F = \rho v$  is the incident flux and  $W = \frac{dN}{dt}$  the collision rate



For a quantum scattering, the cross section  $\sigma$  represents an effective area of interaction between the particles and it measures the probability of a scattering to occur

# HARD SCATTERING



# Hard Scattering

From the theory side we can relate the cross section ( observable ) with the process matrix element ( quantum scattering amplitude )

## Scattering Golden Rule

The relation between the cross section  $\sigma$  and matrix element  $M_{fi}$  can be obtained from Fermi golden rule. In the case of a scattering process  $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3, 4, \dots, n$  we have

$$d\sigma = \frac{\|M_{fi}\|^2}{F} d\Phi$$

, where  $d\Phi$  is the phase space and  $F$  is the incoming flux factor

The phase space  $d\Phi$  can be written in the following Lorentz invariant form

$$d\Phi = \left[ \left( \frac{d^3 p_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \right) \left( \frac{d^3 p_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4} \right) \dots \left( \frac{d^3 p_n}{(2\pi)^3 2E_n} \right) \right] (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4 \dots - p_n)$$

, while the flux factor can also be written in the invariant form

$$F = 4\sqrt{(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - (m_1 m_2)^2}$$


# Matrix Elements and Feynman Diagrams

Theory gives the solutions of scattering problems as a perturbative series in the coupling constant. The terms of the series can be obtained directly from the Feynman diagrams through the application of the Feynman rules, without the need to calculate them explicitly (QFT).

## Matrix Element







Matrix elements can be written as a power series, where each series term can be associated with a diagram

$$M_{fi} = M_{fi}^{(1)} + M_{fi}^{(2)} + M_{fi}^{(3)} + \dots$$



## Example: QED Feynman Rules

### External Lines

spin 1/2	{	incoming particle	$u(p)$	
		outgoing particle	$\bar{u}(p)$	
		incoming antiparticle	$\bar{v}(p)$	
		outgoing antiparticle	$v(p)$	
spin 1	{	incoming photon	$\epsilon^\mu(p)$	
		outgoing photon	$\epsilon^\mu(p)^*$	

### Internal Lines (propagators)

spin 1	photon	$-\frac{ig_{\mu\nu}}{q^2}$	
spin 1/2	fermion	$\frac{i(\gamma^\mu q_\mu + m)}{q^2 - m^2}$	


### Vertex Factors

spin 1/2	fermion (charge $- e $ )	$ie\gamma^\mu$
----------	--------------------------	----------------



### Matrix Element $-iM = \text{product of all factors}$

# Matrix Element ( ex: $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ )



## Matrix element calculation ( $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ )

- 1 Draw the Feynman diagrams
- 2 Use Feynman rules to get matrix element:

$$\mathcal{M} = e^2 [\bar{v}(p_2) \gamma^\mu u(p_1)] \left( \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{q^2} \right) [\bar{u}(p_3) \gamma^\nu v(p_4)]$$

- 3 Average and sum over polarizations ( trace theorems ):

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{pol} \|\mathcal{M}\|^2 = \frac{8e^4}{q^4} [(p_1 \cdot p_3)(p_2 \cdot p_4) + (p_1 \cdot p_4)(p_2 \cdot p_3)]$$


- 4 Number of terms rise as  $N^2$  ( efficient only for small number of final states )
- 5 Automatically performed by Madgraph, Sherpa ...

# Hard Scattering

LHC beams are made of protons and not partons ! QCD factorization theorem relates the short-distance partonic cross section  $\hat{\sigma}_{ab \rightarrow X}$  and long-distance parton distribution function (PDF), obtained from experiment

## QCD Factorization Theorem

$$\sigma_X = \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 f_a(x_1, \mu_F) f_b(x_2, \mu_F) \hat{\sigma}_{ab \rightarrow X}(\hat{s}, \mu_F, \mu_R)$$



# MC Event Generation

Calculations of cross section or decay widths involve integrations over high-dimensional phase space of very complex ( peaked ) functions

## Cross Section Integral

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2s} \int \|\mathcal{M}\|^2 d\Phi(N)$$

, where for  $N$  final states the integration over phase space has dimension  $3N$

## Definition of MC Event Generator

Monte Carlo event generator is a program which produces particle physics events with the same probability as they occur in nature (virtual collider). It performs a cross section integrals calculations and unweights events to give the four momenta of the particles.


Among theorists “Monte Carlo” also includes codes which don’t provide a fully exclusive information on the final state, but only cross sections or distributions at the parton level (typically at NLO or higher). These codes are also referred as “MC integrators” or “Cross Section integrators”.

# MC Integration: from Acceptance-Rejection to Averages

## Acceptance-Rejection Method

The MC integration by the Acceptance-Rejection method has a simple geometrical interpretation as

$$I = \left( \frac{N_{\text{accept}}}{N_{\text{total}}} \right) A_{\text{box}}$$



## From Acceptance-Rejection to Averages

The Acceptance-Rejection method is not optimal ! Consider a narrow strip (bin) of height  $H$ , around a point  $x_b$

- ① For this bin  $N_{\text{accept}} / N_{\text{total}} H$  is just an estimate for  $f(x_b)$ , which we know how to calculate directly !
- ② We can estimate integral  $f(x)$  in  $[a, b]$  by sampling  $N$  points  $\{x_i\}$  uniformly in the interval and summing over each bin contribution

$$I \simeq \sum_{i=1}^N \underbrace{\left( \frac{b-a}{N} \right)}_{\Delta x} f(x_i) = (b-a) \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i) \right] = (b-a) \langle f \rangle$$

# MC Integration as Averages


Another way of understanding the MC integration as an average is through the mean value theorem

## Mean Value Theorem for Integrals

Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then there exists  $c$  in  $(a, b)$  such that

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = (b - a)f(c)$$

The value of  $f(c)$  is the mean value in  $[a, b]$



We can estimate the mean value of  $f(x)$  in  $[a, b]$  directly by sampling the function uniformly in the interval and taking the average  $f(c) \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i)$

## Integrals as an Average

The integral of  $f(x)$  and its variance can be estimated by sampling  $N$  points  $\{x_i\}$  in the interval  $[a, b]$

$$\begin{cases} I_N = \int_a^b f(x) dx \simeq (b - a) \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i) \right] \\ V_N \simeq \frac{(b - a)^2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [f(x_i)]^2 - I^2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow I = I_N \pm \sqrt{\frac{V_N}{N}} \quad (\text{CLT Theorem})$$



# MC Integration in d-dimensions

The generalization of the MC integration to d-dimension is given by

## MC Integration d-dimensions

Consider the d-dimentional integration of a function  $f(\vec{x})$  over a volume  $V$

$$I = \int dV f(\vec{x}) \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \underbrace{V f(\vec{x}_i)}_{w_i}, \text{ where } w_i \text{ is the event weight}$$

Comparing the convergence of different integration methods, we can see the power of MC integration for high dimensional cases

## Numerical Integration Methods in d-dimensions

Integration error as a function of the number of points  $N$  and the dimension  $d$


- Monte Carlo:  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$  ( dimension independent )
- Trapezium :  $\frac{1}{N^{\frac{2}{d}}}$
- Simpson :  $\frac{1}{N^{\frac{4}{d}}}$

# MC Integration : VEGAS

To increase the integration efficiency, instead of sampling  $[a, b]$  uniformly, we sample more points where  $f(x)$  is large. For that we use an importance sampling distribution  $g(x)$  that approximates the integrand

## VEGAS Algorithm<sup>2</sup>

VEGAS is an adaptative importance sampling algorithm. It determines the sampling distribution iteratively while sampling the integrand  $f(x)$ , by building a step function approximation (histogram)



<sup>2</sup>Lepage, G.P. (May 1978). "A New Algorithm for Adaptive Multidimensional Integration". Journal of Computational Physics. 27: 192–203



# MC Integration : VEGAS

## VEGAS

- The number of histogram bins in d-dimensions grows as  $K^d$  (dimensional curse)
- Vegas avoids this by approximating the distribution by a separable(factorizable) function like:  

$$g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = g_1(x_1) \cdot g_2(x_2) \dots g_n(x_n)$$
- For factorizable functions the number of bins grows only as  $K \cdot d$
- The efficiency of VEGAS depends on the function factorization !

Separability(factorization) is equivalent to aligning the integrand peaks with the coordinate axes (change of variables)



## MC Integration : Multichannel




If there is no transformation that aligns the integrand peaks to the coordinate axes, VEGAS is bound to fail !

### Multichannel Integration<sup>3</sup>

Multichannel integration use different transformations ( channels ) for separating non-factorizable singularities. Each channel takes care of one “peak” at the time, such that  $p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i(x)$ ,

where  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$

$$I = \int f(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int p_i(x) \frac{f(x)}{p(x)} dx$$




<sup>3</sup>R.Kleiss and R.Pittau., Weight optimization in multichannel Monte Carlo, Computer Physics Communications 83.2-3 (1994), pg.141


## Example : QCD $2 \rightarrow 2$ process

### Multichannel Integration


QCD  $2 \rightarrow 2$  process shows three very different pole structures contributing to the same matrix element. One can not find a transformation that factorizes the  $\|\mathcal{M}_{tot}\|^2$ . Must use multichannel integration to integrate them separately and then combine them.



$$\propto \frac{1}{\hat{s}} = \frac{1}{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$$



$$\propto \frac{1}{\hat{t}} = \frac{1}{(p_1 - p_3)^2}$$



$$\propto \frac{1}{\hat{u}} = \frac{1}{(p_1 - p_4)^2}$$

# MC Integration: Madgraph Method

## Madgraph Method

Madgraph uses a multichannel integration based on single diagrams <sup>4</sup>, where the integrand  $|\mathcal{M}_{tot}|^2$  is decomposed as  $|\mathcal{M}_{tot}|^2 = \sum_i f_i$ . The basis  $f_i$  is defined in terms of individual diagrams amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_i$  by

$$f_i = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_i|^2}{\sum_j |\mathcal{M}_j|^2} |\mathcal{M}_{tot}|^2 = |\mathcal{M}_i|^2 \underbrace{\left( \frac{|\sum_l \mathcal{M}_l|^2}{\sum_j |\mathcal{M}_j|^2} \right)}_{=1 \pm \epsilon_{interf}}$$

- The peak structure of each  $f_i$  is the same as of that of  $|\mathcal{M}_i|^2$
- The mapping  $g_i$  can be derived from diagram propagator structure
- Easy to reweight the channels, so large contributions are evaluated with greater number of MC points
- Decomposes the amplitude into  $n$  independent integrations ( **parallelization** )

<sup>4</sup>F.Maltoni and T.Stelzer, MadEvent:Automatic event generation with MadGraph, JHEP0302:027,2003

# MC Event Generation

## Phase Space Sampling and Event Weight

Discuss Kajantie reduction formula in Seymour:

<https://indico.cern.ch/event/412017> and Webber:

[https://indico.cern.ch/event/598530/contributions/2547122/attachments/1514454/2362886/Webber\\_HCPSS17\\_2.pdf](https://indico.cern.ch/event/598530/contributions/2547122/attachments/1514454/2362886/Webber_HCPSS17_2.pdf) in pg 11

# MC Event Generation


## Event Generation

Event generation is done by sampling phase space points ( event kinematics ). The cross section associates different weights to distinct events and for detector simulation and analysis we want unweighted events like real data.

Unweighting is performed by applying Acceptance-Rejection method

## Unweighting (Acceptance-Rejection)

- Random sort  $x$
- Calculate  $f(x)$
- Random sort  $0 < y < f_{max}$
- If  $y \leq f(x)$  accept the event , otherwise reject it






# MC Event Generation

Comparison between a distribution made with weighted versus unweighted events


## Weighted Events

Approximately the same # of events in areas of phase space with very different probabilities, so events must have different weights




## Unweighted Events

The # of events is proportional to the probability of phase space region, so all events have the same weight. **Events distributed as we observe in nature !**



# PARTON SHOWER

# Parton Shower




# Parton Shower

Accelerated color charged radiates gluons, and as gluons themselves carry colour charges, they can emit further radiation, leading to parton showers <sup>5</sup>

## Soft and Collinear Emissions

The QCD matrix elements enhances radiation for soft and collinear emissions (most singular).

$$\frac{1}{(p_q + p_g)^2} \simeq \frac{1}{2E_q E_g (1 - \cos\theta)}$$



If the  $n$ -parton differential cross section before splitting is  $d\sigma_n$  the expressions for the collinear and soft approximations factorizes. For the splittings of a parton (ex:  $q \rightarrow q + g$ ) we have


## Parton Branching (Collinear)

$$d\sigma_{n+1} \simeq d\sigma_n \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \sum_i dz P_{ii}(z_i, \phi_i) \frac{d\xi_i}{\xi_i} \frac{d\phi_i}{2\pi}$$

## Parton Branching (Soft)

$$d\sigma_{n+1} \simeq d\sigma_n \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \sum_i (-\vec{T}_i \cdot \vec{T}_j) \Theta(\xi_{ij} - \xi_i) \frac{d\xi_i}{\xi_i}$$

, where  $\theta_i$  is the emission angle,  $\xi_i = 1 - \cos\theta_i$  and  $z_i$  is the parton energy fraction after emission



<sup>5</sup>Can be considered as an approximate all orders perturbative contribution

# Parton Shower

The  $P_{ij}(z)$  splitting function are known as Altarelli-Parisi splitting kernels, where  $T_R = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $C_A = 3$  and  $C_F = \frac{4}{3}$


## Altarelli-Parisi Splitting Kernels

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_F \left[ \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \right]$$

$$P_{qg}(z) = T_R \left[ z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right]$$

$$P_{gq}(z) = C_F \left[ \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} \right]$$

$$P_{gg}(z) = 2C_A \left[ z(1-z) + \frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{1-z}{z} \right]$$



The splitting functions diverges for  $z = 1$  and  $z = 0$ , except for  $P_{gq}$  !




# Parton Shower

By sequential application of Sudakov factors ratio, and Monte Carlo method to generate  $z$  values for each splitting, a parton shower is developed for each hard subprocess parton. The shower is terminated when the virtualities have fallen to the hadronization scale  $Q_{min}$

## Parton Shower Algorithm

- 1 Generate a uniform random number  $R \in [0, 1]$
- 2 If  $R < \Delta(Q_i, Q_{min})$  there's no resolvable emission ( stop branching )
- 3 Given initial  $Q_i$  , equate  $R = \frac{\Delta(Q_i, Q_{min})}{\Delta(Q_{i+1}, Q_{min})}$  and solve for  $Q_{i+1}$  ( next emission scale )
- 4 Use MC method to sample  $z$  fraction from the appropriate  $P_{ij}(z)$  distribution
- 5 Continue iterating ( goto 1 )




# HADRONIZATION



# Hadronization


- Degrees of freedom that reaches detector are hadrons
- After parton shower is terminated quarks and gluons must turn into hadrons
- Need a phenomenological model to describe QCD confinement



# Hadronization - String Model

## String Hadronization Model


- At short distances ( large  $Q$  ), QCD is like QED: colour field lines spread out ( $1/r$  potential )
- At long distances, gluon self-attraction gives rise to colour string ( linear potential  $\Rightarrow$  quark confinement )
- Intense colour field induces quark-antiquark pair creation, which combines into color neutral bound states ( hadronization )



# Hadronization - Cluster Model


## Cluster Hadronization Model

- In parton shower, relative transverse momenta evolve from a high scale  $Q$  towards lower values
- At a scale near  $\Lambda_{qcd} \simeq 200 \text{ MeV}$ , perturbation theory breaks down and hadrons are formed
- Before that, at scales of approximately few  $x\Lambda_{qcd}$ , there is universal preconfinement of colour
- Decay of preconfined clusters provides a direct basis for hadronization



# UNDERLYING EVENT



# Underlying Event



# Underlying Event

## Underlying Event

- Multiple parton interactions in same collision
- Assume QCD 2-to-2 secondary collisions ( need low  $p_T$  cutoff )
- Need to model colour flow ( color reconnections )



# MADGRAPH TUTORIAL

# Madgraph Tutorial

## Madgraph Tutorial

- Download the file **MG5\_aMC\_v2.6.5.tar.gz** from [https://github.com/sznajder/Bari\\_Lectures](https://github.com/sznajder/Bari_Lectures) to your laptop.
- **> tar -zxvf MG5\_aMC\_v2.6.5.tar.gz**
- **> cd MG5\_aMC\_v2\_6\_5**
- Look into **./Cards/proc\_card.dat** and **./Cards/run\_card.dat** cards files
- Start Madgraph by typing: **> ./bin/mg5\_aMC**
- Start the tutorial by typing: **MG5\_aMC> tutorial**
- Follow the tutorial instructions ...



# Madgraph Tutorial

## Ex. II : Order

**Goal** • What's the default choice for QED/QCD order

**Learn** • What's the difference between

→  $p p > t \bar{t}$


→  $p p > t \bar{t} \text{ QED}=0$

→  $p p > t \bar{t} \text{ QED} \leq 2$

- Compute the cross-section for each of those and check the diagram

**Check**

- Generate VBF process ( two jet + two W in final state) **only the diagram!**
- check that you have the QED diagram that you want:



# Madgraph Tutorial

## Solution I : Syntax

- What's the meaning of the order QED/QCD

→ By default MG5 takes the lowest order in QED!

INFO: Trying coupling order WEIGHTED<=2: WEIGHTED IS 2\*QED+QCD

→  $p p > t \bar{t}$  IS the same as  $p p > t \bar{t}$  QED=0

→  $p p > t \bar{t}$  QED<=2 has additional diagrams (photon/z exchange)

$p p > t \bar{t}$

Cross section (pb)

555 ± 0.84

$p p > t \bar{t}$  QED=2

Cross section (pb)

555.8 ± 0.91

No significant QED contribution

# Madgraph Tutorial

## Solution I Syntax

- generate p p > w+ w- j j

→ 76 processes

→ 1432 diagrams

→ None of them are VBF

- generate p p > w+ w- j j QED<=2

→ 76 processes

→ 1432 diagrams

→ None of them are VBF

- generate p p > w+ w- j j QED<=4

→ 76 processes

→ 5332 diagrams

→ VBF present! + those not VBF

- generate p p > w+ w- j j QCD=0

→ 60 processes

→ 3900 diagrams

→ VBF present!

- generate p p > w+ w- j j QCD<=2

→ 76 processes

→ 5332 diagrams

- generate p p > w+ w- j j QCD<=4

→ 76 processes

→ 5332 diagrams

# Madgraph Tutorial


## Ex. IV: Syntax

- Generate the cross-section and the distribution (invariant mass) for
  - ➔  $p p > e^+ e^-$
  - ➔  $p p > z, z > e^+ e^-$
  - ➔  $p p > z > e^+ e^-$
  - ➔  $p p > e^+ e^- \$ z$
  - ➔  $p p > e^+ e^- / z$


**Hint** :To plot automatically distributions:  
`mg5> install MadAnalysis5`

- Use the invariant mass distribution to determine the meaning of each syntax.

# Madgraph Tutorial




Z Peak




$p p \rightarrow e^+ e^- / z$

$p p \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma z$




No Z



Z- onshell veto

# Madgraph Tutorial



Onshell cut:  $BW\_cut$

$$|M^* - M| < BW_{cut} * \Gamma$$

- The Physical distribution is (very close to) exact sum of the two other one.
- The “\$” forbids the Z to be onshell but the photon invariant mass can be at  $MZ$  (i.e. on shell subtraction).
- The “/” is to be avoid if possible since this leads to violation of gauge invariance.