Lecture 1: Random Graphs and Thresholds

In this section of the course we introduce probability to our investigation of boolean functions $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \{-1, 1\}$.

We take the probability space Ω_n on \mathbb{F}_2^n where each bit is chosen to be 1 independently with probability p (otherwise 0). For any event $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$ we write $\mu_p(\mathcal{A})$ to be the probability that a randomly chosen $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ lies in the set \mathcal{A} . We write $\mu_p(x)$ to denote the probability of the event $\mathcal{A} = \{x\}$, notice

$$\mu_p(x) = p^{\sum_i x_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum_i x_i}.$$

As we are dealing with a finite probability space, all expressions for the probability of an event will be finite weighted sums. We start by introducing/revising some basic notions from probability.

Probability Recap

Lemma 1.1 (Markov's inequality). If X is a random variable taking only non-negative values and t > 0, then $\mathbb{P}(X \ge t) \le \mathbb{E}[X]/t$.

Proof. (of Markov's inequality) Let $1_{X \geq t}$ be the indicator function of the event that $X \geq t$. Then always (with probability 1), the random variable X satisfies the relation $X \geq t 1_{X \geq t}$. Now take the expectation of both sides to get

$$\mathbb{E}(X) \ge t\mathbb{E}(1_{X>t}) = t\mathbb{P}(X \ge t).$$

Recall the variance $\mathbb{V}[X]$ of a random variable X is defined by

$$\mathbb{V}[X] = \mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}X)^2 = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2.$$

Lemma 1.2 (Chebyshev Inequality). Let X be a random variable and let t > 0. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge t) \le \frac{\mathbb{V}[X]}{t^2}.$$

Proof. This follows from Markov's inequality. We consider the probability of the event that the difference between X and its expectation it at least t. As t is positive,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \ge t) = \mathbb{P}((X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 \ge t^2).$$

Then Markov's inequality applies to show this is less than $\mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}(X))^2/t^2$ which is simply $\mathbb{V}[X]/t^2$ and we are done.

Random Graphs

For this lecture and the next we focus on a particular case of boolean analysis: graphs.

Define a graph G = (V, E) to be a set of labelled vertices $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and set of two-subsets of vertices E which we call edges. Write e(G) for the number of edges |E|. Technically the edge between vertices i and j should be denoted $\{i, j\}$ but we will use the standard shorthand ij or ji interchangably. We do not allow loops which are edges with both end points at the same vertex or multiple edges namely each pair of vertices has either zero or one edges between them). (Our graphs are undirected but it is possible to define directed graphs where each edges has a direction associated with it and $ij \neq ji$.)

Each graph G = ([n], E) can be associated with a boolean vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\binom{n}{2}}$, identify the $\binom{n}{2}$ positions in the vector x with the set of pairs of vertices in [n] and each edge $e \in E$ is recorded by $x_e = 1$ and each non-edge by $x_e = 0$. For example (where vertex labels are always anticlockwise starting from bottom left e.g. $\binom{n}{2} \bullet \bullet_2$ and the edges listed in lexicographic order e.g. (12, 13, 23) and (12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34)) the graph $\bullet \bullet$ corresponds to vector (0, 1, 1), likewise $\bullet \bullet$ to (1, 0, 0) and graph $\bullet \bullet$ to (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1).

Given an integer n and a real number $0 \le p \le 1$, the random graph G(n, p) is the graph with vertex set [n] = 1, 2, ..., n in which each possible edge $ij, 1 \le i < j \le n$, is present with probability p, independently of the others. The notation G(n, p) indicates the probability space of graphs on [n] with the probabilities above. We write $G \sim G(n, p)$ or to mean that G is a random graph with this distribution. For a graph H on n vertices we write $\mu_p(H) = \mu_p(H, n)$ for $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) = H)$ and for a set of graphs on n vertices, A, write $\mu_p(A) = \mu_p(A, n)$ for $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \in A)$. For any given graph H on [n], the probability of H depends only on the number of edges in H,

$$\mathbb{P}(G(n,p) = H) = p^{e(H)} (1-p)^{\binom{n}{2} - e(H)}.$$

In the special case that p = 1/2, then all $\binom{n}{2}$ graphs on vertex set [n] are equally likely.

Example As an example consider the probability space G(3,p) where the set of possible graphs is $\{ \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge} \}$. (Note that because the graphs are labelled $\stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge} \neq \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}$.) If we sample a graph $H \sim G(3,p)$ then H is $\stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}$ with probability p^3 , for each of $\stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}, \stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}$ the probability is $p^2(1-p)$, for each of $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$, $\stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}$, $\stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}$, $\stackrel{\bullet}{\wedge}$ the probability is $p(1-p)^2$ and finally for $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ the probability is $p(1-p)^3$.

To study properties of random graphs we need a couple more notions from graph theory. We say that graphs H and G are isomorphic, denoted $H \approx G$ if there is a bijective function $\phi: V(H) \to V(G)$ such that $uv \in E(H)$ if and only if $\phi(u)\phi(v) \in E(G)$. For example $A \approx A$ and A = A is a A = A subgraph of graph A = A, denoted A = A if there is an injective function $\phi: V(H) \to V(G)$ such that if $uv \in E(H)$ then $\phi(u)\phi(v) \in E(G)$. For example A = A but A = A but A = A.

Thresholds in Random Graphs

We give an example of the sort of question we will look at. Let \mathcal{A}_{\triangle} be the set of all graphs which contain \triangle as a subgraph, i.e. all graphs G which contain a set of three vertices $\{u, v, w\} \in V(G)$ such that $uv, uw, vw \in E(G)$. We are interested in how the probability that G(n, p) constains a triangle changes for different values of p. Clearly, for any n, $\mu_0(\mathcal{A}_{\triangle}, n) = 0$ and for $n \geq 3$, $\mu_1(\mathcal{A}_{\triangle}, n) = 1$. One can also show that for $p \leq p'$, $\mu_p(\mathcal{A}, n) \leq \mu_{p'}(\mathcal{A}, n)$. For edge probability p = p(n), we investigate the behaviour of $\mu_{p(n)}(\mathcal{A}_{\triangle}, n)$ as $n \to \infty$. We will find that for p(n) and p'(n) 'not too far apart' that $\mu_{p(n)}(\mathcal{A}_{\triangle}, n) \to 0$ while $\mu_{p'(n)}(\mathcal{A}_{\triangle}, n) \to 1$ a sort of a 'phase transition' in the behaviour. These ideas will be made precise in this course as we investigate what are called monotone properties of graphs.

Definition 1.3 (monotone). A set of graphs \mathcal{A} is *monotone* if $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $H \subseteq G$ implies that $G \in \mathcal{A}$.

A function from $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is monotone if $f(x) \geq f(y)$ whenever $x \geq y$ i.e. for each $i \ x_i > y_i$.

Examples of monotone sets of graphs include the set of graphs containing \triangle as a subgraph, the set of connected graphs (graphs which have a path along edges between any pair of vertices) and the set of all non-planar graphs (i.e. those that can't be drawn in the plane without edges crossing). Non-examples include the set of graphs with an odd number of edges and the set of \triangle -free graphs (those graphs not containing \triangle as a subgraph).

Theorem 1.4. For any monotone set of graphs A and p' > p,

$$\mathbb{P}(G(n,p) \in \mathcal{A}) \le \mathbb{P}(G(n,p') \in \mathcal{A})$$

Proof. Define $p_1 \in [0,1]$, by $p+(1-p)p_1=p'$. Let $G \sim G(n,p)$ and $G_1 \sim G(n,p_1)$ and define the random graph $G_2 = G \cup G_1$, (this is the graph $([n], E(G) \cup E(G_1))$). Now each edge in G_2 occurs independently with probability $p+(1-p)p_1=p'$ and hence $G_2 \sim G(n,p')$. Now because \mathcal{A} is monotone

$$\mathbb{P}(G \in \mathcal{A}) < \mathbb{P}(G \cup G_1 \in \mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{P}(G_2 \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Definition 1.5 (threshold). The function $p^* = p^*(n)$ is a (coarse)¹ threshold for monotone \mathcal{A} if $\mathbb{P}(G(n,p) \notin \mathcal{A}) \to 1$ for $p/p^* \to 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(G(n,p) \in \mathcal{A}) \to 1$ for $p/p^* \to \infty$.

Exercise 1. An Eulerian circuit of G is a sequence of vertices $v_1v_2 \dots v_\ell$ (a vertex may appear more than once) so that every edge $uw \in E(G)$ appears as v_iv_{i+1} for some i in the sequence, and so that $v_1 = v_\ell$. A Eulerian graph is one which has a Eulerian circuit.

A Hamiltonian cycle of graph G on at least three vertices is an sequence $v_1v_2...v_n$ such that each $u \in V(G)$ appears exactly once, $v_1 = v_2$ and each $v_iv_{i+1} \in E(G)$. A graph is Hamiltonian if it has a Hamiltonian cycle.

- (a) Let \mathcal{A} be the set of Eulerian graphs. Show that \mathcal{A} is not monotone.
- (b) Let \mathcal{B} be the set of Hamiltonian graphs. Is \mathcal{B} monotone?

¹The function $p^* = p^*(n)$ is a sharp threshold for monotone \mathcal{A} if $\mathbb{P}(G(n,p) \notin \mathcal{A}) \to 1$ for $p < (1-\varepsilon)p^*$ and $\mathbb{P}(G(n,p) \in \mathcal{A}) \to 1$ for $p > (1+\varepsilon)p^*$.

Exercise 2. A graph G with $n \geq 3$ vertices, denoted C_n , is a cycle if its vertices can be (re)-labelled v_1, \ldots, v_n such that $E(G) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i \in [n]\}$ where the subscript addition is taken modulo n. For example a cycle on 3 vertices is \triangle and there are three cycles on four vertices \square , \nearrow .

A connected graph is one in which any two vertices uv are connected by a sequence of vertices $v_1 \dots v_\ell$ so that $u = v_1$, $v = v_\ell$ and each $v_i v_{i+1}$ is an edge. For example x is connected but x is not connected.

- (a) A graph with n vertices and n edges must contain a cycle as a subgraph.
- (b) A connected graph with n vertices and n edges must contain exactly one cycle.
- (c) Give an example to show that the assumption of connectivity is needed for part b.

Exercise 3. (Covered in lectures) Let \mathcal{A}_{\triangle} be the set of all graphs which contain $\mathring{\Delta}$ as a subgraph.

- (a) Show that $\mathbb{P}(G(n, 1/2) \in \mathcal{A}_{\triangle}) \to 1$.
- (b) (optional) Fix a constant $0 , and show that <math>\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \in \mathcal{A}_{\triangle}) \to 1$.

Exercise 4. (Covered in lectures) Prove the following:

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots be a sequence of random variables each taking non-negative values. If $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \to 0$ then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n=0)\to 1,$$

and if $\mathbb{E}[X_n] > 0$ for each n, and $\mathbb{V}[X_n]/\mathbb{E}[X_n] \to 0$ then

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = 0) \to 0.$$

Lecture 2: Threshold for a random graph containing a cycle

For a series of events E_1, E_2, \ldots we say that E_n occurs with high probability, abbreviated whp, if $\mathbb{P}(E_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.6. Let \mathcal{A}_{\circ} be the set of graphs which contain a cycle as a subgraph then the function $p^* = \frac{1}{n}$ is a threshold for \mathcal{A}_{\circ} .

Proof. Let p = p(n) be any function such that $p/p^* \to 0$, i.e. such that $np \to 0$. Now sample the random graph $G_n \sim G(n,p)$. We want to show that whp G_n does not contain a cycle as a subgraph.

Let $X_n = X_n(G_n)$ be the random variable which counts the number of cycles in G_n . For example the number of cycles in the following graphs is $\#(\square) = 1$, $\#(\square) = 0$ and lastly $\#(\square) = 3$ as the graph \square contains two \triangle s and the 4-cycle \square .

The probability that G_n has a cycle is at most the expectation of X_n :

$$\mathbb{P}(G_n \text{ has a cycle}) = \mathbb{P}(X_n > 0) = \sum_{k=1} \mathbb{P}(X_n = k) \le \sum_{k=0} k \mathbb{P}(X_n = k) = \mathbb{E}(X_n),$$

and so it will be enough to show that $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let S be the set of all places in the graph where a cycle could occur. Explicitly, S_k is the set of all subsets of k vertices ordered up to rotation and orientation of the cycle and $S = \bigcup_{k \geq 3} S_k$. For $S \in S$ define A_S to be the event that a cycle occurs on S in the random graph G_n . As expectation is linear,

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}(1_{A_S}) = \sum_{k > 3} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_k} \mathbb{P}(A_S)$$
 (1)

For $S \in \mathcal{S}_k$ the probability that a cycle occurs on S is p^k as we need each of the k independent edges which form the cycle to be present in our random graph. We want to know $|\mathcal{S}_k|$. The number of ordered sets of size k is $\binom{n}{k}k!$ - which overcounts each $S \in \mathcal{S}_k$ by 2k times. Why 2k? Once for each starting position on the cycle $(\times k)$, and once for each direction of the cycle $(\times 2)$. Hence $\binom{n}{k}k!/(2k) = \binom{n}{k}(k-1)!/2$. Thus by (1),

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \sum_{i \ge 3} \binom{n}{k} \frac{(k-1)!}{2} p^k.$$

Now note that $\binom{n}{i}i! = n(n-1)\dots(n-i+1) \le n^i$ and we get

$$\mathbb{E}(G_n) \le \sum_{k \ge 3} n^k p^k = \frac{n^3 p^3}{1 - np},$$

which so $E(X_n)$ goes to zero for $np \to 0$. Hence as $\mathbb{P}(G_n \text{ has a cycle}) \leq \mathbb{E}(X_n)$ we have proven that whp G_n has no cycle, i.e. whp $G_n \notin \mathcal{A}_{\circ}$ for $p/p^* \to 0$.

For the second part of the proof we need to show that whp $G_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\circ}$ for $np \to \infty$. Recall (Q 2a) that any graph on n vertices with at least n edges must contain a cycle. We show that for p = 3/n whp the number of edges in G(n,p) is at least n. By Theorem 1.4 this implies for $p \geq 3/n$ that whp G(n,p) contains a cycle as required.

²For the purpose of the proof it would be enough to establish that $\mathcal{S}_k \leq \binom{n}{k} k!$.

Let $G_n \sim G(n,3/n)$ and write Y_n for the number of edges in G_n . Notice $Y_n = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} 1_{ij \in E(G_n)}$ is the sum of $\binom{n}{2}$ independent random variables each of which is 1 with probability p = 3/n and 0 with probability 1-p. Thus Y has the binomial distribution³ of $\text{bin}(\binom{n}{2},p)$ with expectation $\mathbb{E}(Y_n) = \binom{n}{2}p$ and variance $\mathbb{V}(Y_n) = \binom{n}{2}p(1-p)$.

The expected number of edges is $\mathbb{E}(Y_n) = \binom{n}{2} \frac{3}{n} = \frac{3n}{2} (1 - \frac{1}{n})$. For n > 9 if $|\frac{3n}{2} (1 - \frac{1}{n}) - a| < n/3$ then a > n. Hence to show that whp the number of edges is at least n it is sufficient to show that whp $|\mathbb{E}(Y_n) - Y_n| < n/3$. But we can do this using Chebyshev's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}(|\mathbb{E}(Y_n) - Y_n| \ge n/3) \le \frac{\mathbb{V}(Y_n)}{(n/3)^2} = \frac{3^3}{2n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{n}\right) \to 0.$$

Hence whp $e(G_n) \geq n$ and (consequently) whp G_n contains a cycle for $np \to \infty$.

At the end of lecture 2 we began a proof that the threshold function for having \mathbb{Z} as a subgraph is $p^* = \frac{1}{n^{2/3}}$. This will be continued in lecture 3.

$$f'(x)\big|_{x=1} = tp(x + (1-p))^{t-1}\big|_{x=1} = np,$$

and

$$f''(x)\big|_{x=1} = t(t-1)p^2(x+(1-p))^{t-2}\big|_{x=1} = t(t-1)p.$$

Thus $\mathbb{E}(Y) = tp$ and the variance is

$$\mathbb{V}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(Y(Y-1)) + \mathbb{E}(Y) - \mathbb{E}(Y^2) = t(t-1)p^2 + np - t^2p^2 = tp(1-p).$$

³There are many ways to calculate the expectation and variance of the binomial random variable bin(t, p) and this is not part of the course but for completeness we write out one method below.

For any random variable taking values in $\{0,1,\ldots,t\}$, one can construct the polynomial (known as the probability generating function of X), $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbb{P}(X=k)x^k$ and note that $f'(x)\big|_{x=1} = \mathbb{E}(X)$ and $f''(x)\big|_{x=1} = \mathbb{E}(X(X-1))$.

Hence for the random variable Y with distribution bin(t,p) the probability generating function is $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} {t \choose k} p^k (1-p)^{t-k} x^k = (px-(1-p))^t$ which means

Exercise 5. Show whp $np \to \infty$ implies whp G_n contains \triangle i.e. a 3-cycle⁴.

Let Y_n count the number of Δ in G_n and for any 3-subset of vertices $S \subset V(G)$ let A_S be the event that G_n restricted to the vertices S is a Δ .

(a) Show by linearity of expectation that:

$$\mathbb{V}(Y_n) = \sum_{S,T \in \binom{[n]}{3}} \left(\mathbb{P}(A_S \& 1_{A_T}) - \mathbb{P}(A_S)\mathbb{P}(A_T) \right).$$

(b) Notice that when the sets of vertices S and T don't intersect that the events A_S and A_T are independent. What about when they intersect on one vertex? Using (a) show that:

$$\mathbb{V}(Y_n) \le \sum_{|S \cap T| = \{2,3\}} \mathbb{P}(A_S \& A_T).$$

- (c) After some case analysis and from (b) show: $\mathbb{V}(Y_n) \leq n^4 p^5 + n^3 p^3$.
- (d) From (c) conclude that whp $Y_n > 0$. Hint: use Chebyshev's inequality.

Exercise 6. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{P}_k be the set of graphs which have a path on k vertices as a subgraph.

- (a) (Covered in lectures) Find the threshold function for \mathcal{P}_3 (notice \mathcal{P}_3 is the set of graphs containing the path Λ as a subgraph).
- (b) Find the threshold for \mathcal{P}_4 .
- (c) (optional) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a constant. Find the threshold for \mathcal{P}_k in terms of k and n.

⁴This exercise demonstrates a different way to prove the second part of Theorem 2.6. In the proof we showed that whp $e(G_n) \ge n$ for $np \to \infty$ and from this and Q 2a we concluded that $np \to \infty$ implies whp G_n has a cycle.

Lecture 3: Thresholds for general montone sets of graphs.

We are starting to get a picture of what the random graph G(n,p) looks like for different edge probabilities. Write $p \ll p^*$ as shorthand for $p/p^* \to 0$ and $p \gg p^*$ for $p/p^* \to \infty$. In Theorem 2.6 we saw that $p \ll \frac{1}{n}$ implies whp G(n,p) has no cycles and $p \gg \frac{1}{n}$ implies whp G(n,p) contains a cycle (or multiple cycles) as a subgraph. We are now interested in the threshold for containing as a subgraph. Note that \mathfrak{A} contains a cycle itself, so containing a \mathfrak{A} implies containing a cycle. Hence, the threshold function (if it exists) for containing \mathfrak{A} we would expect it to be at least as big as $\frac{1}{n}$. It turns out to be $\frac{1}{n^{2/3}}$. We prove this in Theorem 3.7.

Threshold for containing 🛮 as a subgraph

Theorem 3.7. The function $p^*(n) = \frac{1}{n^{2/3}}$ is a threshold for G(n,p) containing X as a subgraph.

Proof. Let p be such that $p/p^* \to 0$, i.e. $n^{2/3}p \to 0$ and let $G_n \sim G(n,p)$. Let $Y_n = Y_n(G_n)$ count the number of \mathfrak{T} in G_n . For each set S of 4 vertices from [n], let A_S be the event that G_n restricted to the vertices S is a \mathfrak{T} . We can write Y_n in terms of these indicator random variables.

$$Y_n = \sum_{S \in \binom{[n]}{4}} 1_{A_S}$$

As expectation is linear, the expected number of \boxtimes in G_n is

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_n) = \sum_{S \in \binom{[n]}{4}} \mathbb{E}(1_{A_S}) \le n^4 p^6 = (n^{2/3} p)^6.$$

Hence $\mathbb{E}(Y_n) \to 0$ for $n^{2/3}p \to 0$. Observe $\mathbb{P}(G_n \text{ contains a } \mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n > 0) \leq \mathbb{E}(Y_n)$ and so for $n^{2/3}p \to 0$ whp G_n does not contain \mathbf{X} as a subgraph.

Now it remains to show that for $p/p^* \to \infty$, i.e. for $n^{2/3}p \to \infty$ that whp $G_n \sim G(n,p)$ contains a \mathbb{Z} . For this part of the proof we calculate the variance of Y_n by writing $Y_n = \sum_S 1_{A_S}$ and expanding. Write \sum_S for $\sum_{S \in \binom{[n]}{2}}$.

$$\mathbb{V}(Y_n) = \mathbb{E}(Y_n^2) - \mathbb{E}(Y_n)^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{S} 1_{A_S}\right)^2\right) - \left(\sum_{S} \mathbb{E}(1_{A_S})\right)^2.$$

We can rearrange a little to get an expression for the variance in terms of the probabilities of the events A_S and A_T

$$\mathbb{V}(Y_n) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{S} 1_{A_S} \sum_{T \in [n]^3} 1_{A_T}\right) - \sum_{S} \mathbb{E}(1_{A_S}) \sum_{T} \mathbb{E}(1_{A_T})$$

$$= \sum_{S,T} \left(\mathbb{E}(1_{A_S} 1_{A_T}) - \mathbb{E}(1_{A_S}) \mathbb{E}(1_{A_T})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{S,T} \left(\mathbb{P}(A_S \& A_T) - \mathbb{P}(A_S) \mathbb{P}(A_T)\right). \tag{2}$$

If $S \cap T = \emptyset$, i.e. the vertex subsets S and T are disjoint then the events A_S and A_T are independent. Notice this is also true if S and T intersect in one vertex because they still share no edges in common. Hence if $|S \cap T| \leq 1$ then $\mathbb{P}(A_S \& A_T) = \mathbb{P}(A_S)\mathbb{P}(A_T)$ and these terms cancel in the expression for the variance (2) above.

So by this observation and (2),

$$\mathbb{V}(Y_n) \leq \sum_{|S \cap T| = \{2,3,4\}} \mathbb{P}(A_S \& A_T). \tag{3}$$

We now consider the three options: $|S \cap T| = 2, 3, 4$. For each of these, for $S, T \in \binom{[n]}{4}$ with the given intersection we want to calculate $\mathbb{P}(A_S \& A_T)$. For $|S \cap T| = 2$, one edge is shared. There are 10 other edges that need to be present in order to have \square on both S and on T. Hence $\mathbb{P}(A_S \& A_T) = p^{11}$ for $|S \cap T| = 2$. Similarly, for $|S \cap T| = 3$, we get $\mathbb{P}(A_S \& A_T) = p^9$ and for $|S \cap T| = 4$, we get $\mathbb{P}(A_S \& A_T) = \mathbb{P}(A_S) = p^6$.

The aim is to find an upper bound for the right hand side of (3). Hence we want to know how many $S, T \in \binom{[n]}{4}$ for each of the possible overlaps. When S and T overlap on 2 vertices, the number of ways to pick them is to first pick the set of vertices in S then pick the two vertices in S that will ovelap with S, and lastly pick the last two vertices in S (the ones that don't overlap with S). This makes $\binom{n}{4}\binom{4}{2}\binom{n}{2}$. Actually all we need is that the number of $S, T \in \binom{[n]}{4}$ which overlap on two vertices is at most S0. Similarly the number that overlap on three vertices is at most S1.

We can now that calculate an explicit upper bound on our variance. From (2),

$$V(Y_n) \leq n^6 p^1 1 + n^5 p^9 + n^4 p^6. \tag{4}$$

Now we have a good upper bound on the variance. What we actually want to show is that whp G_n contains a X. In other words we want to show whp $Y_n > 0$.

We use the following non-obvious idea. I have some b for which I know b > 0 and I want to use this to show that a > 0. Notice it is enough to show that |b - a| < b.

Let's go. By some re-arraning and Chebyshev,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n > 0) \ge \mathbb{P}\Big(|Y_n - \mathbb{E}(Y_n)| < \mathbb{E}(Y_n)/2\Big) = 1 - \mathbb{P}\Big(|Y_n - \mathbb{E}(Y_n)| \ge \mathbb{E}(Y_n)/2\Big) \ge 1 - \frac{4\mathbb{V}(Y_n)}{\mathbb{E}(Y_n)^2}.$$

The problem is now reduced to terms we have already calculated. By (4),

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n > 0) \ge 1 - \frac{n^6 p^1 1 + n^5 p^9 + n^4 p^6}{\binom{n}{4} p^6}.$$
 (5)

For $n^{2/3}p \to \infty$ the fraction in (5) goes to zero. Hence for $n^{2/3}p \to \infty$ whp G(n,p) contains a \square as a subgraph.

All 'nice' sets of graphs have a threshold function

In the following theorem, we say a graph property \mathcal{A} is non-trivial if for each large enough n it is neither always true or always false. This is equivalent to saying that $\exists n_0$ such that the complete graphs on $n > n_0$ vertices has the property $(K_n \in \mathcal{A})$ and the empty graph on $n > n_0$ vertices does not $(\overline{K}_n, \notin \mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 3.8 (Bollobás-Thomason). Any monotone non-trivial graph property has a threshold function p^* .

Proof. (non-examinable)

Let $p_0 = p_0(n)$ be such that $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p_0) \in \mathcal{A}) = 1/2$. Note this must exist by the intermediate value theorem because for each integer n, $f_n(p) = \mathbb{P}(G(n, p_0) \in \mathcal{A})$ is a polynomial in p (of degree at most $\binom{n}{2}$) such that $f_n(0) = 0$ and $f_n(1) = 1$.

Let the threshold function be this p_0 , we set $p^*(n) = p_0(n)$. It will be sufficient to prove that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, if $p/p_0 \to 0$ then $\mathbb{P}(G(n,p) \in A) < \varepsilon$ and if $p/p_0 \to \infty$ then $\mathbb{P}(G(n,p) \in A) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We first prove that $\exists p_b$ such that $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p_b) \in \mathcal{A}) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Consider the union of k copies of $G(n, p_0)$, for some k which we will decide later. Let $H = ([n], E(G_1) \cup \ldots \cup E(G_k))$ where each $G_i \sim G(n, p_0)$. Here the graphs G_i are all defined on the same vertex set [n], and H is the random graph on this vertex set with edge set the union of the edge sets of the G_i . For any given $i \neq j \in [n]$ the probability ij is not in the edge set of H is exactly the probability that the edge ij does not appear in any of the G_i , which is $(1-p)^k$. This means H is the random graph where each edge is present independently with probability $1-(1-p)^k$. Thus $H \sim G(n, 1-(1-p)^k)$.

The next idea is to notice that \mathcal{A} monotone means that $H \in \mathcal{A}$ if $\exists i$ such that $G_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}(H \in \mathcal{A}) \le 1 - \mathbb{P}(\forall i, G_i \notin \mathcal{A}) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(G_1 \notin \mathcal{A})^k = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k}.$$
 (6)

Recall that we are still free to choose k, set $k = \lceil \log_2(1/\varepsilon) \rceil$ and let $p_1 = 1 - (1-p)^k$. Now by our choices of p_1 and k the equation on line (6) says precisely that $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p_1) \in \mathcal{A}) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Claim 3.9. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists function $p_{\ell}(n) = p_{\ell}(n, \varepsilon)$ such that $\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \in \mathcal{A}) < \varepsilon$.

$$Proof.$$
 (exercise)

Claim 3.10. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such and if $p/p_0 \to 0$ then (for all n > N) $p(n) < p_{\ell}(n, \varepsilon)$ and if $p/p_0 \to \infty$ then (for all n > N) $p(n) > p_b(n, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. (exercise)
$$\Box$$

With the help of these claims we can now finish the proof. Suppose $p/p_0 \to 1$ (we want to show $P(G(n,p) \in \mathcal{A}) > 1 - \varepsilon$). By Claim 3.10 $p/p_0 \to 1$ implies $p(n) > p_b(n,\varepsilon)$. Therefore by Theorem 1.4 $P(G(n,p) \in \mathcal{A}) \geq \mathbb{P}(G(n,p_b) \in \mathcal{A})$. But by our choice of $p_b(n) = p_b(n,\varepsilon)$ we know that $\mathbb{P}(G(n,p_b) \in \mathcal{A}) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and so $P(G(n,p) \in \mathcal{A}) > 1 - \varepsilon$ as required.

Now suppose $p/p_0 \to 0$ (we want to show $P(G(n,p) \in \mathcal{A}) < \varepsilon$). By Claim 3.10 $p/p_0 \to 1$ implies $p(n) < p_{\ell}(n,\varepsilon)$. The monotonicity result, Theorem 1.4, implies $P(G(n,p) \in \mathcal{A}) \leq \mathbb{P}(G(n,p_b) \in \mathcal{A})$. But by Claim 3.9, $P(G(n,p_b) \in \mathcal{A}) < \varepsilon$ and so we are done.

Lecture 4: Influence and Thresholds

In our work on random graphs we have been interested in finding the thresholds for monotone sets of graphs. This has meant an analysis of the function $f(p) = \mathbb{P}(G(n,p) \in \mathcal{A})$. For non-trivial sets of graphs \mathcal{A} , the function satisfied f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 1 and for monotone \mathcal{A} this function satisfies $f(p') \geq f(p)$ for $p' \geq p$. In this section we continue our study of this function f(p). We will prove the Russo-Margulis lemma which allows us to calculate the derivate $\frac{d}{dp}f(p)$, i.e. the rate of change of the probability that a random graph $G_n \in \mathcal{A}$ as we change the edge probability p in $G_n \sim G(n,p)$. We will see that this derivative can be calculated in terms of what is called the *influence* of \mathcal{A} which is an interesting property in its own right.

For this section we work in the general setting of a probability space over $\{0,1\}^n$.

We take the probability space Ω_n on \mathbb{F}_2^n where each bit is chosen to be 1 independently with probability p (otherwise 0). For any event $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$ we write $\mu_p(\mathcal{A}_n)$ to be the probability that a randomly chosen $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ lies in the set \mathcal{A} . We write $\mu_p(x)$ to denote the probability of the event $\mathcal{A} = \{x\}$, notice

$$\mu_p(x) = p^{\sum_i x_i} (1 - p)^{n - \sum_i x_i},$$

and

$$\mu_p(\mathcal{A}_n) = \sum_x \mu_p(x).$$

Recall that any vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ can be associated with a graph on n vertices: identify the $\binom{n}{2}$ positions in the vector x with the set of pairs of vertices in [n] and for each co-ordinate in x, $x_e = 1$ indicates that the edge e is present in the graph. Take the convention the graph is drawn with vertex labels increasing anticlockwise starting from bottom left e.g. $\binom{n}{2} \bullet 2$ and that edges listed in lexicographic order e.g. (12, 13, 23) and (12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34). Now the graph \bullet corresponds to vector (0, 1, 1), likewise $\bullet \bullet$ to (1, 0, 0) and graph \triangleright to (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1). Hence the probability space defined includes the subcase of random graphs.

In this more general context the definitions of monotone carries over in the way you would expect⁵. We also define monotone functions.

Definition 4.11 (monotone). A function f is monotone, if $f(x) \ge f(y)$ whenever $x \ge y$ (i.e. $x_i \ge y_i$ for each i). A set $\mathcal{A}_n \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is monotone if its indicator function $f_n = 1_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ is a monotone function. i.e. $f_n(x) = 1$ if $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f_n(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \mathcal{A}$.

In the language of voting schemes we water to say a voter has high influence if they are likely to be able to determine the outcome when we assume the rest of the popultion vote randomly. It will be on a scale of 0 to 1, where influence of 0 means they have no chance of their vote 'counting' and influence of 1 meaning that whatever the rest of the population vote the outcome would changed by the voter casting a different vote.

Definition 4.12 (pivotal). Given boolean function $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \{-1, 1\}$ and $i \in [n]$ we say that i is pivotal for x if $f(x) \neq f(x \oplus i)$. For a set $A \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$ we say i is pivotal for x if it is pivotal for its indicator function 1_A .

For the *n*-bit vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ write $x \setminus \{x_i\}$ for the (n-1)-bit vector $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$.

The definition of non-trivial does too. A set $A_n \in F_2^n$ is non-trivial if $\exists N$ such that $\forall n > N$, the n-vectors $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ satisfy $(0,0,\ldots,0) \notin A_n$ and $(1,1,\ldots,1) \in A_n$.

Definition 4.13 (influence of *i***-th bit, total influence).** The influence of the *i*-th bit of a function f, is the probability that for a randomly chosen $x \setminus \{x_i\}$ changing the *i*-th co-ordinate of x changes f.

$$I_i^p(f) = \mu_p(\{x : x \neq f(x \oplus i)\}).$$

The influence of *i*-th bit of a set \mathcal{A} is the influence of $f = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. The total influence is the sum over all co-ordinates $I^p(f) = \sum_i I_i^p(f)$.

Notice that for a monotone set A the influence of the *i*-th bit is

$$I_i^p(\mathcal{A}) = \mu_p(\{x : (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_i, \dots, x_n\} \notin \mathcal{A} \& (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 1, x_i, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{A}\}).$$

Example: In the parity function each co-ordinate has influence 1. For the dictator function $f = Dict_1(f)$ the first co-ordinate has influence 1 the others have influence 0.

Lemma 4.14. Let $A \in F_2^n$ be a monotone event. Then

$$\frac{d \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A})}{dn} = I^p(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. We consider the slightly more general case where each bit x_i is chosen to be '1' independently with probability p_i , writing $I_i^{(p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(A)$ for the influence of the *i*-th bit, i.e. the probability that the *i*-th bit is influential given bits $x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n$ are chosen to be '1' independently with probabilities $p_1,\ldots,p_{i-1},p_{i+1},\ldots,p_n$ respectively.

Hence it will suffice to show that

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_{(p_1,\dots,p_n)}(A)}{dp_i} = I_i^{(p_1,\dots,p_n)} p(A),$$

WLOG take i = 1. Now, let $X \in F_2^{n-1}$ and $Y \in F_2^{n-1}$ be defined as follows,

$$X = \{(x_2, \dots, x_n) : f(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 1 \text{ and } f(1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 1\}.$$

$$Y = \{(x_2, \dots, x_n) : f(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \text{ and } f(1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 1\}.$$

We can express the probability of the event A in terms of X and Y,

$$\mathbb{P}_{(p_1,\dots,p_n)}(A) = \mathbb{P}_{(p_2,\dots,p_n)}(X) + \mathbb{P}_{p_1}(x_1=1)\mathbb{P}_{(p_2,\dots,p_n)}(Y).$$

Note that Y is the pivotal set for f, and hence $\mathbb{P}_{(p_2,\ldots,p_n)}(Y) = Inf_1(f)$ and so

$$\mathbb{P}_{(p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(A) = \mathbb{P}_{(p_2,\ldots,p_n)}(X) + p_1 Inf_1(f).$$

Now take the derivative of $\mathbb{P}_{(p_1,\ldots,p_n)}(A)$ with respect to p_1 and we are done.

- **Exercise 7.** For each of the following boolean functions f, aka voting schemes, find a set S such that the function is expressible in terms of that character, i.e. $f(x) = \chi_S(x)$ or $f(x) = -\chi_S(x)$.
 - (a) The dictator function, $Dict_n^1(x) = x_1$.
 - (b) The parity function, Par(x).
 - (c) The XOR function of the first two inputs, $f(x) = XOR(x_1, x_2)$.
 - (d) The constant function f(x) = 1.
- **Exercise 8.** We can define an interated majority function for $n = 3^k$. The base case is $\operatorname{Imaj}_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \operatorname{Maj}_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and
- $\begin{aligned} \text{Imaj}_k(x) &= \text{Maj}_3(\text{Imaj}_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{3^{k-1}}), \text{Imaj}_{k-1}(x_{3^{k-1}+1}, \dots, x_{2.3^{k-1}}), \text{IMaj}_{k-1}(x_{2.3^{k-1}+1}, \dots, x_{3^k})). \end{aligned}$ For example, for k = 2, $\text{Imaj}_2(x_1, \dots, x_9) = \text{Maj}_3(\text{Maj}_3(x_1, x_2, x_3), \text{Maj}_3(x_4, x_5, x_6), \text{Maj}_3(x_7, x_8, x_9)).$
 - (a) Calculate the influence of the *i*-th bit $I_i^p(\text{Imaj}_2)$ and total influence $I^p(\text{Imaj}_2)$.
 - (b) Can you calculate $I_i^p(\operatorname{Imaj}_k)$ and $I^p(\operatorname{Imaj}_k)$? You may take p=1/2 if you like.

Lecture 5: Influence and Fourier analysis

Theorem 5.15. For a monotone $A \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$,

$$\frac{d}{dp}\mu_p(\mathcal{A})_{\mid p=1/2} \le \sqrt{n}.$$

Proof. By the Margulis-Russo result it is equivalent to show that for any monotone $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$ the total influence of \mathcal{A} at p = 1/2 satisfies

$$I^{1/2}(\mathcal{A}) \le \sqrt{n}$$
.

We define a function $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \{-1, 1\}$ which acts a little like the indicator for \mathcal{A} by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{A} \\ -1 & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{A}. \end{cases}$$

Notice \mathcal{A} a monotone set implies that f is a monotone function. This monotonicity of f is important. For any $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and $i \in [n]$ then $f(y \oplus e_i) \neq f(y)$ if and only if

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, 1, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) = 1$$
 and $f(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, 1, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n) = -1$.

We are nearly ready to start the calculations of the proof. But first we recall the behaviour of a particular character in fourier analysis.

$$\chi_{\{i\}}(y) = (-1)^{\{i\} \cdot y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_i = 0\\ -1 & \text{if } y_i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now we can begin the calculations. The game plan is to relate $\hat{f}(\{i\})$ to the influence of f.

$$\hat{f}(\{i\}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_n^n} f(y) \chi_{\{i\}}(y) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_n^n} f(y) (1_{y_i = 0}(y) - 1_{y_1 = 1}(y))$$
 (7)

Notice in (7) the second equality follows by writing out $\chi_{\{i\}}(y)$ in terms of the indicator functions $1_{y_i=0}(y)$ and $1_{y_i=1}(y)$. We can now expand out the sum in (7) to get that

$$\hat{f}(\{i\}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \setminus \{y_i\} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}} f(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, 0, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n\} - f(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, 1, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n\}.$$
(8)

The equation (8) rearranges nicely. If $f(y) = f(y \oplus e_i)$ then $f(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, 0, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_n) - f(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, 1, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_n) = 0$ or if $f(y) \neq f(y \oplus e_i)$ then f monotone implies we have $f(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, 0, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_n) - f(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, 1, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_n) = -2$. The number of times this difference of two will be recorded in (8) is half the number of such y.

$$\hat{f}(\{i\}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \times (-2) \times (|\{y : f(y) \neq f(y \oplus e_i)\}|/2) = -\frac{1}{2^n} |\{y : f(y) \neq f(y \oplus e_i)\}|$$
 (9)

We have now written $\hat{f}(\{i\})$ in terms of the influence of the *i*-th bit. Notice that (9) calculates the probability of picking a y (under p = 1/2) such that $f(y) \neq f(y \oplus e_i)$. Hence,

$$\hat{f}(\{i\}) = -I_i^{\frac{1}{2}}(f). \tag{10}$$

Now apply some fourier analysis. By a Corollary of Parseval (see notes from first part of course) $\sum_{S} \hat{f}(S)^2 = 1$. We also recall the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for real $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ which

says $(\sum_i a_i b_i)^2 \le (\sum_i a_i^2)(\sum_i b_i^2)$ i.e. $\sum_i b_i^2 \ge (\sum_i a_i b_i)^2/(\sum_i a_i^2)$. Then (taking $a_i = 1$ and $b_i = \hat{f}(\{i\})$ to apply Cauchy-Shwartz in the second inequality),

$$1 = \sum_{S} \hat{f}(S)^{2} \ge \sum_{i \in [n]} \hat{f}(\{i\})^{2} \ge \frac{1}{n} (\sum_{i} \hat{f}(\{i\}))^{2} = \frac{1}{n} (\sum_{i} I_{i}^{\frac{1}{2}}(f))^{2} = \frac{1}{n} (I(f))^{2}.$$
 (11)

This, (11), is exactly what we want. It says $I(f) \leq \sqrt{n}$.

Observe that the monotone condition is necessary. If we allow any possible set \mathcal{A} then we could take $\mathcal{A} = \{x \sum_i x_i \text{ is even }\}$, i.e. so that $f = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the parity function which has influence n.

We will also see that the lower bound in the theorem is right up to constatuts. In this next example we show that the majority function, which is monotone, has total influence of $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$.

For this calculation we will need stirling's formula which gives the approximate growth rate of the factorial function.

Lemma 5.16 (Stirling's formula).

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)$$

The proof of Stirling's formula is not part of this course but we use the result itself to calculate the approximate size of the middle binomial coefficient $\binom{2m}{m}$.

$$\binom{2m}{m} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi m}}{\sqrt{2\pi m}\sqrt{2\pi m}} \frac{(2m)^{2m}}{m^m m^m} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi m}} 2^{2m} (1 + O(1)) = \Theta\left(\frac{4^m}{\sqrt{m}}\right).$$

Example 5.17 (Influence of Majority). For odd n, denote by Maj_n the function that returns 1 if more 1's than 0's in x and -1 otherwise. We show $I^{\frac{1}{2}}(Maj_n) = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$. As all co-ordinates have the same influence it is enough to show the influence of the first co-ordinate is what we want, i.e. $I_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(Maj_n) = \Theta(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$.

Recall that $x \oplus e_1$ denotes the vector x after the first co-ordinate has been swapped (e.g. $(1,1,0) \oplus e_1 = (0,1,0)$).

$$I_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(Maj_n) = \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}(\{x : f(x \oplus e_1) \neq f(x)\})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} |\{x : f(x \oplus e_1) \neq f(x)\}|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \{(x_2, \dots, x_n) : \text{ exactly half the } x_i \text{ are } 1 \})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \binom{n-1}{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$

We can now substitute our bound on the middle binomial, everything cancels (except the desired square root) and we are finished the calculation. (The m above being (n-1)/2, note that n odd guarantees that this is an integer).

$$I_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(Maj_{n}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \binom{n-1}{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$

$$= \Theta\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} 4^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

$$= \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$