# 1. The Issue of Wrangling in Data Harmonization

Empowered by the spreading Internet and advancing computational power, researchers have entered an unprecedented age of data availability. A growing volume of social science research aims to take the benefit to extend generality: they employ large quantities of data drawn from different sources. However, significant challenges in ensuring the quality of harmonized datasets remain in handling fitness for use and monitoring raw data quality ([Slomczynsi, Tomescu-Dubrow, and Wysmulek 2025](#ref-Slomczynsi2025)).

The wrangling step determines the quality of data in the harmonization process, and the challenge is how to properly and transparently clean the increasing amount and diversity of data.

The conventional approach usually and notoriously involves a great deal of manual work on indicator identification, data merging, data scaling, and so on (see, e.g., [Lohr 2014](#ref-Lohr2014)). Manual wrangling undermines transparency and makes full reproducibility of the research pipeline more difficult to achieve ([Liu and Salganik 2019](#ref-Liu2019)). Worse, this tiresome task makes it easy to introduce errors in the data. Finally, even meticulous documentation cannot eliminate the influence of human discretion embedded in manual processing. Such discretion often leaves behind few traces, making it challenging for collaborators or reviewers to verify the wrangling process or diagnose sources of error.

In short, poor source data quality, the absence of reproducibility, and untrackable human discretion in manual janitor work have collectively become the largest obstacle on the way to data harmonization, yet thus far this obstacle has gained little attention. In this article, we provide a practical routine (a “TAO”) that takes advantage of automatic programming and teamwork to reduce data-entry errors and improve the reproducibility and transparency of the wrangling process for researchers and reviewers. This TAO covers the three phases of data wrangling: data selection and collection, data entry, and opening. We illustrate how researchers can use this routine on statistics and survey data with examples of two ongoing harmonization efforts, the Standardized World Income Inequality Database (SWIID) and the Dynamic Comparative Public Opinion (DCPO) project.

# 2. A 3-Step “TAO” for Data Wrangling

Our routine aims to helping researchers reach three goals for scientific study:

1. To reduce the manual entry errors to improve the accuracy of the harmonized data and analytic data;
2. To incorporate as much available data as possible to provide a base for comparable data and increase generality of the inferences; and
3. To improve the reproducibility of data wrangling process for the sake of transparency.

The routine decomposes a data-wrangling process into three steps:

1. **T**eam-based concept construct and data selection;
2. Data entry **a**utomation; and
3. “Second-order” **o**pening.

We use two data harmonization projects, SWIID and DCPO, to illustrate this routine. SWIID is a long-running project that seeks to provide harmonized income inequality statistics for the broadest possible coverage of countries and years ([Solt 2020](#ref-Solt2020)). As of its most recent update at the time of this writing, its source data consists of more than 27,000 observations of the Gini coefficient of income distribution in nearly 200 countries over as many as 65 years, collected from over 400 separate sources including international organizations, national statistics bureaus, and academic studies.

DCPO is both a method and a database. Scholarship on comparative public opinion only rarely benefits from relevant items asked annually by the same survey in many countries (see, e.g., [Hagemann, Hobolt, and Wratil 2017](#ref-HagemannEtAl2017)). To address the lack of cross-national and longitudinal data on many topics, a number of works have presented latent variable models that harmonize available but incomparable survey items (see e.g., [Caughey, O’Grady, and Warshaw 2019](#ref-CaugheyEtAl2019); [Claassen 2019](#ref-Claassen2019)). Advancing this line of work, DCPO not only provides latent variable measurements but also automated and reproducible data collection ([Solt 2020](#ref-Solt2020)), which has been applied in a complete pipeline for a variety of topics such as gender egalitarianism ([Woo, Goldberg, and Solt 2023](#ref-Woo2023)), political interest ([Hu and Solt 2025](#ref-Hu2025a)), and support for gay rights ([Woo et al. 2025](#ref-Woo2025)), among other aspects of public opinion and open it freely for global researchers (see the data available at <https://dcpo.org/>).

## 2.1 Step 1: Team-Based Construct Building and Data Selection

Large-scale data selection and cleaning is often viewed as tiresome, as something to be delegated to research assistants, to someone—indeed anyone—else. Performing these tasks manually makes it easy to make mistakes and errors. Haegemans, Snoeck, and Lemahieu ([2019, 1](#ref-HaegemansEtAl2019)) lists examples of misrouted financial transactions and airline flights. In a more systematic examination, Barchard and Pace ([2011](#ref-BarchardPace2011)) found that RAs assigned in an experiment to carefully enter data manually and instructed to prioritize accuracy over speed still had error rates approaching 1% in just a single roughly half-hour session. The consequences of such errors are pernicious, undermining our results and more broadly our confidence in the scientific enterprise.

Our antidote for this issue is a combination of teamwork and automation. We will focus first on teamwork and discuss the latter in [OSM 2.2](#sec-automate). The goals here are to have consistent understanding on the conceptualized construct, to select valid data for later measurement and/or analyses, and to reduce biases caused by inconsistent human judgment. A teamwork process to these ends requires using a deliberative set and a dual-entry process.

A deliberative set requires the members in a research team—whether several coauthors or a primary author with one or more RAs—to have a clear and coherent understanding of the research questions and associated data goals. These understandings will help the team members identify the right data to collect and discover extra useful data sources that are not in the initial plan.

In the early years of the SWIID program, for example, RAs were told that the goal of the project is to generate comparable statistics of country-level economic inequality. They were provided a list of sources to start with, mainly from national statistic bureaus, but also told that updated statistics for some countries may come from academic papers, published documents, and other sources, and encouraged to add each of these new sources by recording a valid link.

In the DCPO project, clearly defining and agreeing upon the latent construct among team members is a critical first step for ensuring theoretical comparability across countries and over time ([Koc and Kołczyńska 2025](#ref-Koc2025)). This process begins with a shared conceptual foundation established through literature review and the corresponding pre-defined potential dimensions of latent opinion. Each team member is then assigned survey datasets from specific geographic regions and tasked with identifying potentially relevant items and potential dimensions based on both general theoretical guidance and region-specific knowledge. This structure ensures that the construct is informed by both global theory and local context. (For a more detailed checklist, see [OSM 4](#sec-checklist).)

Before data selection begins, team members undergo hands-on training on how the method works and what types of data and metadata they need to collect, such as data format and weighting types, that are essential for enabling the automated data preparation process.

Following the initial round of item selection and collection, the dual-entry section begins. In this stage, each team member reviews and re-codes the survey data originally handled by another member. The independently coded versions are then compared to detect discrepancies, which may arise from misinterpretations of the construct, ambiguous item wording, or data-entry errors.

Disputed cases are flagged for group discussion. Some mismatches may indicate items that may not be conceptually equivalent across cultures or regions, and others suggest multidimensionality that requires theoretical disaggregation. For the latter, we either categorize such items into pre-defined dimensions and/or revise the codebook accordingly to add new dimensions—an iterative process aimed at improving construct validity, intercoder reliability, and reducing oversimplification of the target variable ([Slomczynsi, Tomescu-Dubrow, and Wysmulek 2025](#ref-Slomczynsi2025)).

Therefore, multiple lab meetings are held throughout the data selection phase to share insights from each member’s coding work and ensure conceptual alignment across the team. The process concludes with a final cross-check of the selected items by all members.

In addition to reducing manual biases, teamwork also helps expand the data pool. Both SWIID and DCPO projects enrolled team members from outside the United States. These members draw on their linguistic and cultural expertise to detect extra sources in non-English languages and improve the precision of the data selection. To some extent, data from different sources help correct the biases caused by the designers’ cultural backgrounds.

## 2.2 Step 2: Data Entry Automation

Formatting data is arguably the step most prone to manual errors and controversies. The best solution is to automate the entry process, taking advantage of scripting and any application programming interfaces (APIs) provided by the data source.

In the DCPO case, data entry is fully automated through the R-based software, DCPOtools ([Solt, Hu, and Tai 2018](#ref-SoltEtAl2018a)). This software processes raw survey files directly, ensuring reproducible data entry. It converts various file formats to R-readable objects, extracts variables of interest, reorders response values, applies survey weights, and aggregates weighted respondents by country and year based on actual fieldwork dates.

To address theoretical comparability concerns, DCPO employs conservative filtering, removing items appearing in fewer than five country-years in countries surveyed at least three times, minimizing the risk of sacrificing comparability for coverage ([Koc and Kołczyńska 2025](#ref-Koc2025)). DCPOtools standardizes country names using Arel-Bundock, Enevoldsen, and Yetman ([2018](#ref-Arel-BundockEtAl2018))’s countrycode and ensures years reflect actual fieldwork dates, creating aggregated respondent data for the latent variable model.

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 1: Income Inequality Observations by Method of Collection |

While coding datasets and items into structured spreadsheets facilitates automation, an even better approach starts the automation from the data selection step via scripting and APIs. As shown in [Figure 1](#fig-dataMethod), the current version of SWIID collects 76% of the observations through APIs. In [OSM 5](#sec-packages), we provide an illustrative list of R packages that can assist with tasks such as collecting data via APIs and cleaning and transforming data. The list is long but far from complete. If readers modify the arguments of the codes in this article’s replication file that we use to create the list, they will discover many times more packages that already exist to help collect and wrangle data. The keyword-based searching function that most these packages equip also ensure researchers to conduct data harmonization analysis with most updated data pools the sources have.

Returning to the case of the SWIID: when no API is available, the automation script downloads and reads any available spreadsheets. In the absence of a spreadsheet, the process of scraping the data either directly from the web or, preferably, from a pdf file (see [Sepulveda 2024](#ref-Sepulveda2024)) is automated. Together the collection of 90% of the source data is scripted. This means not only that the possibility of errors introduced by hand entry for a vast majority of observations is eliminated but also that the updates and revisions that are frequent in these data are automatically incorporated as they become available.

Even for data sources that have to be entered in hand, such as those from academic articles or books, there is still opportunity for partial automation. For the remaining 10% of the SWIID observations, for instance, many were collected using Sepulveda’s tabulapdf R package to avoid data-entry errors. Optical Character Recognition (OCR) can be used to extend this method to even hard-copy data sources. Finally, for data that one has to enter manually, the teamwork is crucial. Mirroring the approach for data selection described above, each hand-entered observation was independently entered twice into two separate spreadsheets. The dual-entry process allows for automated cross-checks of the newly entered data that increase the chances that errors are identified and corrected (see [Barchard and Pace 2011](#ref-BarchardPace2011)).

## 2.3 Step 3: “Second-Order” Opening

Since the replication crisis, replication files for analytical results in academic articles has become a standard requirement for top-tier journals in political science ([Chang and Li 2015](#ref-ChangLi2015); [Open Science Collaboration 2015](#ref-OpenScienceCollaboration2015)). Nevertheless, issue of researcher degrees of freedom indicates that current degree of openness is frequently still inadequate (see [Hu, Tai, and Solt 2025](#ref-HuTaiSolt2025).) Especially in relation with data harmonization, we encourage researchers to conduct what we will call a “second-order” opening of their research process. This involves not only opening one’s analytical steps (the first-order opening common today) but also one’s data generation process (the second-order opening), including data collection, cleaning, and wrangling.

Empirical evidence has indicated the severe consequences of neglecting second-order opening. Recent research has found that the variation in the estimated effects caused by researchers may outweigh the population’s variation ([Holzmeister et al. 2024](#ref-Holzmeister2024)). Within this researcher-choice variation, a substantial portion comes from the data-wrangling process ([Huntington-Klein et al. 2025, 33](#ref-Huntington-Klein2025)). In a “many-analyst” analysis, Huntington-Klein et al. ([2025](#ref-Huntington-Klein2025)) requested 146 research teams to complete the same research task. The study found that the teams who were given the same research design but no pre-cleaned data set generated the highest outcome variation—even higher than those teams who were only given the research task. The teams who were given the pre-cleaned data set generated the lowest outcome variation. These findings indicate that the research replicability cannot be secured by first-order opening without second-order opening.

If researchers apply our suggestions of team-based construct building, systematic data selection, and automated data entry, the second-order opening will be both feasible and efficient. Along with a clearly conceptualized theoretical framework, researchers can simply share their programming scripts for data downloading, formatting, and wrangling, and thereby ensure that the full pipeline is documented and reproducible.

With developed scientific and technical publishing system, such as Quarto or R Markdown, version control platforms like Github, and open collaboration platforms including the Open Science Framework, researchers can integrate the entire workflow—–from raw data collection to final analysis–—within a single, publicly trackable archive. We reached at this step for all the DCPO projects so far. Readers can trace a research project from the start in a Github repo and every wave of data update in the corresponding OSF project (see, for example, [Tai, Hu, and Solt 2024](#ref-TaiEtAl2024)).

# 3. Discussion

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 2: The TAO of Data Wrangling Before Data Harmonization. Source: Self generated. |

[Figure 2](#fig-diagram) presents the whole process of the 3-step routine of data wrangling for later harmonization phase. Implementing these practices requires effort, just as in many open-science endeavors (see [Engzell and Rohrer 2021](#ref-EngzellRohrer2021)). Though labor-intensive, the double-entry method reduces error rates thirty-fold ([Barchard and Pace 2011, 1837](#ref-BarchardPace2011)), which easily justifies the additional investment. Teamwork fosters conceptual alignment and construct refinement through collaborative discussion while also distributing tasks to reduce fatigue-related errors.

Social scientists now benefit from standardized harmonization workflows ([Slomczynsi, Tomescu-Dubrow, and Wysmulek 2025](#ref-Slomczynsi2025)) and automated data processing ([Kritzinger, Lutz, and Boomgaarden 2025](#ref-Kritzinger2025)). Researchers can reuse high-quality harmonized datasets, enhancing efficiency and comparability. Open-source software packages like those used by the SWIID and DCPOtools have already automated many data preparation tasks. With large language models emerging, intelligent agents may soon handle parts of these routines, potentially advancing automation to new levels ([Kritzinger, Lutz, and Boomgaarden 2025](#ref-Kritzinger2025)).

A final point we would like to emphasize is that, in our three-step routine, researchers remain central to data harmonization. As illustrated in the SWIID and DCPO examples, researchers are responsible for all critical decisions from clarifying research questions and building theoretical constructs to conducting version control and developing replication materials. Early and critical steps, such as construct development and codebook refinement, must be conducted iteratively to achieve high intercoder reliability. Even with automated data entry, human validation remains essential for verifying variable formats and value ranges. Computing environments should be documented to minimize system-related discrepancies ([Liu and Salganik 2019](#ref-Liu2019)).

For ex-post harmonization projects, careful attention to pre-harmonization stages substantially contributes to overall data quality. While some error is inevitable, with responsible researcher oversight, data-entry errors can be minimized while transparency, openness, and research credibility continue to grow.
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# Online Supplementary Materials

# 4. Checklist for Deliberation Process

[Table 1](#tbl-checklist) is a checklist with notes or rationales for key decisions made during the deliberation process. The focus on each step may vary depending on the research purpose. For example, in public opinion harmonization projects like DCPO, more time is typically devoted to conceptualization and construct development compared to administrative data projects such as SWIID. However, this general checklist can serve as a useful guide across a range of harmonization efforts.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 1: Checklist with Decision Rationale   | Step | Checklist | Notes | | --- | --- | --- | | 1.Clarify Conceptual Construct | Literature review and shared across the team. | Notes from team discussion | |  | Confirm shared understanding of theoretical construct. |  | |  | Relevant theoretical dimensions are discussed and documented. |  | | 2.Document Research Goals | Instructions on key variable formats and downstream analytical needs. | Update when necessary | |  | Review initial codebook. |  | |  | Data input training. |  | | 3.Assign Data Collection | Assign datasets to team members by geography or source. | Document ambiguous items | |  | Each team member maintains a seperate sheet for raw data collection and a log of decisions. |  | |  |  |  | | 4.Dual-Entry and Cross-Check | Conduct dual entry by second team member. | Record discrepancies found | |  | Discrepancies flagged and logged for group discussion. |  | |  |  |  | | 5.Deliberation on Discrepancies | Team discussion on discrepancies. | Provide examples of key disputes and how they were resolved | |  | Items with unclear mapping to conceptual dimensions are categorized or excluded. |  | |  | Update codebook/documentation. |  | | 6.Log Data and Decision | Finalize data by cross-check of all members | Mention major updates. | |  | Log all decisions and changes in version-controlled repository (e.g., OSF, GitHub). |  | |

# 5. R packages for data wrangling

Here are exemplary R packages that researchers can use to collect, clean, and transform data. The following tables were generated by the pkgsearch::pkg\_search() function with the keywords relating to data downloading, wrangling, and transforming. The packages are ranked based on the ‘score’ metric that reflects both textual relevances with the keyword and package popularity in the last month. Only the top twenty packages and only the maintainers’ names are shown. We encourage readers to use the codes in this paper’s replication file to explore more useful packages. We also recommend readers to refer to the [“CRAN Task View: Reproducible Research”](https://cran.r-project.org/web/views/ReproducibleResearch.html) page for more useful tools to achieve the first-order and second-order opening.

| package | title | maintainer |
| --- | --- | --- |
| giscoR | Download Map Data from GISCO API - Eurostat | Diego Hernangómez |
| rwebstat | Download Data from the Webstat API | Vincent Guegan |
| crypto2 | Download Crypto Currency Data from ‘CoinMarketCap’ without ‘API’ | Sebastian Stoeckl |
| RKaggle | ‘Kaggle’ Dataset Downloader ‘API’ | Benjamin Smith |
| csodata | Download Data from the CSO ‘PxStat’ API | Conor Crowley |
| hansard | Provides Easy Downloading Capabilities for the UK Parliament API | Evan Odell |
| cranlogs | Download Logs from the ‘RStudio’ ‘CRAN’ Mirror | Gábor Csárdi |
| clinicalomicsdbR | Interface with the ‘ClinicalOmicsDB’ API, Allowing for Easy Data | |

Downloading and Importing | John Elizarraras | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | wdi2 | Download World Development Indicators from the World Bank +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ Indicators API | Christoph Scheuch | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | GDELTtools | Download, Slice, and Normalize GDELT V1 Event and Sentiment API +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ Data | Stephen R. Haptonstahl | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | neonUtilities | Utilities for Working with NEON Data | Claire Lunch | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | piggyback | Managing Larger Data on a GitHub Repository | Carl Boettiger | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | Quandl | API Wrapper for Quandl.com | Dave Dotson | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | nasapower | NASA POWER API Client | Adam H. Sparks | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | rstudioapi | Safely Access the RStudio API | Kevin Ushey | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | rdhs | API Client and Dataset Management for the Demographic and Health +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ Survey (DHS) Data | OJ Watson | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | fishtree | Interface to the Fish Tree of Life API | Jonathan Chang | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | ridigbio | Interface to the iDigBio Data API | Jesse Bennett | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | tradestatistics | Open Trade Statistics API Wrapper and Utility Program | Mauricio Vargas | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | FlickrAPI | Access to Flickr API | Koki Ando | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | easycensus | Quickly Find, Extract, and Marginalize U.S. Census Tables | Cory McCartan | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | shutterstock | Access ‘Shutterstock’ REST API | Metin Yazici | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | wbstats | Programmatic Access to Data and Statistics from the World Bank +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ API | Jesse Piburn | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | gwasrapidd | ‘REST’ ‘API’ Client for the ‘NHGRI’-‘EBI’ ‘GWAS’ Catalog | Ramiro Magno | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | ecos | Economic Statistics System of the Bank of Korea | Seokhoon Joo | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | rscopus | Scopus Database ‘API’ Interface | John Muschelli | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | I14Y | Search and Get Data from the I14Y Interoperability Platform of +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ Switzerland | Felix Luginbuhl | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | riingo | An R Interface to the ‘Tiingo’ Stock Price API | Davis Vaughan | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | kaigiroku | Programmatic Access to the API for Japanese Diet Proceedings | Akitaka Matsuo | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | PurpleAir | Query the ‘PurpleAir’ Application Programming Interface | Cole Brokamp | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | mgpStreamingSDK | Interact with the Maxar MGP Streaming API | Nathan Carr | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | GetLattesData | Reading Bibliometric Data from Lattes Platform | Marcelo Perlin | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | worldbank | Client for World Banks’s ‘Indicators’ and ‘Poverty and +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ Inequality Platform (PIP)’ APIs | Maximilian Mücke | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | BFS | Get Data from the Swiss Federal Statistical Office | Felix Luginbuhl | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | trud | Query the ‘NHS TRUD API’ | Alasdair Warwick | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | rinat | Access ‘iNaturalist’ Data Through APIs | Stéphane Guillou | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | jsonlite | A Simple and Robust JSON Parser and Generator for R | Jeroen Ooms | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | zen4R | Interface to ‘Zenodo’ REST API | Emmanuel Blondel | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | yfinancer | ‘Yahoo Finance’ API Wrapper | Giovanni Colitti | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | opendotaR | Interface for OpenDota API | Kari Gunnarsson | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | Visualize.CRAN.Downloads | Visualize Downloads from ‘CRAN’ Packages | Marcelo Ponce | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | PurpleAirAPI | Historical Data Retrieval from ‘PurpleAir’ Sensors via API | Heba Abdelrazzak | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | pacu | Precision Agriculture Computational Utilities | dos Santos Caio | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | cbsodataR | Statistics Netherlands (CBS) Open Data API Client | Edwin de Jonge | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | kosis | Korean Statistical Information Service (KOSIS) | Seokhoon Joo | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | MetaculR | Analyze Metaculus Predictions and Questions | Joseph de la Torre Dwyer | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | trelloR | Access the Trello API | Jakub Chromec | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | rscorecard | A Method to Download Department of Education College Scorecard +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ Data | Benjamin Skinner | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | inegiR | Integrate INEGI’s (Mexican Stats Office) API with R | Eduardo Flores | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+ | naptanr | Call the ‘NaPTAN’ API Through R | Francesca Bryden | +————————–+——————————————————————————————-+————————–+

Table: Example packages for downloading data with API

| package | title | maintainer |
| --- | --- | --- |
| discretization | Data Preprocessing, Discretization for Classification | HyunJi Kim |
| helda | Preprocess Data and Get Better Insights from Machine Learning | |

Models | Simon Corde | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | recipes | Preprocessing and Feature Engineering Steps for Modeling | Max Kuhn | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | dunlin | Preprocessing Tools for Clinical Trial Data | Joe Zhu | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | dataprep | Efficient and Flexible Data Preprocessing Tools | Chun-Sheng Liang | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | smallsets | Visual Documentation for Data Preprocessing | Lydia R. Lucchesi | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | rtry | Preprocessing Plant Trait Data | Olee Hoi Ying Lam | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | PupilPre | Preprocessing Pupil Size Data | Aki-Juhani Kyröläinen | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | mpactr | Correction of Preprocessed MS Data | Patrick Schloss | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | bdpar | Big Data Preprocessing Architecture | Miguel Ferreiro-Díaz | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | webtrackR | Preprocessing and Analyzing Web Tracking Data | David Schoch | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | tsrobprep | Robust Preprocessing of Time Series Data | Michał Narajewski | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | VWPre | Tools for Preprocessing Visual World Data | Vincent Porretta | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | binst | Data Preprocessing, Binning for Classification and Regression | Chapman Siu | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | PreProcessing | Various Preprocessing Transformations of Numeric Data Matrices | Swamiji Pravedson | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | esmtools | Preprocessing Experience Sampling Method (ESM) Data | Jordan Revol | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | RobLoxBioC | Infinitesimally Robust Estimators for Preprocessing -Omics Data | Matthias Kohl | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | shinyrecipes | Gadget to Use the Data Preprocessing ‘recipes’ Package +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ Interactively | Alberto Almuiña | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | RGCxGC | Preprocessing and Multivariate Analysis of Bidimensional Gas +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ Chromatography Data | Cristian Quiroz-Moreno | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | mlr3pipelines | Preprocessing Operators and Pipelines for ‘mlr3’ | Martin Binder | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | EEM | Read and Preprocess Fluorescence Excitation-Emission Matrix +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ (EEM) Data | Vipavee Trivittayasil | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | cobalt | Covariate Balance Tables and Plots | Noah Greifer | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | clickR | Semi-Automatic Preprocessing of Messy Data with Change Tracking +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ for Dataset Cleaning | David Hervas Marin | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | SerolyzeR | Reading, Quality Control and Preprocessing of MBA (Multiplex +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ Bead Assay) Data | Tymoteusz Kwiecinski | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | PvSTATEM | Reading, Quality Control and Preprocessing of MBA (Multiplex +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ Bead Assay) Data | Tymoteusz Kwiecinski | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | huge | High-Dimensional Undirected Graph Estimation | Haoming Jiang | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | klaR | Classification and Visualization | Uwe Ligges | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | datawizard | Easy Data Wrangling and Statistical Transformations | Etienne Bacher | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | dplyr | A Grammar of Data Manipulation | Hadley Wickham | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | pagoda2 | Single Cell Analysis and Differential Expression | Evan Biederstedt | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | ggplot2 | Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics | Thomas Lin Pedersen | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | biclust | BiCluster Algorithms | Sebastian Kaiser | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | tidyr | Tidy Messy Data | Hadley Wickham | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | tibble | Simple Data Frames | Kirill Müller | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | prospectr | Miscellaneous Functions for Processing and Sample Selection of +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ Spectroscopic Data | Leonardo Ramirez-Lopez | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | microeco | Microbial Community Ecology Data Analysis | Chi Liu | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | pammtools | Piece-Wise Exponential Additive Mixed Modeling Tools for +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ Survival Analysis | Andreas Bender | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | ebal | Entropy Reweighting to Create Balanced Samples | Jens Hainmueller | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | ordinalRR | Analysis of Repeatability and Reproducibility Studies with +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ Ordinal Measurements | Ken Ryan | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | ff | Memory-Efficient Storage of Large Data on Disk and Fast Access +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ Functions | Jens Oehlschlägel | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | mlr3data | Collection of Machine Learning Data Sets for ‘mlr3’ | Marc Becker | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | lubridate | Make Dealing with Dates a Little Easier | Vitalie Spinu | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | daltoolbox | Leveraging Experiment Lines to Data Analytics | Eduardo Ogasawara | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | mlrCPO | Composable Preprocessing Operators and Pipelines for Machine +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ Learning | Martin Binder | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | readr | Read Rectangular Text Data | Jennifer Bryan | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | CRMetrics | Cell Ranger Output Filtering and Metrics Visualization | Rasmus Rydbirk | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | JointAI | Joint Analysis and Imputation of Incomplete Data | Nicole S. Erler | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | HiClimR | Hierarchical Climate Regionalization | Hamada S. Badr | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | simulariatools | Simularia Tools for the Analysis of Air Pollution Data | Giuseppe Carlino | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+ | gcxgclab | GCxGC Preprocessing and Analysis | Stephanie Gamble | +—————-+————————————————————————————-+————————+

Table: Example packages for cleaning data with API

| package | title | maintainer |
| --- | --- | --- |
| yaml | Methods to Convert R Data to YAML and Back | Shawn Garbett |
| geojsonio | Convert Data from and to ‘GeoJSON’ or ‘TopoJSON’ | Michael Mahoney |
| jsonlite | A Simple and Robust JSON Parser and Generator for R | Jeroen Ooms |
| reticulate | Interface to ‘Python’ | Tomasz Kalinowski |
| keyToEnglish | Convert Data to Memorable Phrases | Max Candocia |
| qtl2convert | Convert Data among QTL Mapping Packages | Karl W Broman |
| gtools | Various R Programming Tools | Ben Bolker |
| rmarkdown | Dynamic Documents for R | Yihui Xie |
| interleave | Converts Tabular Data to Interleaved Vectors | David Cooley |
| do | Data Operator | Jing Zhang |
| rio | A Swiss-Army Knife for Data I/O | Chung-hong Chan |
| data.tree | General Purpose Hierarchical Data Structure | Christoph Glur |
| wktmo | Converting Weekly Data to Monthly Data | You Li |
| GDPuc | Easily Convert GDP Data | Johannes Koch |
| nuts | Convert European Regional Data | Moritz Hennicke |
| wearables | Tools to Read and Convert Wearables Data | Peter de Looff |
| xml2relational | Converting XML Documents into Relational Data Models | Joachim Zuckarelli |
| TidyMultiqc | Converts ‘MultiQC’ Reports into Tidy Data Frames | Michael Milton |
| odk | Convert ‘ODK’ or ‘XLSForm’ to ‘SPSS’ Data Frame | Muntashir-Al-Arefin |
| spbabel | Convert Spatial Data Using Tidy Tables | Michael D. Sumner |
| exp2flux | Convert Gene EXPression Data to FBA FLUXes | Daniel Osorio |
| ecocomDP | Tools to Create, Use, and Convert ecocomDP Data | Colin Smith |
| tbl2xts | Convert Tibbles or Data Frames to Xts Easily | Nico Katzke |
| broom.mixed | Tidying Methods for Mixed Models | Ben Bolker |
| LAIr | Converting NDVI to LAI of Field, Proximal and Satellite Data | Francesco Chianucci |
| intergraph | Coercion Routines for Network Data Objects | Michał Bojanowski |
| ILRCM | Convert Irregular Longitudinal Data to Regular Intervals and | |

Perform Clustering | Atanu Bhattacharjee | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | vcfR | Manipulate and Visualize VCF Data | Brian J. Knaus | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | gtfs2gps | Converting Transport Data from GTFS Format to GPS-Like Records | Pedro R. Andrade | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | RJSONIO | Serialize R Objects to JSON, JavaScript Object Notation | Yaoxiang Li | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | MissingHandle | Handles Missing Dates and Data and Converts into Weekly and +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ Monthly from Daily | Mr. Sandip Garai | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | orsk | Converting Odds Ratio to Relative Risk in Cohort Studies with +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ Partial Data Information | Zhu Wang | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | sjlabelled | Labelled Data Utility Functions | Daniel Lüdecke | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | dplyr | A Grammar of Data Manipulation | Hadley Wickham | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | pack | Convert Values to/from Raw Vectors | Joshua M. Ulrich | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | tidytree | A Tidy Tool for Phylogenetic Tree Data Manipulation | Guangchuang Yu | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | ggplot2 | Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics | Thomas Lin Pedersen | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | string2path | Rendering Font into ‘data.frame’ | Hiroaki Yutani | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | tdata | Prepare Your Time-Series Data for Further Analysis | Ramin Mojab | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | DDIwR | DDI with R | Adrian Dusa | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | CADF | Customer Analytics Data Formatting | Ludwig Steven | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | tidyr | Tidy Messy Data | Hadley Wickham | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | tibble | Simple Data Frames | Kirill Müller | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | redquack | Transfer ‘REDCap’ Data to Database | Dylan Pieper | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | tinytable | Simple and Configurable Tables in ‘HTML’, ‘LaTeX’, ‘Markdown’, +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ ‘Word’, ‘PNG’, ‘PDF’, and ‘Typst’ Formats | Vincent Arel-Bundock | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | mergen | AI-Driven Code Generation, Explanation and Execution for Data +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ Analysis | Altuna Akalin | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | unpivotr | Unpivot Complex and Irregular Data Layouts | Duncan Garmonsway | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | jsonld | JSON for Linking Data | Jeroen Ooms | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | mltools | Machine Learning Tools | Ben Gorman | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ | mergenstudio | ‘Mergen’ Studio: An ‘RStudio’ Addin Wrapper for the ‘Mergen’ +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+ Package | Jacqueline Jansen | +—————-+———————————————————————————————————+———————-+
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